H380LEB1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 15 Cr. 769 (AJN) V. 5 YURI LEBEDEV and TREVON GROSS, 6 Defendants. Jury Trial -----x 7 8 New York, N.Y. March 8, 2017 9 9:00 a.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. ALISON J. NATHAN, 12 District Judge 13 And A Jury 14 **APPEARANCES** 15 PREET BHARARA United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York 16 BY: EUN YOUNG CHOI 17 DANIEL S. NOBLE WON S. SHIN 18 Assistant United States Attorneys MICHAEL CHANG-FRIEDEN, Paralegal EMILY GRANT, Paralegal 19 20 CREIZMAN, PLLC Attorneys for Defendant Yuri Lebedev 21 BY: ERIC M. CREIZMAN MELISSA MADRIGAL 22 JONATHAN MICHAELSON, Paralegal 23 KROVATIN KLINGEMAN, LLC Attorneys for Defendant Trevon Gross 24 BY: KRISTEN M. SANTILLO BY: HENRY E. KLINGEMAN 25

(Trial resumed; jury not present) 1 THE COURT: Mr. Creizman. 2 3 MR. CREIZMAN: Yes. 4 THE COURT: You have a report on Mr. Lebedev? 5 MR. CREIZMAN: That's what I'm trying to check. 6 MS. MADRIGAL: Mr. Michaelson just went to go check if 7 he's in the line. 8 THE COURT: If he's in a line? All right. Do you 9 want to take up what we can in his absence? 10 MS. SANTILLO: Dan and I had our first agreement about 11 exhibits so we're okay. 12 MR. NOBLE: Happy to report, defense counsel is only 13 going to use two exhibits with the direct examination of 14 Mr. Gross, and the government is not going to object to those. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. MS. SANTILLO: Just to clarify, I will be using other 16 17 exhibits that are already in evidence. MR. NOBLE: Sure. Two new exhibits. 18 MS. SANTILLO: Actually, we may put a picture in that 19 20 I just got. I'll show you. 21 THE COURT: All right. There was the other exchange 22 of exhibits. This might be a question for Ms. Choi, but for 23 the government's case that I think were still being reviewed 24 and are going to come in.

MR. NOBLE: On the rebuttal case.

THE COURT: Quote/unquote rebuttal.

MR. NOBLE: I'll have to check with Ms. Choi. She's working on finishing up the closing, because it looks like we're going to move quickly in light of Ms. Larkins is not going to testify, we've been informed. So we'll check with her just to make sure that defense has no objections to the remaining email exhibits that the government wanted to put in for purposes of use during closing.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. NOBLE: I do have an update as to Special Agent Beyer. We expect her to arrive around 10:30 a.m. so she will be available.

THE COURT: Great, thank you. And thank you to Ms. Choi for moving the things she had to move to do that.

I sent the jury charge -- we can take up the jury charge, Mr. Creizman, since Mr. Lebedev had waived with respect to the charging conference.

MR. CREIZMAN: I'm waiving his appearance.

THE COURT: Okay. I docketed, but you were emailed as well, the current version of the jury instructions which was meant to capture everything we had done.

As I noted in my cover order, I did make a slight change to the description of the conspiracy to track the language in the indictment.

I know, Ms. Santillo, you had asked for that, not with

this language, different language, but in part it was a reaction to that request.

So the question is, are there issues and its objections to the version of the charge that was sent out? And I don't need them now. I know there was a lot going on last night. I'll be doing the charge at some point tomorrow so I'll check in again later today, but if anybody has anything now they want to flag, they should do so.

MR. CREIZMAN: No, your Honor.

MR. SHIN: Nothing from the government on that charge, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Rosen caught this morning that we needed to update the verdict form to reflect the redacted indictment, so he's circulating a revised verdict form which does that, but do take a look to make sure we've got it.

As I say, I'll check in at the other breaks today to see if there's anything else on the jury charge, but it will be finalized by the end of the day so we can have it ready for tomorrow on the assumption that we'll get to the charge tomorrow.

MR. SHIN: Your Honor, just in our review of the charge, there were a couple of conforming type edits that I thought needed to be made. Should I raise them now or --

THE COURT: Yes.

I'll note Mr. Lebedev is here. Good morning,

Mr. Lebedev. 1 2 DEFENDANT LEBEDEV: Good morning. 3 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Shin. 4 MR. SHIN: First, instruction 28, the multiple 5 conspiracies, which is on page 42 of the instructions. There 6 were just a couple of spots in lines 13 and 17 where we refer 7 to the indictment, but those spots should refer to Count One, as we did elsewhere in this charge, just because there's more 8 9 than one conspiracy in the indictment. 10 THE COURT: You said it refers to "indictment", you 11 mean "conspiracy"? 12 MR. SHIN: Right. So in line 13 where it says 13 "conspiracy described in the indictment", I think just to be 14 consistent with the approach in the rest of the charge it should say "conspiracy described in Count One". 15 THE COURT: I was looking at page 28. Instruction 28 16 17 you said. I see it now. 18 MR. SHIN: Yes, on page 42. 19 THE COURT: Okay. So the suggestion is --20 MR. SHIN: So on line 13 it should say "conspiracy 21 described in Count One". 22 THE COURT: "Conspiracy described in Count One". 23 That's fine. 24 MR. SHIN: And then similarly on line 17 of the same

page, "of the conspiracy for the purposes charged in Count

1 One."

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SHIN: I think that may have been a relic of when we submitted this version from Sand.

THE COURT: I guess embedded in that is an assumption that the multiple conspiracy count doesn't apply to Count Four.

MR. SHIN: That is an assumption. I've never heard that being raised. And I believe Mr. Creizman even opposed this charge for Count One. So I've never heard him proposing this for Count Four.

THE COURT: Mr. Creizman, if you could focus on what we're doing.

MR. CREIZMAN: Yes, absolutely.

THE COURT: Do you have a copy of the current charge?

MR. CREIZMAN: I do.

THE COURT: I think this might be right, but so the multiple conspiracy charge is the one that the government objected to. Mr. Gross' counsel had requested it. I can't remember what your position was on it or not, but the immediate — I am going to give it. The immediate question is, since I'm giving it, is there any request to have the jury consider the multiple conspiracy charge with respect to Count Four? I'll note that, as with your assumption, Mr. Shin, it's structured as with respect to Count One at line 1 of the instruction.

```
MR. CREIZMAN: No, I don't think so.
1
              THE COURT: Okay. So you're comfortable with the
 2
 3
     multiple conspiracy charge just referencing Count One.
              MR. CREIZMAN: That's right.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: All right. We'll change "happens to be
6
      the single conspiracy described in Count One of the
 7
      indictment"?
              MR. SHIN: Yes. That's fine, your Honor.
8
9
               THE COURT: Okay.
10
              MR. SHIN: As long as it's just not generally
      referring to the indictment, just to avoid any confusion.
11
               THE COURT: Right. Other than line 13, does that
12
13
      change need to be made elsewhere in the charge?
14
              MR. SHIN: Line 17.
               THE COURT: Okay. "Charged in Count One of the
15
      indictment"?
16
17
              MR. SHIN: Yes, your Honor.
18
              THE COURT: Okay. Anywhere else?
                          That's it, your Honor.
19
              MR. SHIN:
20
              THE COURT: Other conforming?
21
              MR. SHIN: On page 44, which is instruction 29, the
22
      limiting instructions on the evidence of the charged
23
      conspiracy. I don't feel strongly about this, but I think the
24
      general style throughout the rest of the these charges is that
```

when the defendants' names appear, to have them in all caps.

Their names appear frequently in this, so to the extent there's any concern about that, it might make sense --

THE COURT: So we noted the inconsistency last night. It's not just this charge it's inconsistent, so I wanted to get, before changing it one way or the other, if anyone had a preference.

MR. CREIZMAN: I don't like the defendants' names capitalized. That's my personal preference. I think it's prejudicial. I don't like it.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Agreed.

THE COURT: I would support just consistent use of Mr. Lebedev and Mr. Gross, or, I mean, there are places where, in this one it's both their names.

MR. CREIZMAN: In terms of whether they're referred to as Mr. or Yuri Lebedev?

THE COURT: There's three questions. There's the all caps; defense has indicated their objection to that, which I'm fine with, Mr. Shin.

MR. SHIN: That's fine, your Honor.

THE COURT: So then the question is, when their names appear, as it does frequently throughout the charge, it will not be in all caps, but there remains the question of whether to refer to them by "Trevon Gross" and "Yuri Lebedev" or "Mr. Gross" and "Mr. Lebedev" or "Gross" and "Lebedev". I don't have a preference. I'd prefer consistency, if anybody

1 does have a preference.

MR. KLINGEMAN: I think the defense, speaking on behalf of the defense, full name.

THE COURT: So in each place "Yuri Lebedev" and "Trevon Gross".

MR. KLINGEMAN: Agreed.

MS. SANTILLO: Although, it may be a little late to say this, but it's "Trevon".

THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Gross. That's good to note because I have to read this thing. Thank you for letting me know.

MR. SHIN: And the government has no view on that, your Honor.

THE COURT: I will set Mr. Rosen on the search and replace mission, and he has no choice but to accept it. So we will add Trevon Gross and Yuri Lebedev in not all caps in every place that their name appears.

Anything else?

MR. SHIN: Yes, your Honor. On page 64, the venue charge. I just wanted to say for the record, after our charge conference the other day, chambers circulated this draft, so I just wanted to note for the record that the government is fine with it. I think you had given us the opportunity to comment on it, so I just wanted to reflect that, your Honor.

THE COURT: Defense, I presume, is comfortable?

1 MR. CREIZMAN: On behalf of Mr. Lebedev, yes. THE COURT: Thank you. 2 3 MR. KLINGEMAN: Yes, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Thank you. 5 Anything else? 6 MR. SHIN: Last comment, your Honor, is the character 7 evidence instruction, which is on page 79, instruction number 51. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. SHIN: So this was our first opportunity, I 11 believe, to see this instruction, and so the government would 12 object to the sentence contained in lines 7 and 8, "Evidence of 13 good character may itself create a reasonable doubt." 14 I did some quick research this morning, your Honor, 15 and I have a couple of cases that I can hand up and also 16 provide to counsel. 17 THE COURT: I think I got it from Sand. 18 MR. SHIN: It is in Sand, your Honor, and I'll address the point while I'm handing up the cases. 19 20 THE COURT: All right. 21 MR. SHIN: I've handed up two cases, your Honor. 22 first is United States v. Pujana-Mena, 949 F.2d 24, Second 23 Circuit 1991. This case holds that -- that sentence, I think, 24 is commonly described, your Honor, as a standing alone charge

in the context of a character witness charge. And so I'll

direct your Honor to -- there are various passages. So first at page 28 of the opinion, the Court holds that "such a charge" --

THE COURT: I'm sorry. This is a Circuit decision?

MR. SHIN: Yes, your Honor. It's a 1991 Second

Circuit decision.

THE COURT: Okay. Page 28.

MR. SHIN: Actually, the first thing that might be helpful is if you look at page 27, your Honor, the actual proposed language that's being addressed in this opinion as quoted.

THE COURT: I read that. "That character evidence alone may raise a reasonable doubt"?

MR. SHIN: Right, your Honor.

So then at page 28, right above footnote 2, the Court holds that, "Such a charge is not required." The Court then, at page — there's a long discussion of why it's not required, but we will point your Honor to page 30 of the opinion, which is on page 4 of the printout, kind of on the lower right-hand portion of the page, it describes "such an instruction as threatening to interfere with one of the quintessential functions of the jury to determine the relative weight, if any, to be given to particular evidence," and then it goes on instructing them to "focus on such evidence in isolation or suggesting that they give it determinative weight usurps this

jury responsibility", and then there's more discussion.

I would point then, your Honor, to the next page of the printout, page 31 of the opinion, page 5 of the printout on the right side. The Court says, "One of the primary problems with the standing alone charge is that this risks having the jury disregard all the other evidence in the case, not just other defense evidence."

And lastly, I would point your Honor to page 6 of the printout, which is the discussion on pages 31 to 32 of the opinion. So just to again give context, this opinion says, "It's not required, it doesn't expressly prohibit that language."

THE COURT: Right.

MR. SHIN: But at pages 31 to 32, the Court gives further guidance. "We find it appropriate to state that we believe such a hybrid charge would be less than ideal in most cases." And again, it gives the same rationale that it's confusing to the jury — first of all, it invades the weight given to particular evidence, and it's also confusing because then the instruction says "consider the weight to all the evidence together and you can also consider this evidence alone".

Then the other decision I handed up to the Court, your Honor, is a 2014 Second Circuit decision. U.S. v. Gupta, 747 F.3d 111. And the relevant portion of the opinion there is on

page 140, which is at the very end of the opinion.

So the way this was teed up in this case is that Judge Rakoff declined to give that sentence. And Judge Rakoff acknowledged that this instruction is commonly given, but he declined to give it because it artificially singles out one aspect of the proof and gives it sort of prominence above all others by implication. And because he was not required to give it, he declined to give it in light of that confusion.

And the Second Circuit reaffirmed Pujana-Mena, the prior decision we've been discussing, and held that it affirmed Judge Rakoff's decision not to provide that particular language within the character evidence charge.

I think what the case law stands for, your Honor, is it doesn't bar your Honor from giving that sentence, but I think there's strong language in the 1991 decision that suggests, in most cases, it would be less than ideal in most cases. That's what the Second Circuit says, and it gave a lot of rationale as to why that is.

THE COURT: Gupta was a fraud case?

MR. SHIN: Yes, your Honor. It was a securities fraud case.

THE COURT: Okay. I'd like to take a look at these --

MR. SHIN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: -- at the break, but I'm happy to hear from defense counsel now, or you can take a look, as well.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Your Honor, I've looked at the cases quickly, but I'm also familiar with this issue. And counsel has accurately summarized the law, and your Honor's even superficial reading of the cases will make it clear that that's what counsel has done. However, the bottom line is that the Court has the discretion in particular cases, or to use the phrase of the Court of Appeals, some cases, to employ the instruction that your Honor has already inserted into the proposed jury instructions, and we ask for it to be used in this particular sum case.

THE COURT: Just to note, I hadn't focused on this issue, and I took it from Sand without awareness of the question about the instruction, so I didn't yet pass judgment on it.

MR. KLINGEMAN: I'm not suggesting you have, I was just referring to what's in the draft as opposed to what's proposed by the government. As I'm saying, this is one of the sum cases in the sense that the character of the defendant goes to the heart of the allegation.

As your Honor knows, essentially the three charges, each of which underlie the conspiracy as well as the substantive charge of bribery, all go to the defendant's intent. In the case of bribery, they go to his purported corrupt intent, and in the case conspiracy with respect to the NCUA, they go to his either having made false statements or

obstructing an investigation. In sum and substance, they involve his acting deliberately, knowingly, and intentionally with a bad purpose. And a person's character, based on the evidence that we elicited yesterday, is central to those intents corresponding to each of the counts and allegations in the indictment. And therefore, this is one of those sum cases where that evidence is of such significance that this charge is appropriate as opposed to the charge that the government favors.

We'd ask your Honor to exercise your discretion and include this particular charge as opposed to the charge that may be more appropriate in other cases where character is not of such centrality.

THE COURT: Mr. Creizman.

MR. CREIZMAN: I have no position in this other than I think that -- I mean, this doesn't directly affect my client, but I join -- I agree with codefendant's counsel in this particular issue.

THE COURT: I'll look at the cases and consider it. I hear the points Mr. Klingeman is making, and it's related to why I asked if Gupta was a fraud case, so there's some overlap, but I'll take a look at the break and let you know.

MR. SHIN: Just on that point, your Honor. I will note that Pujana-Mena, the '91 decision, includes a lengthy decision of why the standing alone charge is not required even

in a case where character evidence is the only evidence offered by the defense. So your Honor's going to read the cases, and so I just wanted to note that.

THE COURT: I will take a look.

Matters that need to be taken up before the next segment? If not, we did a placeholder on the Rule 29 motions, and I did want to give counsel an opportunity to just state their -- I had cut off Mr. Creizman and had asked Mr. Klingeman to hold, as well, but I wanted to give you an opportunity to state your grounds.

Mr. Creizman.

MR. CREIZMAN: Yes. Just briefly. The government -
I move for a Rule 29 motion as to all of the counts against

Yuri Lebedev on the grounds that the government has not proven

sufficient facts that -- facts sufficient to establish beyond a

reasonable doubt to a rational jury that he's guilty of any of

the charges against him.

In particular, though, I want to focus on the bank and wire fraud and conspiracy to commit bank and wire fraud charges. Aside from the fact that Mr. Lebedev — there's no evidence of his involvement in actually miscoding or lying to banks directly, other than creating software that might have been used for that purpose.

The government has failed to establish that the financial institutions, who are the victims, were put at any --

had any risk -- there's certainly no -- no one established any intent to harm, no contemplated harm to the banks to defraud them of money or property, and in this case, also, I believe that there's no -- there's not sufficient evidence of risk, and I think that the Second Circuit case on this is -- well, I have it. I think it's -- that is my principal point, and I'll be able to get the case cite in a moment.

But the witnesses who testified talked about vaguely a reputational risk, vaguely that if there's an unlicensed money exchanger, that somehow they might get fined from FinCEN or there might be fines because they allowed an unlicensed money transmitting business to operate.

I mean, given the circumstances here, they were presented with an application from what appeared to be a collectibles organization, and there was no indication that this was an organization devoted to Bitcoins and money exchange. It seems to me that there was no real risk. And the government didn't establish that these banks were at any real risk. The banks certainly — the second that they sensed, as their usual course of — per their usual practices, once they recognized transactions that seemed to involve enough chargebacks or questionable transactions, they immediately shut the accounts down. There was no losses to the banks, there was no risk to the banks in this case, so that's essentially the argument.

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Your Honor.

THE COURT: One question. We do have all our jurors, so the question is, do you want to just do a brief statement of reasons, or if you want to sort of go into some brief argumentation, I think I'll ask you to pause until the break.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Here's my thought, your Honor. We made the motion at the close of the government's case as the rule contemplates, but the rule also contemplates that the Court may consider the motion at the close of all the presentations. So perhaps it would be appropriate, in light of the fact that we've put on a substantive case, that I delay my presentation until the close of the evidence, and I'm happy to do that.

THE COURT: All right. Yes. I want to make sure there's no prejudice for failure to state them.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Let me then place on the record briefly the two principal arguments.

As your Honor knows -- and I'm going to refer to the counts by their newly configured numbers -- Count One charges a conspiracy involving Mr. Gross, and Count Three charges a receipt of a corrupt payment by Mr. Gross.

With respect to both Counts One and Count Three, we submit that there has been no evidence that Mr. Gross acted "corruptly" as the statute and the indictment require.

And furthermore, with respect to Count One, which includes as separate second and third objects of the conspiracy the obstruction of an NCUA investigation and the making of false statements to the NCUA, we submit that there's no evidence that Mr. Gross deliberately and wrongfully obstructed the NCUA investigation, and likewise, that he deliberately and falsely made statements to the NCUA.

In this case, as you'll undoubtedly hear from me on summation, there's very little dispute about what happened.

Most of the dispute is about why it happened and what motivated Mr. Gross and what he intended in the language of criminal law. And we submit that there is copious evidence of what happened and no evidence of why or what was intended in the criminal sense.

The second substantial argument we'd make with respect to Rule 29 on both Counts One and Count Three is there is utter absence of evidence establishing venue from the point of view of Mr. Gross with respect to either count, and I'll take them in reverse order. With respect to Count Three, the bribery count, as your Honor will instruct the jury, there has to be some, what I would refer to as --

THE COURT: I'm going to let you come back and fill out the argument. This is just to state the --

MR. KLINGEMAN: Right. There's got to be some intentional conduct in connection with venue with respect to

the substantive count. I'm not using the right language because I've mislaid my notes, but I'll refer to the language in the jury instruction.

And likewise, with respect to the conspiracy, there's nothing that the government has established concerning Mr. Gross' knowledge, the foreseeability, or certainly the intention of Mr. Gross to conduct any of this conspiracy in the Southern District of New York.

And so for both of those reasons, among others, we'd ask for the Rule 29 motion to be granted, either now or in the future.

MR. CREIZMAN: I just wanted to fill in the case cite that I think controls on the issue of --

THE COURT: Risk.

MR. CREIZMAN: -- risk. Risk and intent to defraud here with respect to the banks and the mail fraud count, because the banks are -- the same victims are the financial institutions. It's United States versus Nkansah, N-k-a-n-s-a-h. It's the Second Circuit case, and the citation is 699 Fed. 3d 743, and it's a 2012 case.

THE COURT: All right, thank you. You can place hold your opposition, Mr. Shin, and depending on time, I'll give you a chance to fill in your opposition. Do you want to just state your opposition? Mr. Creizman wants you to default again but --

1 MR. SHIN: Right.
2 MR. CREIZMAN: I

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CREIZMAN: I note for the record again.

MR. SHIN: We oppose both motions. In the interest of the jury's time, we'll make arguments later, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SHIN: One last thing to address before the jury comes in.

THE COURT: I'm reserving. Go ahead.

MR. SHIN: Thank you, your Honor. Yesterday you may recall that we had a list of exhibits that were admitted prior to Mr. Rollins' testimony. It was Exhibit 4020 and it had a list of the number of documents.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SHIN: I think everyone has agreed that all the documents listed in 4020 were admitted, and that's why Mr. Rollins was permitted to testify to them.

We would just request that, for clarity of the record, that your Honor direct the court reporter to make sure that the transcript include as a list as the admitted documents, not just 4020 itself, but also all the documents contained therein. The Court has asked for your direction on this.

THE COURT: I appreciate that. I apologize for not being clear. 4020 and all of the exhibits contained on 4020 are admitted.

(Government's Exhibits Faceplates 2 and 4, Exhibits

```
707 to 712, 715-A to 715-B, 716-A to 716-C, 717-A to 717-B, 718
1
      to 720, 730 to 736, 740, 750, 751, 752-A, 752-B, 753, 761, 762,
2
3
      76, 781 to 785, 787, 790-A, 790-B received in evidence)
 4
               MR. SHIN: Thank you, your Honor.
5
               THE COURT: We'll get the jury.
6
               Mr. Gross, you may come to the stand, sir.
 7
               (Continued on next page)
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen of the jury. I am going to reswear Mr. Gross.

TREVON GROSS,

recalled as a witness by the Defendant,

having been previously sworn, testified as follows:

THE COURT: Ms. Santillo, when you're ready, you may proceed.

MS. SANTILLO: Thank you.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

- BY MS. SANTILLO:
- Good morning, Pastor Gross. 12
- 13 A. Good morning.
- 14 Q. As lead pastor of the Hope Cathedral, to whom do you
- 15 report?
- A. We have a board of elders which serves as like the board of 16
- 17 directors of the church, and they have the fiduciary
- responsibility to hire me, evaluate me, and so they are my 18
- 19 bosses.
- 20 Okay. Who sets your salary? Ο.
- 21 The board of elders does. Α.
- 22 And how was your salary set? Q.
- 23 They hire an outside consulting firm that does a study, a
- compensation study, and that compensation study sends back a 24
- 25 recommendation. They, without my participation, set what that

salary."

1

- salary is, and then they come and tell me, "This is your
- 3 When was the last time you received a raise? Okav.
- Never. Since it was set in the early days, my salary has 4 Α.
- 5 not changed.
- In the early days, could you define what "the early days" 6 7 are?
- A. We founded the church in 2002, as I said, in our living 8
- 9 room, and I was not on salary because I was still actually
- 10 working in New York City at that time. But when I became full
- 11 time at the church, which was probably in 2006, 2007, that was
- 12 when I got my salary, and it's been the same since then.
- 13 Who is in charge of the finances at the church?
- 14 A. Well, the board of elders has a treasurer, a corporate
- 15 treasurer, and that person is Loretta Larkins, and she actually
- also serves as the church's administrator. 16
- 17 What role, if any, do you play in the finances of the
- 18 church?
- 19 A. None.
- 20 What role, if any, do you play in collecting envelopes on
- 21 Sundays?
- 22 Α. None.
- 23 What role, if any, do you play in paying church bills? 0.
- 24 Α. None.
- 25 What role, if any, do you play in preparing tax forms like

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- W-2s or 1099s for the church or its employees?
- 2 Α. No role whatsoever.
- Has there ever been an occasion when the church has been 3 4 unable to pay your salary?
- 5 A. Unfortunately, yes. There have been many years.
- 6 2016 was the first year that I was able to get every one of my 7 paychecks without any delay.
- So what happens if the church is not able to pay your 8 9 salary?
 - So we have a payroll company that manages the church's payroll, and they would cut checks for me, as they would all the other employees of the church. And when it came time to cash the check, if the money wasn't there, they would ask me to hold my check, and I would hold it.

Through some of the difficult times with the economy, as you all know from 2008 until, you know, very recently, there were a lot of months where I was not able to cash my check, and I ended up giving back those net checks to the church, and they added them to an account where, when the money became available, I would be able to get that money.

- Do you still have an outstanding balance in that account?
- 22 I do. I'm not sure what the total is, but there is an 23 outstanding balance, yes.
- 24 I want to talk a little bit about this donation to the 25 The government claims that you personally benefited

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from the donation to the church. What, if any, offers did the Collectables Club make to pay you directly in connection with this partnership?

Oh, as I said yesterday, when we first began the discussions with Michael and Anthony Murgio, they were making all kinds of financial pitches about, "We could do this for you. We could do that for you, to you as an individual, the board members, or wherever you wanted."

And then throughout the course of the relationship, whenever things seemed to get tense or they seemed to feel that I wasn't aligned with them or whatever, they would, you know, they would say, "Well, you know, this is going to be very profitable to you." Even towards the end, before that big meeting that you all heard the recording of, they were offering me, you know, hundreds of thousands of dollars, and their backers would, you know, give me whatever I wanted to live my wildest dreams and none of that at all moved me because that was not the purpose of this arrangement.

MS. SANTILLO: I'd like to call up Government Exhibit 1072-B.

THE COURT: That's in evidence?

MS. SANTILLO: Yes, it is in evidence.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. SANTILLO: If you can go down to the bottom.

This is an email from Michael Murgio?

1 Α. Yes.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Purports to be from Michael Murgio? Okay. Q.

3 MS. SANTILLO: So if you move to the bottom.

THE COURT: Ms. Santillo, can you pull your mic a little closer?

MS. SANTILLO: Sorry.

If you can zoom in on Option 2.

- What does this represent?
- This represents an example of one of the offers that they made in the early stages of our discussions where you see he's saying that, "We would pay you and other officers a nice monthly fee for a couple of years for the ability to take over the credit union."
- Q. Instead of being paid directly, how did you settle on having there be a \$150,000 donation to the church?
 - A. Well, we began to talk about the donation and what that looked like, because from their perspective they wanted to show, number one, that they were committed to doing this, and number two, they also wanted to get the church disconnected from the credit union.

As I said yesterday, the credit union had been the underwriter for -- the church had been the underwriter for the credit union for at least the last seven or so years, and every time it needed things, the church was there for it. So they really wanted to disconnect that connection where the credit

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

union could stand on its own, and as they come in with their members, it would then truly be an unencumbered credit union without any ties at all to the church.

So I can't remember the exact numbers, but they offered big numbers that they would give to the church and all of that, and those larger numbers, quite frankly, sounded unreasonable because that didn't in any way represent the investment that the church had made in the credit union, so we settled on the number of 150 as, from my sense, a rough estimate of what I knew the church had been putting in over the years to keep the credit union going.

MS. SANTILLO: I'd like to call up Government Exhibit 1081-D in evidence. If you can zoom in on the second paragraph, paragraph 2. Paragraph 2 in the second email. Actually, if you could scroll a little bit further down to the bottom to the email below. No, to the email below. could start with the whole "within one month of operation". Yes, there we go.

- Q. What does this appear to be?
- This is -- this is their discussion of kind of how to set up the giving of the donation, and also the activating of the debit card program.
- Q. Okay.

MS. SANTILLO: And if we could scroll up to your response.

19

20

24

25

Gross - Direct

- 1 In paragraph 2, could you read that for me and tell me what 2 is happening here?
- "I think that the smaller donation amount is too 3 4 small and the larger donation is too large. I believe in being
- 5 fair on all things," and then --
- 6 That's good. Yes. And what were you trying to say to Ο.
- 7 Mr. Murgio in that situation?
- 8 That we wanted to come up with a reasonable number that 9 really actualized what the church had invested in the credit
- 10 union and be fair to everybody involved.
- 11 When the money came into the church, how was the money from the donation spent? 12
- 13 It was spent to take care of the church's bills. Α.
- 14 Okay. Can you give some examples? Q.
- All the bills. I mean, any of the bills of the church. 15 Α. their ongoing bills, whatever the church had need of that was 16 17 in this normal course of business, that money went towards it.
 - MS. SANTILLO: I'd like to walk through some of the expenses Mr. Rollins spoke about yesterday, so if we could turn to the slide at -- if we could turn to GX900. Go to page 38.
- 21 Yesterday, Mr. Rollins testified about using a method to 22 determine how these funds were spent, and I just want to walk 23 you through some of these expenses.
 - MS. SANTILLO: So if we could go down to the next page on 39.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

- There's a finding for Rosa Mexicano, on the next page for 1 Havana Central in June, 2014. What were those expenses? 2
 - A. We were here in New York City for a conference, and there was a group of pastors who had come from all over the country to be here for a conference that weekend. And these expenses represent us going out to eat as pastors. The Rosa Mexicano, as I recall, was actually some guacamole. They actually have I think some of the best quacamole. And we used it as a full reception for the pastors that night when they all arrived as
 - Was that considered to be a business function?

to way to welcome them in.

- 12 That was a business function at a business expense.
- 13 Would this have been a business expense that the church 14 would have paid for even before the donation was received?
 - Α. Absolutely. This is a standard thing that we do when pastors come in town or any kind of visiting quest, we would host them in some way.
 - MS. SANTILLO: Turning to page 41, please.
 - What, if any, personal expenses are listed on this page?
 - None of them are personal. This actually represents the church's PayPal account, and each of these items were related to the church's operation.
- 23 MS. SANTILLO: If you could turn to the next page.
- 24 What is Edit Builder? 0.
 - Edit Builder was a company we found on e-Bay that actually Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Gross - Direct

refurbished old MacIntosh computers, because we needed one to operate our camera system at the church. We needed one that was open architecture -- that's probably a little too technical -- but we needed a certain type of computer, and this company rebuilt them for us, so we purchased this for our media department.

MS. SANTILLO: I'd like to show the witness a picture.

Mr. Michaelson, if you could display the picture for the witness and for counsel.

- Pastor Gross, do you recognize this picture? Ο.
- 11 Α. Yes, I do.
- 12 Ο. What is that?
- 13 This is a picture on the inside of our media booth at the Α. 14 church.
- 15 THE COURT: Was this marked for identification?
- 16 MS. SANTILLO: Yes, as TG54.
- 17 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 18 MS. SANTILLO: Your Honor, I'd like to introduce this exhibit as TG54. 19
- 20 No objection, your Honor. MR. NOBLE:
- 21 THE COURT: Thank you. It's admitted.
- 22 (Defendant's Exhibit TG54 received in evidence)
- 23 MS. SANTILLO: May we publish it to the jury?
- 24 THE COURT: You may.
- 25 BY MS. SANTILLO:

- Q. And how is Edit Builders used at the church?
- 2 A. This computer right there you see is the big silver box in
- 3 | the middle, that is used to run or camera system for the
- 4 streaming that we do during our worship service, as well as
- 5 | it's used to edit the videos after the service, and this
- 6 computer is also used to push the video images on the
- 7 | televisions that we have throughout the building.
- 8 And this picture also shows some of the other
- 9 computers that we have that are Apple computers. You see the
- 10 | iMac there, there's another computer behind this other monitor
- 11 behind the audio board. There are about four computers that
- 12 | are right there in that media booth; one for our lights, one
- 13 | for the audio, one for our stream, and one for our projection
- 14 screens.
- Q. Why do you need this technology for your services? Can you
- 16 describe your services?
- 17 A. Yes. Well, we have a contemporary worship service, so we
- 18 | have a live band, and the lights, and all of that in our
- 19 worship service, and so all this technology facilitates that.
- 20 MS. SANTILLO: If you could turn to page 43. Yes,
- 21 Ms. Grant. Thank you.
- 22 \parallel Q. Are any of the payments on this page personal expenses?
- 23 | THE COURT: I'm sorry. Page 43 of Government 900?
- MS. SANTILLO: Yes.
- THE WITNESS: No, ma'am, none of these are personal.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

The first is the security system for the church, the second is a cleaning service that we use to clean the church, the third is an envelope printing company, if I recall, the fourth is an electrician that was doing work at the church, this next one is another cleaning service, Mill Pond Catering and the Bryan Popin Ministries are expenses we had for our big Freedom Sunday which we have around July 4th where we have a bunch of food and we bring in a special guest artist to sing. So that was the catering for that event, as well as the special guest, and then Airlin is an HVAC company that I think must have repaired our

- MS. SANTILLO: Moving to page 45 of Government Exhibit 900.
- Q. Now, if we could look at the Broadway show on June 9th, 2014. What can you tell us about that?
 - This happened around the same time as that pastors' conference, and as I recall, a couple of our kids went to see one of the Broadway plays, and this is actually a personal expense that is chargeable to me --
- Okay. Did what about --0.
- 21 -- on my personal credit card. Α.

air conditioning at the church.

- 22 Ο. What about the movies?
- 23 The movies, I'm not quite sure about, but I know they 24 weren't personal to me. We do have a student ministry where we 25 take kids out to the movie, or we give them gift cards to go to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

movies because they've done things in church and that type of So it may look strange to you, you know, movie charges thing. on a church account, but this past weekend with the new movie Shaq coming out, we took 200 people to see that movie as a way to do outreach and help them understand how to handle difficult situations. So this was -- these were in some way in connection with I'm sure our student ministries.

Q. Okay.

MS. SANTILLO: Moving to page 46.

- What can you tell me about these expenses? Ο.
- 11 These are personal. Juice Basin is for me. It's a juice 12 spot that I go to, my wife and I go to, and this is on my 13 personal credit card, and I paid for it personally.
 - Q. Well, what role does the American Express card play at the church?
 - Sure. So when we founded the church as a new organization, it had no credit, no history, no anything. And so the people who start something are often the ones who have to back the organization when you start a personal business, or a church for that matter. And so everything the church has done from the beginning really has been undergrided by my wife and I. And so whenever the church needed something, whether it was a copier or anything else, we had to serve as the people to make sure that the church was able to get that. So we got the credit card to enable us to be able to handle our personal

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- expenses, of course, but when I travel, because the church did not have any credit cards, that card also served as a corporate credit card, as well.
- Who was responsible for keeping track of the American Express card?
- So Loretta Larkins, who was the administrator, she gets the bill every month, and she separates out what's personal and what belongs to the church. I'm responsible for anything that's on there that's personal, and she's responsible for anything that belongs to the church.
- Turning to page 47, what can you tell me about this expense at Tao Massage?
- Tao Massage, you look at this and say massage as a Α. Sure. church? What's that about? I actually have two bulging discs, two herniated discs in my neck, and I see a chiropractor two to three times a week for that. The chiropractor that I was going to at this time did not have physical therapy, and so he recommended that I go get a massage to decompress my neck, because I was not getting any kind of lasting benefits. And so during the time that I was at that chiropractor, I was going to this massage place, not for pleasure but to get some kind of relief so my left hand wouldn't go numb. And then after a period of time, I moved to a new chiropractor that actually did have physical therapy, which I still go to to this day. this really is not a massage for pleasure, it is actually more

- like physical therapy to decompress my neck, and it is a 1 medical expense, that's why you see it there. 2
- 3 Q. Were you motivated to enter into a relationship with the Collectables Club for this \$145 massage? 4
 - Absolutely not. Α.

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

- Okay. And did you receive physical therapy both before and after the donation?
- Absolutely. Α.

aren't personal.

- Turning to page 51, with respect to college applications. Ο.
- 10 Well, this is actually from January, 2014. So this is 11 before any of the donations even came in, and this was on my 12 personal American Express, and it's something that I paid for 13 personally.
- 14 I think we may have skipped a slide, 49 or 50. Apple?
 - So these are business expenses. In fact, if you look A. Yes. at the lower left-hand corner you'll see there where it says "total invoice after business discounts". So these were purchases for the church, whether it's for the media booth, or administration, or some other aspect of the church, but these

21 MS. SANTILLO: If you could turn to page 52, please.

This is a list of some cash withdrawals. The largest withdrawal we discussed yesterday was with respect to Joel Osteen Ministries. Would that expense occur regardless of the donation?

Case 1:15-cr-00769-AJN Document 501 Filed 04/07/17 Page 37 of 322

H380LEB1 Gross - Direct

A. Absolutely. We've made donations to this ministry and other ministries before this happened, and we continue to make them since.

Q. Without getting into every withdrawal, are there some reasons why these cash withdrawals have taken place?

A. Yes. If you notice, a lot of them took place around 7/1 to 7/8, which was around that time we were planning for that big Freedom Sunday celebration. So more than likely a lot of those were preparatory expenses, they forgot something at the store and needed to run or give money to someone to run to the store to grab something. I don't know exactly, but they're not personal to me is my point.

(Continued on next page)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MS. SANTILLO: If we could --

- If I just might complete the answer: The one that is Α. there, the 1355 that was written out to me, I don't know all of the details behind that, except that I know that that was in response to an urgent request we received for someone who was in some kind of financial distress. I'm not sure what the exact case was, but that specific check was me going to the bank getting money to go help someone with some emergency they had, and that sometimes happens. Normally, Loretta takes care of it, but if she's not there, and there's a need, then she'll call me and say, hey, can you go do this.
- What does the term "living legacy" mean at your church? So, we believe that if your life is meaningful, you're going to leave a living legacy, that you're not waiting to be remembered after you're gone. So, for us, anything we do to help people, we consider it living legacy. So, the traditional word you may hear is benevolence, but things like helping people pay their rent or pay their electric bill, if it's going to be shut off, any kind of giving, we do. Our feeding program, the clothes we give out, all those types of things, are part of us leaving a living legacy. So, that expense would be a living legacy expense.
 - MS. SANTILLO: If we could move to page 54.
- 24 What can you tell me about this expense?
 - So, this is a swimming pool, and you all saw earlier in the Α.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

Gross - Direct

- trial, a picture of my house. I don't know if you knew it was
 my house, but there was a picture of a house with a pool.
- That's our home there. That's where we started the church, and we do have a pool there.

And that pool has been used from the beginning as a place for the students in the church to come, and hang out, and to swim. Barbara Floyd yesterday mentioned about our summer camp. They used to swim at our house as well. So, this expense is an expense to -- I don't know, it looks like an opening fee or something for the pool. And that would have been on my American Express, and it is actually charged to me as an expense, even though I don't use the pool, but the pool is available for others to use.

- Q. So, to clarify, on the American Express card, was this characterized as a personal expense or a church expense?
- A. This is a personal expense, absolutely.
- Q. Were you motivated to enter into a business partnership with the Collectables Club as a result of any of these payments?
- A. Absolutely not.
- 21 Q. A witness from the IRS testified yesterday about your tax 22 returns.
- MS. SANTILLO: And I'd like to call up Exhibit 603 at page 23, line 16.
 - Q. That contains what appears to say, "Gifts to charity," and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

it has a number of \$45,397. Can you tell me what that represents?

That represents all the giving that my wife and I do throughout the year to Hope Cathedral, as well as to other organizations, like Compassion International and other things like that.

MS. SANTILLO: If we could --

- Now, just to address your tax return, what role, if any, did you play in preparing 1099s for your church?
- Α. No role whatsoever.
- And who, again, is responsible for that?
- That would be Loretta Larkins. 12 Α.
- 13 And we have a payroll company that does all of that, so, really, they do it, they send it out. All she does is 14 15 process the weekly payroll or biweekly payroll.
- What, if any, accounting oversight do you have at the 16 17 church?
- 18 Α. None.
 - Not you personally, but does the church have in general? Q.
- 20 Oh, yes. I have none, but the church, yeah, we have an 21 outside accountant that manages the books and in conjunction 22 with Loretta, and then they perform an operational assessment
- 23 once a year.
- 24 Who do they report to with that regard?
- 25 To the board of elders. Α.

- Gross Direct
- 1 How are your personal taxes prepared?
- 3 so that's about since 2000 -- actually, 1999 -- I have used the

I pay a tax preparer. So, since I've been in this area --

- 4 same preparer to prepare our taxes ever since then. So we pay
- 5 a preparer.

Α.

- 6 So, would you spend time reviewing IRS circulars to
- 7 interpret income?
- No, ma'am. 8 Α.
- Q. Now, I'd like to talk about -- in connection with the 9
- 10 relationship with the Collectables Club and HOPE FCU, did you
- come to receive any consulting fees? 11
- 12 Α. Yes, ma'am.
- 13 And why were the consulting fees given to you? 0.
- 14 Well, what had happened, as I began to say yesterday, the Α.
- original arrangement with the Collectables Club was they would 15
- come in and offer that operational support, that day-to-day 16
- 17 needed support for the credit union to handle all of the
- 18 various responsibilities. And every time that date was set for
- 19 that to happen, it did not happen. And my responsibility,
- 20 which was supposed to be decreasing, so that I could get back
- to doing what I'm supposed to do, was actually increasing, and 21
- 22 I was ending up spending sometimes 50 hours a week doing things
- 23 in the credit union.
- 24 So, we had a real serious discussion about, you know,
- 25 that not being fair to the church and not being fair to me

Gross - Direct

- because that's not what we agreed to. And so, in one of our 1 meetings, we discussed about there being some form of 2 3 remuneration to the church to offset what was happening because 4 my -- the church was paying my salary, but all of my time was
- 6 Did the credit union itself pay any part of that fee? 0.
- 7 No, ma'am. Α.

5

13

21

- Who paid that fee? 8 Q.
- 9 That was from the Collectables Club, and I think Kapcharge Α. 10 had some role in the aggregate amount.
- 11 MS. SANTILLO: I'd like to turn to Government Exhibit 12 900 at 67.
 - What does this exhibit represent?

being spent in the credit union.

- 14 This looks like it is the Collectables Club account at the Α. 15 credit union, where they took \$6,000 and put it into my personal account at the credit union, and then you see it being 16 17 reversed and then put into where it should have been placed, 18 which is the church's account. And it was actually after this 19 transaction that Ricardo Hill's access to the system was 20 terminated.
 - Why is that? Q.
- 22 Well, because that was not what the agreed-upon process 23 was. And this, to me, seemed like a sly way for them to mess 24 things up as they realized the relationship was coming to an 25 end because all the other payments had gone directly into the

Gross - Direct

- church's account, as they were supposed to. 1
- 3 relationship between the HOPE Credit Union and the Collectables

Q. Now, you mentioned yesterday that one of the aspects of the

- Club was that you would be given -- the legacy board members of 4
- 5 the church would be given a \$50,000 payment to start a new
- 6 credit union in the event that the relationship did not work
- 7 out.

- A. Yes, ma'am. 8
- 9 Q. Do you recall that?
- 10 MS. SANTILLO: If I could call up Government's Exhibit
- 11 1162-B, which is in evidence. And if you could scroll to the
- 12 bottom, please. Would you go to the top, please. Okay.
- 13 Q. Mr. Gross, if you could read to yourself that final
- 14 paragraph in the email that looks like it's signed by you, and
- 15 tell me what that represents.
- This seems to be me talking about how we handle that 16
- 17 \$50,000 set-aside payment so that we could start our own credit
- union, and it also has me talking about, after some research 18
- that I had done, that to charter a new credit union would cost 19
- 20 really around 71K for a full service CU and the plan to put
- 21 that full-service CU together.
- 22 MS. SANTILLO: If you could scroll to the top, please,
- Ms. Grant, the top of the email. To the top of the first page. 23
- 24 If you could look at the second email in that page. The third
- 25 email, I'm sorry.

7

- Now, what was the agreement with respect to that \$50,000?
- It would be put in escrow. So, the idea was that it would 2 Α.
- 3 be placed in a secure, neutral location, and then, upon
- 4 agreement from both parties that we weren't going to be able to
- 5 work together or we were going to part ways, that that money
- 6 then would be able to pioneer a new credit union.
 - Did that money ever come to be placed into escrow?
- No, ma'am. 8 Α.
 - Why is that? Q.
- 10 They never kept their word. Α.
- 11 Speaking of which, there came a time when you had a
- falling-out with the Collectables Club, and we've heard 12
- 13 evidence about a meeting with respect to that. From your
- 14 perspective, what happened in that meeting?
- So much happened in that meeting, but let me give you a 15
- context around that whole time, because at that point, we had 16
- 17 had our board meeting where they were moved from the board to
- an advisory board. So, that automatically triggered something 18
- on their side, because after that, they all wanted to come in 19
- 20 town to have a meeting, and they wanted to then try to keep all
- the promises that they hadn't kept this whole time. So it was 21
- 22 during that time where they talked about we're going to get an
- 23 apartment, we're going to move here, we're going to get
- 24 licenses, we're going to do all these things, but they hadn't
- 25 done them prior to that time, as they had agreed.

So, they were coming into town to try to figure out how they could get this relationship back on track, and they were -- you know, they were coming with a clear agenda.

So, by the time they got there, we did have a meeting, and that's really where a lot of the -- we talked that Friday morning. That was when I started to see even more of their deception, and things just weren't being the way that they were supposed to be from the operational side.

So then we met that following morning, which is the recording you all heard. There were two parts to that meeting. It probably was a total of about a three-hour meeting. The first part was about the fact that the examiner had come and had basically accused us of being negligent in how we handled all the ACH transactions and that type of thing. And you heard Ms. Flok testify to that.

So, on the eve of having her come in, that meeting that Saturday morning was, what in the world is going on here. The very thing that I had been warning against and trying to stop you from doing, we're here. That everything that I had said was not supposed to happen has now come to pass, and now we're left with how to clean this situation up.

So, it was in that first part of the meeting, which was not recorded, where we talked about how we needed to have capital in the credit union on the books, so that regardless of the sense of risk, if you are well capitalized, and you have a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lot of money on your own bottom line as a credit union, that way it would at least mitigate whatever sense of risk there was with what happened in the past.

When we left, and we shook hands, no problem, we're going to do it, we're in this together, and then as soon as they were going out the door, Michael Murgio says, well, but we have to get permission before we can do what we said. that's when I -- I just couldn't take it anymore because we had been through this, I make an agreement, and then I renegotiate the agreement, I make an agreement, and so it was back and forth, and I had finally had it. I said enough of this.

And most of the people had already gone to their car, and Michael and Anthony were still there, and I said, uh-uh, everybody come back in because this is exactly the problem we've been having in this relationship. Every time we sit face to face, and we agree on something, when we leave the table, it's changed, and we can't keep doing this.

So what you then hear is -- in that recording is that second part of the meeting, where, as you can tell, it wasn't my best moment, but I was exercised, I was completely angry that we'd gotten to this point, and the gist -- the bad place the credit union was in because of their unkept promises.

- You talk about things in the call like being tired and a tap dance. Can you describe what you mean by that?
- In our normal operation as a credit union, I had been Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

any way?

with a credit union at that point, you know, almost ten years, it was a very simple process with the NCUA. They would notify us, they'd come in, we'd have our examination, they'd come back, they'd talk to us about things we needed to work on, we'd work on them, all was well, we wouldn't see them till the next vear.

Ever since we got into this relationship with Collectables Club, the NCUA was a permanent fixture in the credit union, asking questions, asking for documents, and the only one kind of there to make it happen were myself, Bernard Larkins, and George Wyatt to try to answer all of these questions, and it had just become a nightmare, and I was not completely confident that the things that had been told me were actually true. And so I'm representing things that I've been told, assuming that they are true, and now after the fact, I'm finding out some of these things may not be accurate. So, did you ever intentionally try to mislead the NCUA in

- A. Absolutely not. No, ma'am.
- So that is when you hear words like tap dancing, red flags, those were words to simply say I've never had to do this before, none of us have ever had to do this. We're in uncharted territory as a credit union to have this much, you know, whether it's scrutiny, or discord, or all of that going on.

- How many members had the credit union had before?
- Well, when I first got there, it was less than a hundred, 2 Α.
- 3 we moved up to a little bit over a hundred, and I think 170, I
- 4 don't know, somewhere around there, is what we had at around
- 5 that time.
- 6 How many business accounts did you have?
- 7 Very few. Not many. Α.
- 8 Was this a new process for you, dealing with a big business
- 9 account?
- 10 It was. Two things happened. It wasn't just dealing with
- 11 this big business account, we also started debit cards.
- 12 finally got debit cards at the end of September. But nobody
- 13 told us that we had to -- the way debit cards work on the back
- 14 end of a bank is a little bit different than how they work in
- 15 our own personal checking accounts.
- So, one of the things that we learned at the end of 16
- 17 October, which was the first month we had debit cards, was that
- there's a lot of reconciling that needs to be done, and, in 18
- fact -- and we realized at the end of October, that the 19
- 20 back-end reconciling that needed to be done with every
- 21 transaction, literally every ACH -- rather, every debit card
- 22 transaction that came through the credit union, it had to be
- 23 reconciled, in addition to what had to be reconciled on the
- 24 bank statements. It was a nightmare. So, it wasn't just the
- 25 Kapcharge business, it was also just that we had now stepped

- into a level of operating, which was a positive on one side, but it way outdistanced what we really knew how to handle.
- Q. What were you referring to when you said in the meeting that you had been negligent?
 - A. So, when Ms. Flok came in, and she asked a lot of questions that, quite frankly, I couldn't answer, her response was, well, that's negligence, you should know these answers. And, at that point, I'm like I probably should, but I don't, I don't have the answers to some of these things. And part of that was because I had been relying on information that was coming from people that at that point, I was very suspect of what they were
 - Q. What did you decide to do about that? What happened at this meeting in terms of the relationship?

saying was actually accurate.

A. So, at that point, I was really -- I'd had it with the dishonesty, I'd had it with this sense of, you know, you say one thing, you do another, and you can hear me talking about, you know, I believe that I kept my integrity throughout this whole process and that they had not kept their integrity in just keeping the words of what we had agreed to in our agreement.

So, that was the point at which I said, okay, listen, we had a provision in our agreement, \$50,000, that's the exit clause. So, clearly, we cannot work together. So, if you want to handle this mess, more power to you, we'll move on.

even a possibility anymore.

And so, that was what that discussion was all about. But I knew that they didn't have any money, and I knew that they weren't going to keep their word, because that's what they had always — that's what had always happened. So, the provision was, three people resign, and then they were supposed to send the money on Monday. Well, it didn't happen, which we knew wasn't going to happen. And that was when I said, this is over.

- Q. Were the members of the Collectables Club in a position to take over or to join the board of the credit union at that time?
- A. No, they weren't, no. Because we had already, the previous Saturday, moved them to an advisory board status, which gave them no authority or control at all in the credit union.
- Q. What was your understanding, as of Monday, about the status of the relationship between the Collectables Club and the HOPE FCU?
- A. Oh, it was completely over. We had hoped -- so we had hoped that their newfound energy around complying with the original agreement could mean we could still at least work with them not on the board, but could at least still work with them, and bring their members in, and grow the credit union.

 Obviously, after this meeting that took place, that was not
 - MS. SANTILLO: If we could go back to Government

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

send in.

Exhibit 900 at 79.

- What does this slide represent? Q.
- 3 This represents their first attempt to send \$50,000 to the 4 credit union.
 - Were there other attempts?
 - There actually was a second attempt. This attempt, because Α. we had just started working with United Advantage in Seattle in Oregon, I think, and so the wire settled before we could reject That's why it hit, and then we returned it. But there was a second -- as I recall, there was a second wire that they tried to send in as well that we told them up front, if you get anything from this organization, just reject it out of hand, you don't even have to ask us. And that's what happened with that -- with, I believe, that second wire that they tried to
 - Why did you return -- or why was the \$50,000 returned?
 - We wanted to have absolutely nothing to do with them.
 - Now, after the relationship with the Collectables Club was terminated, why did you continue to do business with Kapcharge?
- 20 Kapcharge, from every aspect that we had seen of them, was
- 21 a legitimate business that wanted to work with us, and help the
- 22 credit union grow, and become what we've envisioned for it to
- 23 be. It was also in the midst of this November time frame,
- 24 where we learned that the initial donation didn't come from the
- 25 Collectables Club, as I had been told, it had actually come

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from Kapcharge.

So, we met with Mark, and he met some of our board members, and we really talked about how do we go forward, and they were very interested in continuing to process, and to work with us, and to move forward in a relationship. And that's where we talked about the fee income that would be able to help the credit union grow.

- So, despite all the problems we've heard about in this case with respect to ACH processing, why would you want -- continue to want to do that as a business?
- Well, because there's nothing wrong with the business, it's how the business is run. And, unfortunately, the people who were running that line of business for the credit union, we've now learned to be completely dishonest and dishonorable people. There's nothing wrong with ACH transactions, they're happening through every financial institution in this country, but the people who were running it were not running it the way they represented to us that they were running it, and we knew that if we did it properly, it would actually help the credit union realize its financial goals.
- Q. What, if any, steps did you take to ensure that ACH processing in the credit union would be done in compliance with NCUA regulations?
- A. We started to learn. So, we actually signed a contract a couple of months earlier with United Advantage, and for them to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

teach us how to do ACH origination, and show us, from a policy standpoint and all those things, how do you do this business properly because they're a \$30 million credit union, and they do ACH transactions.

We also contacted the New Jersey Credit Union League, and they offer classes and help in consulting to help us understand what needed to happen.

We also brought on Charles Blue, the name you've heard a couple of times here. We brought in Charles Blue, a long-time member of the congregation, to actually manage the day-to-day operation of the credit union.

- What did you know about Charles Blue?
- He's been a faithful member of the congregation, a man Α. who's very well respected, teaches kids basketball, touched hundreds of kids' lives with his basketball program. extended parted of our family because we've known him so long.
- What are his professional qualifications?
- A. He used to serve as the CFO for Bloomingdale's here in New York, and I think when I met him, he was the CFO for a major company in North Jersey, which I think had over half a million -- half a billion dollar budget that he was responsible for as CFO.
- We've heard a lot about OFAC, and the PATRIOT Act, and all of those things. Were any steps taken to address some of the concerns that had been raised with regard to those issues?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Absolutely. So, every year, per NCUA regulations, all board members have to be trained in BSA, and OFAC, and anti-money laundering policies, and every year we have to get It's one of the things that they come in in the certified. annual audit, and they look at, and we had done that certification for -- back then.

With this whole introduction of ACH origination, that was a whole different animal that really required a whole lot more knowledge than we had and really a whole lot more training on the BSA, OFAC, and AML side that we don't possess. So, once Charles came on, he actually went and got certified. got -- there's a national certification that you can get in BSA, anti-money laundering, and all of that. He went and took that class and became certified, and he really led the effort to get the entire credit union compliant.

- Was there a letter of understanding that was in place with the NCUA?
- A. Yes. So, as we were trying to dig ourselves out of this madness that we were part of, the documents of resolution that we had received were put into a letter of understanding, basically saying this is what we want you do, and this is when we want you to do it by, and that became the roadmap that Charles followed to help get the credit union back on track.
- Q. Were you able to meet the obligations in the letter of understanding?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Yes, yes. As I think Mr. Adam testified, every item that they found that was deficient within five months or so, we got them in order.
- Did there come a time when HOPE FCU continued to process Ο. ACH transactions for Kapcharge after the letter of understanding was satisfied?
- At some point -- I don't know the exact date, but at some point, Charles believed that in a conversation with Mr. Adam, that in order for him to come in at our annual review and test whether or not we really have a true system in place, that it was okay to start running through some transactions, so that way, they could actually look at real data when they come in as opposed to, well, here's our system, here are our policies, here are our people, we could show them here's all that stuff, and here are our reports to show you how we're doing all of our compliance activity. So, we restarted the ACH transactions, and -- then we restarted them.
- What was the NCUA's response? Ο.
- They said while they could understand how that could be a misunderstanding, they did not want us doing anything until they had finished our annual audit.
- 22 Was ACH processing stopped at that point? Ο.
- 23 Oh, absolutely. Α.
- 24 Now, the government has raised some allegations that you 25 made false statements to the NCUA, and I'd like to address each

of those statements.

Α. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SANTILLO: If we could turn to Government's Exhibit 1111. It's already in evidence. Oh, no -- well, I think that's the wrong exhibit.

Q. With respect to the July 2014 call report, why did that not contain the names of the members of the Collectables Club if they were voted onto the board in June 2014?

A. Okay. So, whenever we have a board meeting -- which our board meetings were always the third Saturday of every month. So, whenever there was a board meeting, and action was taken, none of those actions was official until the following meeting, when those minutes were approved and accepted. So, the way we've always done it, whether there was an annual meeting or anything else, we never acted on anything in that annual meeting until that following meeting that month in order to realize those changes.

The problem in this situation was, we tried to meet in July, but we didn't have a quorum. So we simply met, declared we didn't have a quorum, and we went home. So, it wasn't until August that we actually organized the board, elected who was going to be what position and serving what role, and then we updated our website, and we proceeded to update the call report -- the profile on the call report to represent who the new officers were.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

- MS. SANTILLO: If you could call up Government Exhibit And if you could scroll to the bottom, please. To the 6088. next page, above it. There we go.
- What does this appear to be? Q.
- This appears to be the -- what we call the board organization, where you see you have this partnering taking place among what we call the legacy members and the new members, and that was really a way to get the training done. So everyone was kind of partnered up, new with old, to ensure that the new board members could have individual instruction from someone who was experienced.
- MS. SANTILLO: If we can move to the top of this exhibit. If you could highlight the first paragraph, "Board Members Present Resolution."
- Ο. What does this appear to be?
 - That's the resolution approving the minutes from that June meeting, which we weren't able -- from the June meeting where we elected the new members of the board and the July 19th minutes where we basically said that, you know, we weren't able to have a meeting.
- Q. Thank you.
- 22 MS. SANTILLO: If we could call up Government Exhibit 6022. 23
 - Q. Now, the prosecutors have claimed that you made false statements to the NCUA when asking about how members of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Collectables Club or other businesses who worked part-time in the field of membership could qualify as members. Why were you asking Mr. McDonough those questions, about how individuals could qualify for the field of membership? A. Well, we were asked -- and you heard Mr. McDonough say this. We were asked to recertify the entire membership of the credit union. So, part of this was trying to get some understanding of what the qualifications were, because while we were dealing with Collectables Club, the point at which we have to look at every member, there were business accounts for hairstylists who don't really have a physical location, but they work in our field of membership, but they may live someplace else. So, we were trying to get clarity not just for the Collectables Club, but also on what is the framework whereby we make these decisions.

Now, specifically to this one, the question was posed by, I think it was Anthony, what if our members or our employees are coming through the area part-time and working, would that still qualify? And, so, in the midst of me asking my questions, I posed that question to Mr. McDonough to try to get clarity from him, would this satisfy, on your side, the requirement that we would meet the requirements for our charter.

Did there ever come a time when you actually submitted paystubs or letters from employers to the NCUA in connection

2

10

with the Collectables Club?

- Α. Not at all.
- 3 What did you do to respond to the issues that the NCUA
- 4 raised with respect to the field of membership for the
- 5 Collectables Club?
- Well, we ultimately removed them from the board and removed 6 7 them from the credit union.
- MS. SANTILLO: I'd like to show you an exhibit for the 8 9 Court and counsel. It's marked for identification as TG53.
 - Do you recognize this document? Ο.
- 11 Α. Yes, I do.
- 12 Ο. What is this document?
- 13 So, this was the ongoing discussion with Anthony about this Α.
- 14 issue of their qualifying for the field of membership. And I
- 15 went back to him and said, you said you could produce paystubs
- of your people part-time working in the area, if you can do 16
- 17 that, great, but what the NCUA wants is they want a letter, an
- 18 affidavit, stating that these employees work here, et cetera,
- et cetera, et cetera. 19
- 20 So, I responded to Anthony, and he was, you know, 21 doing his squirrely response, and my response at the top here
- 22 is me telling him what I advised him to do.
- 23 MS. SANTILLO: I'd like to introduce this exhibit as
- 24 TG53.
- 25 MR. NOBLE: No objection, your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Thank you.

It's admitted.

(Defendants' Exhibit TG53 received in evidence)

MS. SANTILLO: Can I publish for the jury, please?

THE COURT: You may.

THE WITNESS: So this is really -- I think this is the day before the board meeting where we actually vote them to the advisory board. And I tell him, honestly, I would move them -talking about the Collectables Club members -- off the board right now to an advisory board. I certainly would not advise signing a false affidavit. Stability means our board needs to be above scrutiny completely.

- MS. SANTILLO: If we could call up Government Exhibit 6091.
- Through a cooperating witness, Rico Hill, the government has suggested that members of the Collectables Club would never actually move to an advisory board. What does this represent?
- A. These are the actual minutes from the November 15th board meeting where we did vote to move them to an advisory board.
- Q. And when, in time, was that meeting in connection with the email that we just saw referencing moving them to the board -to an advisory board?
- A. I think it was the -- this meeting took place the day after that email.
 - MS. SANTILLO: Turning to Government Exhibit 6026.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Now, the government has suggested that you misled NCUA Examiner Meg Flok when she asked you who members of the Collectables Club were in this email. What was your understanding of what Ms. Flok was asking you here? A. Okay. Well, as she said, her specific responsibility coming to us was about ACH transactions and the risk associated with them. So when she says here in her email -- the way I interpreted her email, she said that she had looked in the ACH item detail, which means she's trying to figure out what is this -- what is this company and what are they doing. So, my response was to ask -- I think I asked Kapcharge, give me specifically what kind of ACH transactions you're doing for them, because, as you learned throughout this trial, there are
- I'd like to show you an exhibit that's been marked for identification as TG46. Do you recognize this document?

PPD, CCD, IAT, all kinds of codes that are associated with

different types of processing, and that was information I did

- Α. Yes, ma'am, I do.
 - THE COURT: I can't hear you.
- 21 Do you recognize this document? Q.
- 22 Α. Yes, I do.

not have.

- 23 What does this appear to be? 0.
- 24 Α. This appears to be an email chain between myself, Mark
- 25 Francis, and then further forwarded to Kevin Pepe.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

MS. SANTILLO: I'd like to introduce this exhibit as TG46.

> MR. NOBLE: No objection.

It's admitted. THE COURT:

(Defendants' Exhibit TG46 received in evidence)

MS. SANTILLO: Can we publish it for the jury, please?

THE COURT: You may.

BY MS. SANTILLO:

- What does this appear to be?
- So, what you're showing there is her actual email to me asking about Collectables CLU, which is the abbreviated name that's on the ACH detail report, and then I reached out to Mark asking him advise me, so I know how to respond.

And then he asks me: What type of ACH do you -- or that's me asking him: What type of ACH do you do for them? And then he asks me: If it's the ACH code, Kevin can

answer.

And then Kevin subsequently answers: This is the kind of ACH processing that they do for Collectables Club.

And I believe I forwarded that information on to Ms. Flok.

- If we could turn back to 6026 to see your response to
- 23 Ms. Flok.
- 24 Α. Yes.
 - Now, the government has claimed that you also made a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

misrepresentation to the NCUA by claiming that the relationship with Kapcharge was a blessing. What, if any, recollection do you have about whether you, or anyone else at the HOPE FCU, told Ms. Flok that the relationship with Kapcharge was a blessing?

- That statement actually was made by Mr. George Wyatt. was his word, and that was the way he saw the relationship with Kapcharge, that we had someone who was coming alongside us who seemed to be authentic as opposed to what we had just gone through, and that they were prepared to really keep their word, and do what they said they were going to do, to help grow the credit union.
- Q. Now, the government has claimed that you made a false statement to the NCUA by stating that Kapcharge was a faith-based group. What, if anything, do you recall about such statements?
- I do not recall ever saying they are a faith-based group. I did say that Mark Francis was a man of deep faith, and, in fact, he is.
- How did you come to learn that?
- In our conversations, Mark is actually a very devout Orthodox Christian, and in addition to maintaining his commitment to the feasts of the year and that type of thing, many of the conversations we had were about scripture, his relationship with God, and that type of thing.

- Q. The government has claimed that you and other members of the HOPE FCU, including Charles Blue, deceived the NCUA by claiming that Kapcharge had staffed offices in the field of membership. What was your understanding about what a staffed office in the field of membership meant?
- A. The staffed office, to my understanding at that time, was that they had upgraded from a virtual office in that office suite that you all saw to an office that was a suite that included staffing, that they clerical, receptionist, that type of staffing. So, our understanding was, in the lease that they signed with that Riviera Suites, it included that low-level staffing support.

In addition, Mark had had conversations about hiring someone from the church who could actually work in that office once ACH processing had restarted. At that point, their business had been cut off, so they weren't realizing any revenue from the relationship, but they were still committed to walking down the road because they wanted to ultimately get this back up and going. So we'd actually identified a young lady who would serve in that role when things picked up, but they never did. In other words, we weren't able to restart the ACH processing.

Q. Okay. Now, the government has identified some emails where you asked about a lease at the Riviera Suites potentially being dated as of May. Why did you request that the lease be dated

as of May?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- We knew that they had purchased a virtual office, and we -it was either Collectables Club or Kapcharge, we're not sure which one, but anything that Anthony had touched at that point, we knew was soiled, and we wanted everything set up properly. Kapcharge did not have any documentation. Even though they had been paying for the office space, they had no documentation that went all the way back to May. And since they were now paying to upgrade to a physical space with the staffing there in that building, my question was, well, since you've been there since May, would they let this contract show that you've been there since that time. And it never happened. They dated it as of whatever date they made the payment, and that was the documentation that we submitted to the NCUA.
- Q. Was that documentation with the November lease the documentation that was submitted in connection with the finding that the NCUA -- or that Kapcharge was in the field of membership?
- Α. I believe so.
- Now, what, if any, knowledge did you have about Anthony Murgio's interest in Bitcoins or virtual currency?
 - A. He had mentioned in an email where, in the early days, I asked him kind of what -- where do you see this thing going, what is your dream for the credit union. And in one of the bullet points, he talked about, I think, virtual currency or

- something like that. It was actually a term that I had never 1
- heard of before, but that was probably the first time I'd heard 2
- 3 about it. And it came up at different points throughout our
- 4 discussions, but that was generally it. It was not certainly
- 5 the heart of whatever he said he was trying to do.
- 6 Were you ever aware that the Collectables Club was a sham
- 7 front company for an unlawful Bitcoin exchange?
- I never knew that until the summer of -- what's that --8
- 9 July 2015, when the criminal complaint was unsealed, and my
- 10 life, as it were, changed.
- 11 What, if anything, did you know about Coin.mx?
- 12 Once again, very limited. At one time I received an email
- 13 from Ricardo, and it had Coin.mx as an email address, and I
- 14 asked him, hey, you know, what is this, and he said, oh, it's
- 15 another business that we're involved in.
- And that was the sum total of my knowledge. 16
- 17 What, if anything, did you know about whether Coin.mx
- should have been licensed? 18
- Absolutely nothing because I didn't even know what kind of 19
- 20 business it was.
- 21 Q. What, if anything, did you know about members of Coin.mx
- 22 making three-way calls to banks to deceive them about
- 23 processing Bitcoin transactions?
- 24 Absolutely nothing. Α.
- 25 Did you intend to facilitate the operation of a Bitcoin

Gross - Direct

- exchange at HOPE FCU? 1
- 2 A. Absolutely not.
- 3 MS. SANTILLO: Your Honor, can I have one moment,
- 4 please?
- 5 THE COURT: Sure.
- I'd invite the jury to take a standing stretching 6
- 7 break.
- 8 (Pause)
- 9 THE COURT: All right.
- 10 BY MS. SANTILLO:
- 11 Q. Now, did you ever meet with any members of the Collectables
- Club in New York? 12
- 13 A. No, ma'am, I did not.
- 14 Q. Did you know, have any reason to believe, that any of the
- wires from the Collectables Club would pass through New York? 15
- 16 A. Absolutely not.
- 17 Did any aspects of your involvement with the Collectables
- Club in this case center around New York? 18
- A. No, ma'am. 19
- 20 Q. Did you think that there was anything wrong or improper
- 21 about taking a donation to your church?
- 22 A. No, ma'am.
- 23 Q. Did you think that there was anything wrong or improper
- about letting a new group join the board of the HOPE Credit 24
- 25 Union?

Case 1:15-cr-00769-AJN Document 501 Filed 04/07/17 Page 68 of 322

H38KLEB2

Gross - Direct No, I did not. 1 Α. 2 Did you think there was anything wrong or improper about Q. 3 volunteer board members resigning their positions on the board of the HOPE FCU? 4 No, I did not. 5 Α. Did you ever intentionally deceive the NCUA about anything? 6 Q. 7 A. No, ma'am, I did not. 8 MS. SANTILLO: Thank you. No further questions. 9 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Santillo. 10 Let's take our mid-morning break. Members of the jury, we'll return in about ten 11 12 minutes. Thank you. 13 (Continued on next page) 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

25

Gross - Direct H38KLEB2

1 (Jury not present) THE COURT: You may step down for the break, 2 3 Mr. Gross. 4 Matters to take up, counsel? 5 MR. NOBLE: Not from the government. 6 MR. KLINGEMAN: No, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: We'll return in about ten minutes. 8 you. 9 (Recess) 10 THE COURT: Matters to take up, counsel? 11 MR. CREIZMAN: I'll let Mr. Klingeman go first. 12 MR. KLINGEMAN: Your Honor, I can report that the next 13 witness, Special Agent Beyer, is waiting out in the hall to 14 testify. 15 THE COURT: Okay. MR. CREIZMAN: Your Honor, I may have a brief 16 17 cross-examination of Mr. Gross, and I just wanted -- just in 18 case your Honor thought I might not, I just wanted to let your Honor know, so that you can address me and say, Mr. Creizman, 19 20 any cross-examination. 21 THE COURT: Anyone want to think about the appropriate 22 scope or everybody's comfortable? 23 MR. NOBLE: I'm not sure what Mr. Creizman intends to

THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you do that.

I can confer with him briefly.

Case 1:15-cr-00769-AJN Document 501 Filed 04/07/17 Page 70 of 322 H38KLEB2 Gross - Direct 1 MR. CREIZMAN: I don't think I'm going beyond the 2 scope of the direct or anything like that. 3 THE COURT: Okay. MR. CREIZMAN: I think --4 5 MR. NOBLE: Okay. 6 MR. CREIZMAN: I think the government can imagine what 7 I might ask. 8 MR. NOBLE: We'll take him at his representation. 9 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Creizman, for 10 alerting me. 11 Other matters to take up? 12 Mr. Gross, you may return to the stand. 13 (Continued on next page) 14 15 16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

H38KLEB2 Gross - Direct

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Thank you so much, ladies and gentlemen of the jury. Everyone may be seated. We will turn to the cross-examination of Mr. Gross.

And, Mr. Noble, when you're ready.

MR. NOBLE: Thank you, Judge.

(Continued on next page)

- CROSS EXAMINATION
- BY MR. NOBLE: 2

- 3 Q. Good morning, Mr. Gross. Now, you testified on direct
- 4 examination that you began dealing with Anthony Murgio in late
- 5 Winter or early Spring of 2014, correct?
- 6 Michael and Anthony Murgio, yes, sir.
- 7 Q. And you testified that at the beginning of that
- relationship, your partnership was tremendous. 8
- 9 Yes, in those early days, yes, sir.
- 10 But my the Fall of 2014, your relationship with Anthony
- 11 Murgio had kind of soured somewhat.
- 12 Α. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. You agreed to meet with him and the other Collectables Club
- 14 board members at the credit union on November 22nd, 2014,
- 15 correct?
- 16 Yes, sir. Α.
- 17 And that included Yuri Lebedev? Ο.
- 18 Clarity. That's the Saturday meeting or the Friday? Α.
- 19 I believe you met with them both days, correct? Q.
- 20 Correct, yes. I just wanted to know which one. Α.
- 21 And you met with Yuri Lebedev? Q.
- 22 Α. Yes, he was there, as well.
- 23 He was present? 0.
- 24 Α. Yes, sir.
- 25 And Ricardo Hill? Q.

- Α. Yes, sir.
- 2 And Jose Freundt? Q.
- 3 Yes, sir. Α.
- 4 They were also on the board of the credit union at that Q.
- 5 point?

- No, sir. 6 Α.
- 7 Now, one of the reasons for the meeting was that the NCUA
- was questioning the eligibility of those board members to be 8
- 9 part of the credit union, correct?
- 10 A. Are we talking about the Friday or the Saturday meeting?
- 11 Just to be clear.
- The Saturday meeting. 12
- 13 The purpose of that meeting was many fold. One of them was Α.
- 14 the FOM issue, the --
- 15 Q. Sir, it's a yes or no question.
- 16 I'm sorry. Please repeat it. I'm sorry.
- 17 Sure. So one of the purposes of the meeting with the
- 18 Collectables Club board members was to deal with the issue of
- 19 their eligibility to be part of the credit union.
- 20 Generally, yes, sir. Α.
- 21 Now, one of the other reasons for the meeting is that the
- 22 NCUA had raised concerns about the ACH processing volumes at
- 23 the credit union, correct?
- 24 Α. Yes, sir.
- 25 Now, as you testified to on direct examination, there were

- Gross Cross
- two different portions of that meeting on November 22nd. 1
- 2 Yes, sir. Α.
- 3 Q. At the end of the first portion, you thought that you had
- 4 reached an agreement with the Murgios about the capital
- 5 infusion that was going to be made into the credit union,
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. Well, I thought I had reached agreement with that entire
- 8 group, not just those two.
- 9 Q. Okay. And they were going to inject \$500,000 into the
- 10 credit union to sufficiently capitalize it so you could keep
- 11 processing the ACH transactions?
- 12 Α. Yes, sir.
- 13 In return, you were going to make sure that they had
- 14 control of the board.
- 15 Α. Can you rephrase that question, please?
- Sure. At the end of the first part of the meeting, you had 16
- 17 agreed that the Murgios and the Collectables Club would
- continue to control the board. 18
- A. At the end of the first part of the meeting, that is not my 19
- 20 understanding. The control part actually came up as a matter
- 21 of the second part of the meeting.
- 22 Q. Well, at the end of the first meeting, you had come to some
- 23 agreement with the Murgios and the Collectables Club members,
- 24 correct?
- 25 Yes, sir, that they would keep their word and that they

- would infuse the cash, as well as finally keep their word, as 1 they had not done in those last couple of weeks. 2
- 3 Q. And then on the way out of the meeting they tried to change 4 the terms of the deal?
- 5 They said, "Well, we're not sure if we can really do
- We have to get approval." 6 it.
- 7 It was about a timing issue, right?
- No, it didn't seem like timing, it seemed like approval, 8
- 9 like they needed to get a approval from someone for this deal,
- 10 which is really what caused the disconnect because my
- 11 understanding was the people who needed to make the decision
- 12 were in the room, which was all the Collectables Club
- 13 leadership.
- 14 And that frustrated you. Q.
- 15 Α. Oh, yes, sir.
- 16 It angered you. 0.
- 17 A whole lot of emotions, sir.
- 18 Q. And I believe you testified that that November 22nd
- 19 meeting, that second portion, was not your best moment; is that
- 20 right? That's what you told this jury?
- 21 Yes, sir. Α.
- 22 That's because you agreed to accept \$50,000 to turn over
- 23 control of the credit union to Murgios and the Collectables
- 24 Club?
- 25 No, sir. It was because of my uncharacteristic behavior

- because I had become so angry and was actually raising my 1 voice, and you all hear it all on the tape, for which I did 2
- 3 have to apologize because I was incensed.
- 4 So we have a recording of what happened at that meeting. Q.
- 5 Yes, sir, we do. Α.
- 6 We don't just have to just take your word for what
- 7 happened?
- 8 Α. Correct.
 - So let's listen to some portions of that meeting.
- 10 Α. Sure.

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

- MR. NOBLE: Let's bring up Government Exhibit 2506, can we bring up the transcript, as well, 2506-T, and please flip to page 38.
- Ms. Grant, when you're ready, can you just press We're going to listen to a portion of the recording. "play"? (Audio played)
- 17 DEFENDANT GROSS: The transcript is not keeping up.
- 18 THE COURT: Pause.
 - Can we realign the audio a little bit? MR. NOBLE: And please make sure we scroll with the audio so the jury can follow along.
 - THE COURT: As you did earlier, Ms. Grant, if you can expand where it is so that it's larger, please. Thank you.
- 24 (Audio played)
- 25 MR. NOBLE: Can you pause it, please? Can you please

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Gross - Cross

scroll to the portion of the transcript where we are? Thank 1 2 you, Ms. Grant.

(Audio played)

MR. NOBLE: Let's restart this portion. Let's go back to the 58-minute mark, and please turn to page 38. That way we know exactly where it picks up. You'll see the 58-minute marker there in the black brackets.

(Audio played)

MR. NOBLE: You can stop it there. Can we go to the transcript at page 39 there? Can you scroll up a little bit? BY MR. NOBLE:

- Q. Now, Mr. Gross --
- 13 MR. NOBLE: Scroll up a little bit more, Ms. Grant.
- 14 BY MR. NOBLE:
- 15 Q. -- where you say, "One thing I say Anthony is you and I
- have been walking together since last spring." 16
- 17 Yes, I see it. Α.
- 18 You didn't say that to Michael Murgio, did you?
- No, because I was talking with Anthony. 19 Α.
- 20 And then later on you said, "But you and I have been
- 21 walking down this road together." That was also directed at
- 22 Anthony Murgio?
- A. Yes, sir. 23
- 24 Q. You guys had been involved together since the Spring of
- 25 2014 in this joint venture?

4

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

20

21

22

23

- 1 In this conversation I was referencing his involvement, 2 yes.
 - Q. Now, at the end of this meeting, you agreed to arrange the resignations of all your board members except for you and
- 5 Mr. Larkins, Bernard Larkins, correct?
 - I recall that being the case, yes. Α.
 - And that was in exchange for \$50,000?
- That was -- that was what would trigger the exit provision 8 9 from our original agreement, sir.
 - So the exit provision was going to allow the Collectables Club to retain control of the board?
 - A. Well, it would have allowed them -- it would have allowed them to go forward with the credit union, and we would -- we could pull out and go our own separate direction.
- 15 Q. And in exchange for that, you were going to get \$50,000?
- No, sir, I was not going to get \$50,000. The original --16
- 17 I apologize. Your church was going to get \$50,000? Q.
- 18 Actually, no, sir. It was to be escrowed, so when we were 19 ready to charter a new credit union, we would be able to do so.
 - But in this conversation you agreed -- you told them, "Pay us \$50,000 by Monday to the church and we'll give you control of the credit union." Isn't that what is reflected in this transcript, in this conversation?
- 24 I would need to be refreshed about --Α.
 - Let's refresh your recollection.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Gross - Cross

MR. NOBLE: Can we go to page 28 of the transcript? And let's go to the audio, I think it's going to be at about approximately 43-minute mark.

(Audio played)

MR. NOBLE: Ms. Grant, can we back up the audio a little bit, maybe to like the 42-minute mark? I believe there's a minute marker on the transcript on the previous page. Let's just start there so we listen to this portion of the conversation in full.

(Audio played)

MR. NOBLE: Let's stop there. Can we scroll back up to the previous page?

- BY MR. NOBLE:
- Q. Mr. Gross, just directing your attention to the line where you say, "Give us the 50K that's owed us." You said that, right?
- 17 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- 18 | Q. And then you said, "All of us resign."
- 19 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- Q. And at that point in time, Collectables Club would continue to be in control of your credit union, correct?
- 22 A. Well, let me just correct one thing, sir.
- 23 Q. No. Yes or no, they were going to continue --
- MS. SANTILLO: Objection.
- MR. NOBLE: -- to control the credit union or not?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: So you can answer that question, and then to the extent you want to expand on it, you can. Go ahead.

DEFENDANT GROSS: Okay. If you could repeat it for me, please.

BY MR. NOBLE:

they would continue to control the credit union; is that right? A. No, sir. At that point they would give the \$50,000 and we would walk away. We were parting. This was over. We wanted nothing to do with them. So it was -- I think you hear me say there, "I'm done with this. Give us the 50,000 that we agreed to that's owed to us and we walk away."

Sure. So at that point in time, after you got the \$50,000,

- So you were selling your credit union for \$50,000? 0.
- A. No, sir. In fact, your NCUA witness said that it's impossible to sell a credit union. So I can't do something that's impossible, sir.
- But in exchange for \$50,000, you were going to walk away.
 - In exchange for them honoring the agreement that we had made earlier, that if we could not work together there would be \$50,000 set aside for us to move on. And at this point, you can tell from this meeting, there was no working together with these people. How could we work together after all of this? And so it was our desire --

MR. NOBLE: Your Honor, if you could direct the witness just to answer the questions.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

25

DEFENDANT GROSS: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: I'll direct the witness as I see fit.

I will ask you, Mr. Gross, to be directly responsive to the questions. I'll give you some opportunity when it's necessary to expand, and your counsel will have an opportunity for redirect. Go ahead.

Thank you, Judge. Now, if we can scroll MR. NOBLE: down to page 29.

- BY MR. NOBLE:
- 10 Q. Mr. Gross, do you see where you say that, "from your 11 perspective, from July 1st", and then you pick up later on, 12 "from July 1st Collectables Club has been calling the shots".
- 13 Do you see where you said that?
- 14 Yes, sir, I do. Α.
- 15 Q. That was your view at the time.
- That they had been calling the shots as it related to all 16 17 of this ACH activity. Because that, as you said earlier, that 18 was the context in which we were meeting, to deal with what had 19 gone awry with all this ACH processing.
- 20 So they had been operating the credit union since July 1st?
- 21 They had been operating the ACH part of the credit union, 22 absolutely, sir.
- 23 The credit union that you were the chairman of the board 24 of?
 - Yes, sir, I was the chairman of the board.

- Gross Cross
- 1 And that's why you kept saying "your credit union", "your 2 credit union", correct?
- 3 A. We started off the relationship with them understanding
- 4 that they were going to take over the credit union.
- 5 no doubt in our mind. The whole process was how they would do
- 6 And the process was you come in with your association and
- 7 your 14,000 members, and you get trained, you understand this
- business, and we will resign, you run it because you outvote 8
- 9 us, and we will still serve our limited portion of the credit
- 10 union, and you'll serve your members.
- 11 MR. NOBLE: You can take that down, Ms. Grant.
- After this meeting, you upheld your end of the bargain that 12
- 13 you struck on November 22nd, correct?
- 14 That three people would resign, yes, sir. Α.
- 15 Q. You arranged for Bernard Larkins to resign?
- 16 I asked -- yes. Α.
- 17 And you asked Joseph Lane to resign? Ο.
- 18 Α. Yes, sir.
- 19 And you asked Marvin Purry to resign? Q.
- 20 Α. Yes, sir.
- 21 And they emailed in their resignations, correct? Q.
- 22 Α. Yes, sir, they did.
- 23 Some of them did it right after that meeting or the same
- 24 night, correct?
- 25 I think later that night, yes, sir.

- Gross Cross
- 1 You forwarded those resignations to Ricardo Hill, the ones 2 you received.
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 And that's because he was the secretary of the board at the Ο. 5 time.
- A. No, I forwarded it to show a good faith, that I did what I 6 7 said I was going to do, sir.
- Okay. But you testified that Anthony Murgio didn't pay you 8 9 the \$50,000 by Monday as you had demanded.
- 10 Can you say that again, please, sir? Α.
- 11 Sure. You testified that Anthony Murgio did not pay you 12 the \$50,000 as you demanded by Monday.
- 13 Anthony had not put up the \$50,000 as we had agreed, that Α. 14 is correct.
- Q. Now, we saw that transaction later on when he did wire the 15 16 \$50,000 to the credit union's account at United Advantage,
- 17 correct?
- 18 Yes, sir, they did try to wire it in.
- 19 And they also did pay you \$6,000 in consulting fees after 20 this meeting, correct?
- 21 I think it was -- yes, I think it was after this meeting.
- 22 They paid \$6,000 into the church account at the credit 23 union for your consulting fees.
- 24 Α. Honoring the agreement we had before, yes, sir.
- 25 You didn't return any of that money to Collectables Club,

did you?

- No, because that was consulting fees, sir. 2
- 3 So when Anthony Murgio failed to pay the \$50,000 on time,
- you cut him and the other Collectables Club board members off 4
- 5 from the credit union.
- 6 Yes, sir. Α.
- 7 Okay. You didn't cut off Mark Francis or Kapcharge,
- 8 though, did you?
- 9 Because those were two separate relationships, sir.
- 10 Kapcharge processed ACH transactions for Collectables Club? Ο.
- 11 Α. Say that again, please.
- 12 Kapcharge processed ACH transactions for Collectables Club?
- 13 Yes, they did. They were one of their -- their businesses Α.
- 14 that they transacted for, yes, sir.
- 15 Q. And Anthony Murgio introduced you to Kapcharge, correct?
- Α. 16 Yes, sir.
- 17 So they were related. Ο.
- 18 They were related in that I knew Anthony had brought them
- to the credit union. I later learned -- and that was all 19
- 20 around the same November timeframe -- that Anthony and
- Kapcharge actually had this business relationship. So that was 21
- 22 where all of my eyes were being opened as to what was going on
- 23 here in this relationship. And -- I don't want to go too far
- 24 but --
- 25 Q. No, go ahead.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

Okay. So it was during this same period of time that we learned that Anthony was not only on Kapcharge's payroll, but that there was some kind of revenue agreement where he would get some portion of the proceeds based on the amount of ACH transactions. So, but also -- what had happened was Anthony had betrayed Mark and basically did his regular stuff that you all have seen taking place throughout this trial, and had betrayed them, as well.

So we saw them as two completely different relationships. Collectables Club did bring in Kapcharge, but Kapcharge operated separately from whatever was going on with the Collectables Club.

- Q. And one of the ways in which you were familiar with Kapcharge is it was Kapcharge who paid your church \$120,000?
- A. Yes, sir. I learned that in that November timeframe, as 15 16 well.
 - Q. Now, Mr. Gross, you testified that you're the pastor of Hope Cathedral?
- 19 Α. That is correct.
- 20 And you were educated at the University of Virginia? 0.
- 21 That is correct. Α.
- 22 Q. And Duke?
- 23 Yes, sir. Α.
- 24 Ο. And Harvard?
- 25 I didn't finish at Harvard, but I did attend. Α.

H38OLEB3

- Q. Okay. And you would agree that those are pretty good
- 2 schools?

- 3 A. Yeah.
- 4 \ Q. Some of the best in the country?
- 5 A. They're all right.
- 6 MR. KLINGEMAN: Objection.
- 7 THE COURT: Just a second. You have an objection to
- 8 | the question?
- 9 MR. KLINGEMAN: To the characterization, yes.
- 10 MR. NOBLE: I said some of the best. I qualified,
- 11 | your Honor.
- 12 | THE COURT: Let's --
- MR. NOBLE: I'm moving on.
- 14 BY MR. NOBLE:
- 15 Q. So, but you'd consider yourself a pretty well-educated
- 16 person?
- 17 A. About some things, yes.
- 18 | Q. And as a pastor, one of your jobs is preaching to your
- 19 congregation.
- 20 | A. Yes, sir.
- 21 | Q. You give sermons?
- 22 | A. Yes, sir.
- 23 | Q. You've been preaching, I think you said, since you were a
- 24 | kid?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- Like something like nine years old? 1
- 2 That's correct. Α.
- 3 You've been practicing it for a very long time?
- Long time. 4 Α.
- 5 You've given a lot of sermons in your lifetime?
- Yes, sir, I have. 6 Α.
- 7 Now, when you're giving a sermon, it's helpful if you're
- 8 well-spoken.
- 9 Yes. Α.
- 10 If you're convincing. Ο.
- 11 In a sermon context, yes.
- 12 And now, you testified you're the pastor of Hope Cathedral,
- 13 correct?
- 14 Α. Yes, sir.
- You're the head pastor. 15 Q.
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 You --Ο.
- 18 I'm not sure I understand what you're saying.
- Let me ask it a different way. You founded the church? 19 Q.
- 20 Α. Yes, my wife and I did, yes, sir.
- And you set up -- I believe you set up the church in your 21 Q.
- 22 living room, later moved to this campus in Jackson, New Jersey.
- 23 Our first worship services were held in our church,
- 24 correct, and then we actually moved to a local elementary
- 25 school for about seven years, and then we moved to the 46

- Bennetts Mills Road address. 1
- And you've built up this church over time? 2 Q.
- 3 With God's help, absolutely.
- And you've been fortunate enough to be able to hire other 4 Q.
- 5 employees who do work for you?
- A. Yes, sir. 6
- 7 And those employees report to you, or they report to your
- 8 wife?
- 9 They report to different people in the church, actually.
- 10 Not all report to me.
- 11 Is it fair to say that you run the church, though?
- 12 I have operational responsibilities to run the church under
- 13 the board's direction, but I don't have free reign, if that's
- what you're suggesting, no, sir. 14
- Q. But you have one of the main leadership roles at the 15
- 16 church?
- 17 A. No, sir. Our main leadership role is our board of elders,
- 18 of which I am one, but I'm one of seven.
- 19 But you're the head pastor. Q.
- 20 I'm the pastor, yes, sir. Α.
- 21 Okay. Now, you testified that before you got involved with Q.
- 22 the Collectables Club, your church had covered some of the
- 23 expenses for the credit union?
- 24 Say that one more time, sir. Α.
- 25 Sure. I said, you testified that before you got involved

- with the Collectables Club, your church had covered some of the expenses of the credit union?
 - A. Oh, yes, sir.

3

- Q. And helping run the credit union is part of your church's mission.
- A. Helping the credit union, yes, was a part of our church's mission.
 - Q. A form of benevolence, as you said before?
- A. It can't be considered as a part of benevolence because
 it's a separate corporation which has a separate purpose. The
 outgrowth of the credit union is a benevolent purpose because
 we're targeting a group of people, but there's a business
 process that's behind that credit union which it's a business.
- Q. But your church was not in the business of running the credit union to make money.
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. Now --
- 18 A. But we weren't in it to lose money, either, sir.
- 19 Q. Okay. But you said it's part of the mission of the church.
- 20 That implies that it's something you're doing as part of your
- 21 | faith.
- A. I don't think you quite understand what if means to run a
 ministry. Because all ministry mission has to be paid for, and
 as benevolent as you want to be, you can't be benevolent if you
- 25 have no resources. So on our books, the credit union actually

- 1 was an expense line, it was not a part of benevolence mission
- 2 as it relates to that, it was an expense item. We -- supplies,
- 3 paper, toner, payroll for the credit union, those are not
- 4 benevolence expenses, sir.
- 5 | Q. Okay. So you testified about all those expenses on your
- 6 direct examination, all these things the church did to support
- 7 | the credit union.
- 8 | A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Now, there's no actual written documentation to support any
- 10 of those expenses, is there?
- 11 A. That's not accurate, sir.
- 12 | Q. Well, I believe your church was served with a subpoena
- 13 || in --
- MS. SANTILLO: Objection.
- 15 THE COURT: State your grounds.
- MS. SANTILLO: Personal knowledge.
- 17 THE COURT: I'll sustain. Lay a foundation.
- 18 MR. NOBLE: Sure.
- 19 BY MR. NOBLE:
- 20 Q. Well, Mr. Gross, you were also personally served with a
- 21 | subpoena in this case, correct?
- 22 MS. SANTILLO: Objection. Could we meet at sidebar?
- 23 THE COURT: Sure.
- 24 (Continued on next page)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(At sidebar)

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. SANTILLO: Your Honor, first of all, there's a pretrial motion in limine related to subpoena responses where the Court ruled that they should not inquire into whether or not he properly complied with the subpoena.

Second of all, there's a separate lawyer for the church who was responsible for the subpoena response. And to the extent that there is a subpoena response to the if-as-when subpoena, that should be -- comments about that should be directed to counsel. He shouldn't be questioned about his personal role in the subpoena response because that's our role.

MR. NOBLE: Well, he's the custodian of records for the response to the if-as-when, which I believe called for records relating --

MS. SANTILLO: If you want records, ask me.

THE COURT: One at a time.

MR. NOBLE: Well, we served a subpoena, we get a response. And if we don't have documents, we assume that those documents don't exist in response to the subpoena. I mean, we asked for a subpoena for documents relating to expenses that were covered by the church for the credit union. We've received no documentation to support that claim. I think it's valid impeachment.

MS. SANTILLO: The subpoena --

1 THE COURT: So his response was that there are 2 documents, correct? 3 MS. SANTILLO: Yes. 4 THE COURT: And what was the description of the 5 documents was the question? 6 MR. NOBLE: Backup documentation for these expenses 7 that he claims the church covered for the credit union. THE COURT: And that was subpoenaed? 8 9 MR. NOBLE: Yeah. We asked for --10 MS. SANTILLO: By the church. 11 MR. NOBLE: No. We asked for a subpoena from him, as 12 well. 13 MS. SANTILLO: I'm sorry. If we have an issue about 14 the scope of the subpoena, we should negotiate that as lawyers 15 and not have him on the stand. THE COURT: What is there to negotiate? Because it's 16 17 appropriate if a witness testifies on direct, he says X, Y, and 18 Z happened, and on cross the question is, are there any documents to support X, Y, and Z? If the answer is yes and 19 20 those haven't been produced, what do you propose be done? 21 MS. SANTILLO: Well, I would propose that under 403 22 any of that testimony be excluded because there was a subpoena 23 that was served on the church for those documents, and if they 24 didn't respond, that is not in his control.

THE COURT: He's just said that the same request was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Gross - Cross

made of Mr. Gross. Now, if there are documents, if they were turned over, there's some contention --

MS. SANTILLO: I turned over the documents.

MR. NOBLE: But there's no documents showing that they support any backup documentation for these expenses that he's testifying to, to the copier, to the lease, to all these other expenses.

MS. SANTILLO: I also produced reverse Jencks for Loretta Larkins that has her QuickBook entries that tie to lots of these expenses. And that's my analysis of what is responsive to the subpoena. It's not fair to --

> Do you have documents? THE COURT:

MR. NOBLE: No.

MS. SANTILLO: I'll show you where they are.

MR. NOBLE: I'll move on and we'll take this up.

(Continued on next page)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 (In open court)
- 2 THE COURT: When you're ready, Mr. Noble.
- 3 MR. NOBLE: Yes, Judge. I'll move on from this area.
- 4 BY MR. NOBLE:
- 5 Q. Now, Mr. Gross, the credit union was open to more than just
- church members, correct? 6
- 7 A. It has a community charter, yes, so it was open to those
- who live, work, worship in the Lakewood community. 8
- 9 Q. That's the defined field of membership for the credit
- 10 union --
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 -- that you just described?
- 13 A. There's more to it. I think it's voluntary, businesses,
- 14 legal entities, et cetera, et cetera.
- Q. Right. We've seen the field of membership description 15
- 16 before.
- A. Yes, sir. 17
- 18 Q. Now, you'd agree that it's important to tell the truth to
- city officials of Jackson, New Jersey --19
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 -- when they ask you questions. Q.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Just like it's important for you to tell the truth under
- 24 oath to the jury today.
- 25 A. Absolutely.

- Now, do you recall telling the Jackson City zoning officer that the credit union was only for church members?
- 3 A. Was only for church members. I don't recall, but we did have an interaction where we were going back and forth. One of 4
- 5 the neighbors complained that we had a credit union in the
- 6 church, and they were querying me as to why would this credit
- 7 union be on the church's property. And that was actually a
- couple of weeks before October, 2015, so I'm not certain 8
- 9 exactly what I said, sir.
- 10 Okay. Is there something I might show you that could
- 11 refresh your recollection?
- A. Absolutely, yes, sir. 12
- 13 MR. NOBLE: Could we bring up just for identification
- 14 for the witness, the Court, and counsel Government
- Exhibit 1693? And actually, let's just scroll to the second 15
- 16 page.
- BY MR. NOBLE: 17
- 18 Q. Mr. Gross, I'm going to direct your attention to the email
- from yourself dated October 14th, 2015. If you could just read 19
- 20 that email to yourself and tell me whether it refreshes your
- 21 recollection as to whether you told the city zoning official
- 22 that the church was only -- I mean, the credit union was only
- for church members? 23
- 24 A. Yes, sir, it does clarify.
- 25 MR. NOBLE: You can take that down.

4

9

10

What is your recollection?

- As I said in that email -- well, can you pull it back up? 2 Α.
- 3 I'll read the exact words.
 - Q. Sure.
- 5 MR. NOBLE: Let's bring back up Government
- 6 Exhibit 1693. Actually, if you're going to read from the
- 7 document, I'd like to move this into evidence as Government
- Exhibit 1693. 8
 - DEFENDANT GROSS: Well, I just want to see it, I didn't necessarily need to read it.
- 11 MR. NOBLE: That's all right. I'd like to move it
- 12 into evidence as Government Exhibit 1693.
- 13 MS. SANTILLO: No objection.
- 14 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 15 THE COURT: All right. 1693 is admitted.
- (Government's Exhibit 1693 received in evidence) 16
- 17 MR. NOBLE: Can we just publish that for the jury?
- 18 Can we flip to the second page? Let's blow up the bottom,
- 19 Ms. Grant. The bottom portion, October 14th, 2015.
- 20 BY MR. NOBLE:
- 21 I'll read the portion of the city zone official,
- 22 Mr. Purpuro, Mr. Gross, and then I'll ask you to read what you
- 23 wrote back.
- 24 "Pastor Gross. Per our phone conversation a short
- 25 while ago, you assured me that the credit union was for church

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

20

23

Α.

members only and not being run from the church's site, but the website still shows 46 Bennetts Mills as the credit union's address. Question. If a member of the congregation wants to open an account, and presuming they need to sit down with a loan officer, where would such a meeting take place? And if not at 46 Bennetts Mills Road, please remove that address from the site. Thank you for your time, and hope all is well."

MR. NOBLE: Let's zoom out.

- Mr. Gross, if you would read your response to the jury.
- for our members and it is on our campus. I apologize if I was not clear. It is a non-profit institution, just like the

"Hey, Jeff. I want to clarify. The credit union is only

- 13 church and all the other non-profit functions of our church.
- 14 It's not open to the general public. Is this a problem? We're working to relocate the CU, but it has been on our campus for 15
- three years." 16
- 17 So that was a lie. Ο.
- 18 No, sir, it was not. Because we were -- at that time we 19 were only serving our church. That was the problem.
 - But the church --0.
- 21 Only active people in the credit union were our church.
- 22 There were no other community members in it. It did have a
- 24 were the only people who were using the credit union. And that
- 25 was really the sense of what I was trying to say there.

community charter, but they weren't active. The church members

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Gross - Cross

were only serving the CU -- serving the members of the church, sorry.

- MR. NOBLE: Let's scroll back up to the first page.
- Q. You again repeated on this page that, "The HOPE Federal Credit Union, which is chartered and has a field of membership that's open to all individuals residing, working, worshiping in Lakewood, was only for your church members."
- Sir, as you can see right here, I say to Jeff, "I am not certain how an organize which serves only our church members," which is exactly what the credit union was doing, it was only serving our credit union -- our members, principally. I think there were other members in it, of course, but our church was the active user of it, and we were trying to get it off of our campus, per the NCUA's concern about being out of
- Now, as of this date, October 14th, 2015, Kapcharge was still a member of your credit union, correct?
- Honestly, I'm not completely sure. Α.
- So do you recall those emails we saw between Kapcharge representatives Mark Francis and Shoula Cohen that they exchanged with Charles Blue around the time of the conservatorship?
- 23 Say that again. I'm sorry.

the field of membership.

24 Do you recall the emails that were exchanged between Mark 25 Francis and Shoula Cohen of Kapcharge and Charles Blue of the

4

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- credit union around the time of the conservatorship in October, 1 2015? 2
 - Was I on them or --Α.
 - No, but do you recall seeing those during the trial? Q.
- 5 Α. Oh, yes, yes, yes.
- Okay. So Charles Blue, the CEO of the credit union, was 6 7 still communicating with Kapcharge basically on the same date as this email, October 14th, 2015, correct? 8
 - I'm not sure of the date, but they were communicating around this time, yes, sir.
- 11 That's because Kapcharge was still a member of the credit 12 union.
 - They were one of the members, as were a lot of other member Α. accounts that were also not in the church, but the credit union was only serving our members, sir. We were the primary user of it. Because Kapcharge had been cut off. They weren't able to They had an account at the credit union, but as you all have heard, the NCUA said they can't process transactions, so they had an account, but it was doing nothing. The only people who were using their debit cards and coming in and making deposits, it was 99 percent people in our congregation, sir. And that's why I said "serves only our church" because at that point nobody else was really active in the credit union.
- 24 MR. NOBLE: We can take that down.
- 25 Now, Mr. Gross, you testified on direct examination that

- most of your communications concerning the Collectables Club 1
- prior to the June, 2014 annual meeting was with Mike Murgio, 2
- 3 not Anthony Murgio, correct?
- 4 It was a mixture. It was sometimes both of them, it was --Α.
- 5 I spent a lot of time with Michael because I was training him.
- 6 Because he was the one who stepped forward as the face of this
- 7 organization. So I spent a lot of time -- time-wise more with
- Michael because we did trainings and things like that. 8
- 9 Q. But isn't it true you didn't meet Michael Murgio in person
- 10 until November, 2014?
- 11 I vaguely recall meeting him, but I'm not sure.
- 12 be accurate, but I'm just not 100 percent sure.
- 13 He was at that meeting on November 22nd, 2014 that we heard
- 14 the recording of?
- 15 Α. Yes, he was. And he was also -- he came in in September,
- 16 as well.
- 17 Okay. Now, you had met Anthony Murgio before that, right?
- 18 Before what time? Α.
- 19 Before September, 2014. Q.
- 20 Yes, I think -- yes, I believe --Α.
- 21 In person to be clear? Q.
- 22 Α. Yes, yes.
- 23 You also exchanged a lot of emails with Anthony Murgio?
- 24 In fact, the dad and son did come up before. Α.
- 25 them at the church before we -- we finalized everything. So I

- had met Michael, and I believe I had met Michael and Anthony at 1 the same time at the church. 2
- 3 You had exchanged a lot of emails with Anthony Murgio?
 - And with Michael Murgio, yes, sir. Α.
- 5 You spoke with Anthony Murgio by telephone pretty
- 6 frequently?

- 7 Yes, sir. Α.
- You also spoke with Anthony Murgio by WhatsApp, didn't you? 8
- 9 Yes, sir. Α.
- 10 Now, you, in addition to the agreement between the credit
- 11 union and Collectables Club that has been the subject of your
- 12 testimony, you also entered into an agreement with Anthony
- 13 Murgio's company Currency Enthusiasts.
- 14 A. I vaguely remember us approving at the board, they were
- going to be our technology partner to help build up the 15
- infrastructure of the credit union. Something like that. 16
- 17 MR. NOBLE: Let's bring up Government Exhibit 1184-B.
- 18 Let me just doublecheck to make sure that's in evidence.
- 19 THE COURT: It is.
- 20 Thank you, Judge. MR. NOBLE:
- 21 THE COURT: Actually -- yes.
- 22 MR. NOBLE: Okay. Can we publish that for the jury
- 23 then?
- 24 BY MR. NOBLE:
- 25 Mr. Gross, this email shows that on June 20th, 2014, you

- sent back to Anthony Murgio this Currency Enthusiasts 1 2 agreement, correct?
- 3 Right. This technology agreement, yes, sir.
- 4 MR. NOBLE: Let's flip to the attachment.
 - What is the name of this document? 0.
- "Exclusive Services Agreement". 6 Α.
 - And what is the date of the document? Q.
- June 16th. 8 Α.
- 9 Q. Okay.

5

7

15

- 10 MR. NOBLE: Let's scroll to the last page.
- 11 0. You signed this document, correct?
- 12 Α. Yes, sir.

Q.

- 13 And this was going to be an agreement between you and --0. 14 MR. NOBLE: Can we scroll back out.
- -- Anthony Murgio, correct?
- 16 It was going to be between the Currency Enthusiasts, which
- 17 was supposed to be a technology implementation company.
- 18 That was Anthony Murgio's company. Q.
- 19 That I'm not certain of, sir. Α.
- 20 Well, he was going to sign on behalf of Currency
- 21 Enthusiasts, correct?
- 22 In the same way that Michael Murgio signed on behalf of
- Collectables Club, sir. 23
- 24 Q. But this wasn't an agreement between Michael Murgio and
- 25 you, it was an agreement between you and Anthony Murgio.

- A. Well, it was actually between the credit union and Currency

 Enthusiasts, and I was authorized to sign, sir.
- 3 Q. And Currency Enthusiasts, the name of that implies that
- 4 | it's involved in some kind of currency, doesn't it?
- 5 A. It has the word "currency" in it, yes, sir.
- Q. I believe you testified on direct examination that you were
- 7 aware that Anthony Murgio was involved with virtually
- 8 | currencies.
- 9 A. No, I did not testified to that. I testified that he had
- 10 mentioned virtual currencies in his dream for the future of the
- 11 | credit union.
- 12 | Q. But you would send him information about virtual currency
- 13 opportunities sometimes, correct?
- 14 A. I sent him an email about BSA and compliance from the
- 15 | Federal Reserve about virtual currencies, yes, sir.
- 16 Q. So you knew he was involved with virtual currencies.
- 17 A. Actually, I think I sent that to Yuri, because I knew that
- 18 he was the technology person.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 | A. So yes.
- 21 MR. NOBLE: Let's bring that up. For identification
- 22 only, Government Exhibit 1260-A.
- 23 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 24 A. Yes. Yes.
- Q. What is this?

currency."

Gross - Cross

- This is the email -- I received an email from the Federal 1 Reserve Bank about BSA, AML compliance issues related to 2 3 virtual currency. There was a webinar that they were going to 4 hold to train people how to be compliant with virtual 5 currencies, and I forwarded on to Yuri and Jose saying to them, 6 "You might want to sign up for this. It's about virtual
 - MR. NOBLE: Okay. Government offers Government Exhibit 1260-A.
 - MS. SANTILLO: No objection.
- 11 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 12 THE COURT: Thank you. It's admitted.
- (Government's Exhibit 1260-A received in evidence) 13
- 14 MR. NOBLE: May we publish for the jury?
- 15 You may. THE COURT:
- We'll let the jury just take a look at 16 MR. NOBLE:
- 17 that.

7

8

9

- BY MR. NOBLE: 18
- 19 Q. Mr. Gross, you said you sent this email to Yuri and Jose, 20 correct?
- 21 Yes, sir. Α.
- 22 They were colleagues of Anthony Murgio?
- 23 They were board members at HOPE FCU, and they had the 24 specific responsibility for -- at the time I believe to have
- 25 the specific responsibility for BSA and compliance, and so

- that's why Yuri and Jose that were the two that were 1 identified. 2
- 3 So Yuri Lebedev was in charge of the BSA compliance program
- 4 for HOPE FCU?
- 5 What I was told by Anthony was happening was that Ricardo
- was doing the entry, Jose, as a person who has banking 6
- 7 experience, was leading the effort, and that Yuri was working
- on the backend BSA compliance. So that's why the two of them 8
- 9 were sent this email about a seminar, to teach them how to be
- compliant with the Federal Reserve. 10
- 11 So it was important for you to be compliant with BSA
- 12 relating to virtual currencies?
- 13 A. No, it was important to be compliant with everything.
- 14 to me, what caught my attention was really more the first part
- of it about BSA and AML. 15
- But it's specifically relating to BSA and AML, that's anti 16
- 17 money laundering provisions --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 -- relating to virtual currency, correct?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 And you forwarded to Anthony Murgio, Yuri Lebedev, Jose
- 22 Freundt and Ricardo Hill, correct?
- 23 To Yuri and Jose, with a copy to Ricardo and Anthony, yes.
- 24 Correct. And because they were running the credit union at
- 25 that time.

- I forwarded it to them because they had responsibilities 1 for BSA compliance, as I understood it, sir. 2
- 3 MR. NOBLE: You can take that down, Ms. Grant. 4 you.
 - Q. You testified you believe the Collectables Club fell within the credit union's field of membership because it was an association headquartered in Lakewood, New Jersey, correct?
 - Yes, sir. Α.

5

6

7

8

9

10

- You would agree it's important to ensure that individuals and entities that want to be members of your credit union actually qualify?
- 12 Α. Yes, sir.
- 13 That they fall within the field of membership? 0.
- 14 Yes, sir. Α.
- Because that's the law? 15 Q.
- Α. 16 Yes, sir.
- 17 And it's also in the credit union's bylaws? Ο.
- 18 The charter, yes. Α.
- 19 Well, the bylaws, too, as well, correct? Q.
- 20 The bylaw repeats the language of the charter. It's the
- 21 charter that establishes the field of membership.
- 22 And you're familiar with the credit union's bylaws.
- 23 I can't say that I know all of them, but I'm generally 24 familiar, yes, sir.
- 25 Well, you were the chairman of the board of the credit

union, correct?

A. I sure was, sir, but I didn't -- just like the NCUA examiners didn't know all the answers, I certainly don't, either.

- Q. So your testimony is that, as the chairman of the board of a federal credit union, you were not familiar with the credit union's own bylaws?
- A. My testimony, sir, is that, as the voluntary chairman of the HOPE Federal Credit Union, I did not know all of the bylaws that were established. I'm not going to represent that I did.

(Continued on next page)

BY MR. NOBLE:

- Well, you were familiar with the credit union's field of 2 3 membership, correct?
- Yes, sir, generally. 4 Α.
- 5 Q. I believe you testified on direct examination that you were
- involved in amending it, at least once, before you started 6
- 7 dealing with Anthony Murgio?
- A. No, actually, I was not involved. That happened by 8
- 9 Mr. Wyatt on behalf of the church, but I wasn't -- except for
- 10 writing a letter of support for that process, I was not
- 11 involved in that process.
- 12 But you were, I believe you just testified, familiar with
- 13 the field of membership requirements?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 MR. NOBLE: Can we bring up Government Exhibit 6150 in
- evidence. Can we just flip forward, please. Sorry, go back. 16
- 17 And blow up the qualifications for membership section.
- 18 Q. This is the field of membership requirements for the credit
- union, correct? 19
- 20 Α. Yes, sir.
- 21 It doesn't include associations in Lakewood, New Jersey? Q.
- 22 A. We were a low-income designated credit union, and as a
- 23 low-income designated credit union, which took place after
- 24 these bylaws, that is what allowed us to have an association in
- the credit union, and the association, quite frankly, is a 25

- business. So, that's one of the challenges with this whole 1 field of membership, is that it's not a science --2
- 3 MR. NOBLE: Your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Gross.
- THE WITNESS: I apologize. 5
- 6 You were here for Clayton Curry's testimony?
- 7 Yes, sir. Α.
- And you remember receiving a letter from the NCUA that said 8
- 9 that because your charter did not include associations, the
- 10 Collectables Club would not have qualified for membership even
- 11 if they were headquartered in Lakewood, New Jersey?
- 12 Was that from Mr. Curry?
- 13 Do you recall seeing that from Mr. Curry? 0.
- 14 I vaguely recall an email, but I thought it was actually
- 15 from the Region II director to the people who wanted to
- start -- oh, to the Collectables Club members who had left the 16
- 17 board. That's what I thought that was in --
- 18 Q. Well, you were here were for Mr. Curry's testimony,
- 19 correct?
- 20 Yes, sir, I was. Α.
- 21 Do you recall him testifying that in response to that
- 22 letter that was received from the Collectables Club members,
- 23 including Mr. Lebedev, that the NCUA had determined that the
- 24 Collectables Club did not qualify because your charter did not
- 25 include associations in Lakewood, New Jersey?

- Gross Cross
- 1 Yes. And the first time I learned about that was during 2 this trial.
- 3 Q. Now, when you first spoke to Anthony Murgio about
- 4 Collectables Club, you knew that that entity was an association
- 5 based in Florida?
- That was relocating their headquarters to New Jersey. 6
- 7 But they never did that? Q.
- 8 Α. They did not do that, sir.
- 9 They never had a presence in Lakewood, New Jersey? Ο.
- 10 No, they did have a virtual office that they never did
- 11 anything with, and they never kept their word to relocate, as
- 12 they had committed.
- 13 You knew that Mike Murgio lived in Florida?
- 14 Yes, I did. Α.
- 15 Q. And that you opened an account for him at the credit union?
- 16 Because he was a part of the Collectables Club, which was
- 17 moving their headquarters to our field of membership, yes, sir.
- 18 Q. But they weren't in Lakewood, New Jersey, at the time you
- 19 opened up an account for the Collectables Club at the credit
- 20 union?
- 21 A. As I recall, they did have their virtual office there.
- 22 I recall, I thought they had their virtual office there at that
- 23 time, sir.
- 24 And Anthony Murgio also lived in Florida?
- 25 Yes, some part of Florida, I think.

- 1 Q. None of Anthony Murgio's proposed board members, including
- 2 Mr. Lebedev, lived in Lakewood, New Jersey, at the time you
- 3 pened up accounts for them?
- 4 A. No, but it was my understanding that as a part of an
- 5 association that was headquartered in our field of membership,
- 6 | they had a right to be in the credit union because it did not
- 7 | matter where you lived as long as the association was
- 8 headquartered in your field of membership, sir.
- 9 Q. And you were mistaken in that understanding?
- 10 A. Yeah, I was.
- 11 Q. None of the Collectables Club members worked in Lakewood,
- 12 New Jersey, correct?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 Q. None of them worshiped in Lakewood, New Jersey?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 | O. So --
- 17 A. Not that I know of.
- 18 Q. When you nominated them to be board members, they weren't
- 19 | eligible to be members of the credit union?
- 20 A. No, sir. As I just said to you, if an association is in
- 21 | the field of membership, which is what they warranted they were
- 22 doing, that the members of that association could be members,
- 23 which then meant they could be board members, sir.
- 24 | Q. And you said that was because they had a, quote-unquote,
- 25 | virtual office in Lakewood, correct?

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- That is correct, sir. That was the beginning of what would 1 become a physical office, which is what was warranted to me. 2
- 3 So they didn't actually have anybody doing anything in 4 Lakewood, New Jersey?
 - A. Well, that's one of the challenges in this process, because --

7 MR. NOBLE: Your Honor.

> THE COURT: As I've said, answer the question, and then I'll give you some opportunity to respond.

> > THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: But for going beyond the scope of the question, your counselor can redirect.

THE WITNESS: I apologize.

Could you repeat that question, sir?

BY MR. NOBLE:

0. Sure.

> So, the virtual office meant they didn't really have anything doing anything in Lakewood, New Jersey, other than having a mailing address?

That's not how I understood the virtual office, because the virtual office concept that I'm aware of is you actually pay for carved-out time and space, and you can come through there whenever you desire. It's just that you're not paying for a space that you are not going to use a hundred percent of the So, I'm not sure exactly what arrangement they had for time.

- the virtual office, whether it was just a mailbox or a shared conference room. I don't know those details.
- 3 | Q. Kapcharge also had a virtual office, correct?
- 4 A. Yes, and I think they may have been in the same building.
- Q. And at the time, you knew that Kapcharge was headquartered
- 6 in Montreal, Canada?
- 7 A. That is correct, sir.
 - Q. But you opened an account for Kapcharge, too?
- 9 A. Because they had an address in our field of membership,
- 10 || sir.

- 11 Q. In fact, you told them just to get an address in Lakewood,
- 12 | didn't you?
- 13 A. To just get an address?
- 14 Q. Yes.
- 15 | A. I'm not certain that that is my language. But I know you
- 16 needed an address in our field of membership, and that was true
- 17 | for anyone. That was our concern, if you're going to be in the
- 18 community, you have to have an address.
- 19 | Q. And you told Anthony Murgio to just use the same address as
- 20 | Kapcharge?
- 21 A. That -- I may have said that, sir.
- 22 | Q. And you knew that both Kapcharge and Collectables Club was
- 23 using this virtual office space at 216 River Avenue in
- 24 | Lakewood, New Jersey?
- 25 A. Yes, sir, I did know they were using it.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- You never visited that address?
- 2 When we talked about it, George Wyatt had known the Α. 3 building. I think he even knew the person --

MR. NOBLE: Your Honor? Your Honor?

THE WITNESS: I think I drove by it.

THE COURT: Again, Mr. Gross, respond to the question, and I will give you some room to explain, and then if more context is needed, you can be redirected. But begin with the answer to the question.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: I'll give you some reason to explain.

THE WITNESS: I apologize.

Could you repeat it, please?

- Sure. The question was: You never visited 216 River Q. Avenue in Lakewood, New Jersey?
- I did drive by it once or twice. I didn't go into the building physically, but I did drive by the building, and I noticed, oh, that's the 216 River Ave.
- Q. And that was all the due diligence that you did to confirm that Kapcharge and Collectables Club were based in Lakewood, New Jersey?
- A. We never, as a credit union, did any kind of verification of a person. When we came in, we took them as they were, sir. So, we've never done leases, we've never done any of that kind of stuff. We've been around for 30-some years, so we never did

- that, and we didn't treat them any differently than --1
- Q. You know that's a requirement of the Bank Secrecy Act, for 2
- 3 all financial institutions to know their customers?
- And we did know our customers, sir. 4 Α.
- 5 You just didn't to any due diligence on them?
- Well, no, sir, because if the people that you're opening 6
- 7 accounts for are people that you know, or you're getting to
- know, and they've presented their licenses and things like 8
- 9 that, that was, as far as we knew, enough due diligence.
- 10 So, okay. The board of HOPE Federal Credit Union was
- 11 responsible for managing the affairs of the credit union?
- 12 As much as a board of directors should, yes, sir.
- 13 That's the law, correct? 0.
- 14 I guess my question is, what do you mean by manage? I'm
- 15 not clear --
- 16 Q. You oversee the affairs of the entire federal credit union,
- 17 correct?
- 18 Yes, sir. Yes, sir. Α.
- 19 And that is a lot of responsibility, to oversee a federal
- 20 credit union?
- 21 Yes, sir, it is. Α.
- 22 There's a lot of laws and regulations that apply to that? Ο.
- 23 Yes, sir, there are. Α.
- 24 And you agree you should be familiar with those laws and
- 25 regulations?

yes, sir.

- Gross Cross
- 1 To the degree that it's humanly possible to be familiar, 2
- 3 Q. You also testified on direct examination that you were
- aware of the fiduciary duties that board members have to a 4
- credit union and its members, correct? 5
- I'm not sure I was asked a question about that. 6
- 7 I believe you gave an answer concerning fiduciary duties,
- as it related to the Collectables Club board members, that you 8
- 9 wanted to make sure that they understood their fiduciary
- 10 duties. Do you recall that?
- 11 That's when they were coming on the board, their training,
- 12 is that what you're talking about?
- 13 0. It's your testimony.
- Okay. Could you, then, repeat the question because --14 Α.
- 15 Q. Sure. Well, let me just ask it this way: You're aware of
- the fiduciary duties that you held as the chairman of the board 16
- 17 of a federal credit union, correct?
- 18 Α. Yes, sir.
- 19 And it's important to uphold those fiduciary duties?
- 20 Α. Yes, sir.
- 21 To be beyond reproach? Q.
- 22 To the best of one's ability, yes, sir.
- 23 So, when selecting members of the credit union to serve on
- 24 the board, it's important to pick responsible people?
- 25 Yes, sir. Α.

- Gross Cross
- It's important to pick qualified people? 1
- Depending on what qualified means, yes. 2 Α.
- 3 You don't want to just put anyone on the board of your
- federal credit union, do you? 4
- 5 I'm not sure what you mean by anyone, because that seems
- 6 rather exclusive, so I would need to get clarity on what you
- 7 mean by anyone --
- 8 Q. Sure.
- 9 -- because the people who were currently serving on the
- 10 board were not financial experts, they weren't banking people.
- 11 They were people who were just willing to help out, which is
- 12 really the ethos of the credit union world.
- 13 Were they convicted felons? 0.
- Honestly, I don't know, sir. 14 Α.
- 15 Q. So, you didn't do any background checks on any of the
- proposed Collectables Club board members? 16
- Unfortunately, I did not, sir. 17 Α.
- 18 So you never learned that Ricardo Hill had been convicted
- 19 of multiple felonies before you put him in charge of the credit
- 20 union?
- 21 I did not, sir, until we came to this trial.
- 22 You didn't run any credit reports on any of the
- 23 Collectables Club board members?
- 24 Α. No, and we've never run them on any of us either, sir.
- 25 You never did anything to verify whether the information on

5

7

8

9

11

- their resumes was truthful? 1
 - Unfortunately, sir, we did not. Α.
- 3 When you started doing a business with Anthony Murgio, you never did a Google search of him, did you? 4
 - I did not, sir, because I -- Michael was --
- 6 MR. NOBLE: Your Honor?
 - THE COURT: All right. So, we have the answer, and to the extent that your lawyers want to redirect, they can.
 - Go ahead.
- 10 So you never learned, before you gave control of the credit union to Anthony Murgio, that he had been prosecuted in Florida
- 12 for failing to pay sales taxes for his restaurant?
- 13 I did not know of that at all, sir. Α.
- 14 Q. You also didn't know that he had filed for bankruptcy in
- Florida? 15
- 16 I did not know that, sir.
- 17 You also didn't know that the bankruptcy court in Florida
- 18 had found that Anthony Murgio had lied to the federal court in
- 19 his own bankruptcy proceedings?
- 20 No, sir, I had no idea. Α.
- 21 But you still gave him control of the credit union? Q.
- 22 Because I did not know of any of those things, sir. Α.
- 23 Because you didn't do a Google search? 0.
- 24 Because Michael Murgio was the face of the Collectables Α.
- 25 Club, and we did look at Michael Murgio. We looked, we even

6

9

- called down to the board that he was on. He's an elected 1 official, a superintendent, a schoolteacher. He was the face, 2
- 3 and one of the board -- proposed board members was a lawyer.
- 4 Who puts a lawyer in the midst of a sham, a scheme like this?
 - So, it looked legitimate to us, and we didn't do the due diligence we should have.
- 7 Q. Now, you keep saying us and we in your testimony, don't 8 you?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 10 But there were never any other board members from the 11 credit union -- I'm talking the legacy board members -- on the 12 emails with Anthony Murgio or Michael Murgio when you were
- 13 setting up this deal?
- 14 A. I'm not sure if they were on the emails, but we certainly
- 15 were talking an awful lot about this whole deal, including at
- 16 the board meeting, sir.
- 17 Q. You also referenced this lawyer who was going to be on the
- 18 board that Anthony Murgio had proposed. Do you remember that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Do you know whether she was even a member of the
- 21 Collectables Club?
- 22 They all represented they were members of the Collectables
- 23 Club, sir.
- 24 What's her name? Ο.
- 25 Kendra, and her last name is Pannitti or --

- Gross Cross
- 1 So, you don't even know the names of the people that you were going to put on your board? 2
- 3 No, sir. I did not know how to pronounce her last name.
- How do you spell it? 4 Q.
- I won't even hazard a guess. P-A-N-I-T-I, I think. 5
- That's pretty close. 6 0.
- 7 Α. Thank you.
- 8 I think you testified that you did visit a website for
- 9 Collectables Club?
- 10 In fact, when I first met with Michael and Anthony,
- 11 they pulled up the website, and showed it, and said they were
- 12 updating it, but this was their website, and they went through
- 13 the items and showed the various things that were on the
- 14 website, yes, sir.
- 15 Q. And you're a pretty tech savvy person?
- No, sir. I'm an enthusiast of technology, but I'm not a 16
- 17 tech savvy person.
- 18 Q. Well, I believe we saw an email talking about how you are
- 19 aware and familiar with computer programming, correct?
- 20 That was in high school, sir. Α.
- 21 Well, you were the administrator for the websites for your Q.
- 22 church and credit union, correct?
- 23 Α. No, sir. I --
- 24 You were not the administrator for the website for the
- 25 church or the credit union?

just not.

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- The terms are getting mixed up. Because I owned the 1 account because I started the DreamHost account, but I am in no 2 3 way a technical administrator of websites or anything like 4 I do know how to log in, I do know how to do minimal 5 things, but to suggest that somehow I'm a technical expert, I'm
 - It's your testimony that you didn't realize that the Collectables Club website was a sham website; is that right?
- 9 That is correct, sir. Α.
- 10 You didn't realize that it wasn't functional, that you 11 couldn't even do anything on it?
 - Well, that's not true because you could do things on it, you just could not log in once you created a membership. And, in fact, I think the NCUA person, who went to the website, as well as the Alloya BSA person went to that same website, and they found it to be credible.
 - So you were aware at the time that you couldn't log into the Collectables Club website?
 - No, sir. I just learned that during this trial. Α.
- 20 But you were still willing to join the Collectables Club as 21 a member on behalf of the credit union, weren't you?
- 22 A. No. The credit union, as a part of this larger 23 partnership, became a part of the Collectables Club because 24 Anthony told us that that was their association, and they 25 wanted the association -- the credit union to be a part of

- their association.
- So you allowed your federal credit union to become a member 2
- 3 of the Collectables Club, and you didn't even know that the
- 4 website didn't work?
- 5 I'm not sure how the two are related.
- You didn't know the website didn't work? 6 0.
- 7 No, I did not know the website -- well, it did work.
- not know that the Collectables Club was a fraud. 8 I did not
- 9 know that. But as far as I knew, the website was functional.
- 10 I'm sorry, you said you knew the Collectables Club was a
- 11 fraud?
- 12 I did not say that, sir.
- 13 No, I just didn't hear you. 0.
- 14 I did not know that the Collectables Club was a fraud until Α.
- the criminal thing happened in the summer of 2015. 15
- But your understanding was that it was an association that 16
- 17 allowed people to trade collectibles, memorabilia, sports
- 18 cards, et cetera? That's what your understanding was?
- 19 Yes, sir. Yes, sir. Α.
- 20 And you had your federal credit union join that
- 21 association?
- 22 A. As a part of this larger partnership where they were coming
- in to take over this credit union and take it to its future. 23
- 24 So, yes, sir.
- 25 And, by the way, you let Ricardo Hill sign your name on

- various documents, didn't you? 1
- That is untrue. I did let him sign my name one time when I 2 Α.
- 3 was away and could not sign for myself. And you saw an email
- to that effect. 4
- 5 MR. NOBLE: Let's bring up Government Exhibit 1184-C.
- 6 I believe you also joined the Currency Enthusiasts Private
- 7 Membership Association on behalf of the credit union, didn't
- you? 8
- 9 A. We signed an agreement with them, as I thought that was the
- 10 agreement we just saw, right?
- Q. You don't remember signing a document that made the credit 11
- 12 union a member of the Currency Enthusiasts Private Membership
- 13 Association run by Anthony Murgio?
- 14 I remember signing that document we just saw before about
- 15 the technology partnership, sir.
- Okay. Well, let's see if Government Exhibit 1154-C 16
- 17 refreshes your recollection.
- Who is this email from? 18
- 19 Α. From me.
- 20 Who is it to? Ο.
- 21 To trustee@collectPMA. Α.
- 22 Who used that email account? 0.
- 23 As I recall, it was Michael Murgio.
- 24 Well, you exchanged a lot of emails with Trustee
- 25 Collectables which were signed by Anthony Murgio, correct?

- That's possible, but when I see trustee, I think of Michael 1 because that's how he first started emailing me. 2
- 3 Q. You never sought approval from your board of directors of 4 the credit union to enter into these agreements, did you?
 - I didn't think we needed to. Α.
- You never told the board about these agreements? 6
- 7 I don't think that's accurate because we talked about a lot
- of this. I just really don't recall. I know we didn't vote on 8
- 9 them, but I can't say we did not have a discussion about them
- 10 because I do think the board meeting where we did talk about
- 11 the technology -- one with the Enthusiasts, we talked about
- 12 these -- you know, the partnership and what that meant. But I
- 13 don't recall whether or not we actually -- I know we didn't
- 14 vote on it, so, no, sir.
- 15 Q. But you'd agree if there was a discussion about it, it
- would be in the minutes? 16
- 17 No, sir. Α.
- 18 Q. You discussed things at your board meetings that you don't
- 19 put in the minutes?
- 20 Α. Yes, sir.
- 21 And you know that the NCUA relies on your board meeting
- 22 minutes when it does its examinations?
- Yes, sir. 23 Α.
- 24 Those minutes are supposed to be truthful, and accurate,
- 25 and complete?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- They can be truthful, accurate, and complete and still not 1 include every discussion. 2
- 3 MR. NOBLE: Let's flip to the first attachment. Let's 4 blow up the top part.
 - What is this document?
 - The Currency Enthusiasts member contract. Α.
 - Who is joining the Currency Enthusiasts? Q.
 - HOPE FCU. Α.
 - MR. NOBLE: Can we just scroll down to -- let's go to the -- keep it there, I'm sorry, and blow up the very last paragraph on the page.
 - Do you see where this agreement states that "The trustee and members have chosen Anthony Murgio as the person best qualified to perform services to members of the association and entrust him to select other members to assist her" -- I think that's a mistake -- in carrying out that service"? Do you see that?
- 18 Yes, sir, I do. Α.
- 19 Let's see. You signed this document, right?
- 20 I recall I did, yes, sir. Α.
- 21 So, you agreed that Anthony Murgio was a qualified person Q. 22 to perform services to HOPE Federal Credit Union?
- 23 A. Based on this document, I believed that he was qualified to 24 select members of his association in carrying out this
- 25 organization's purpose.

Gross - Cross

- Q. Well, it also says to perform services to members of the association, which HOPE Federal Credit Union became a member of
- 4 A. I'm not sure of the question.

by signing this document?

- Q. Sure. So, it says, "The members have chosen Anthony Murgio as the best person qualified to perform services to members of
- 7 | the association." Do you see that?
- 8 A. Yes. Yes, I do.
- 9 Q. And by signing this document, it made the credit union a member of the association?
- 11 A. Okay. Yes, sir.
- 12 MR. NOBLE: Let's keep flipping forward. Next page.
- 13 Next page. Next, please. Can we just blow up the signature
- 14 | line there. No, the signature, please.
- 15 | Q. You signed this document, Mr. Gross?
- 16 | A. Yes, sir.
- 17 | Q. On June 23rd, 2014?
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. That was right around the time that the Collectables Club board members were elected to the board?
- 21 A. Yes. I think this may be the day before.
- MR. NOBLE: Let's take that down.
- 23 THE WITNESS: Or sometime around the same time, yes,
- 24 sir.
- MR. NOBLE: Let's go to the next page. Let's blow

- this one up. Just the top.
- 2 What is this document, Mr. Gross? Q.
- 3 This is a membership contract of Collectables Club Private
- 4 Membership Association.
 - And who is becoming a member of the Collectables Club here?
- HOPE FCU. 6 Α.
- 7 MR. NOBLE: Let's scroll to the -- it's the next page
- and pause there. The second to last paragraph, if you can just 8
- 9 blow that one up.
- 10 So, here, you say that you agreed that the members have
- 11 chosen Ania Amador as the best person -- person best qualified
- 12 to perform services to the members of the association, of which
- 13 HOPE Federal Credit Union was going to become a member,
- 14 correct?
- 15 Α. Yes, that's what it says, sir.
- Who's Ania Amador? 16 0.
- 17 I have -- well, I know her now because of this trial.
- 18 You didn't know who she was when you signed this document?
- 19 No, sir. I signed these documents as a part of the larger
- 20 partnership, and I can't honestly say I read every word of it,
- 21 but, obviously, my signature is on it.
- 22 MR. NOBLE: Let's go to the last page, just so the
- 23 jury can see that. You can blow that up.
- 24 That's your signature, Mr. Gross?
- 25 Yes, sir, that is. Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NOBLE: You can take that down.

- Now, Mr. Gross, you testified on direct examination about Q. this deal, and you just referred to it -- that you negotiated with Anthony Murgio, correct?
 - With Michael and Anthony Murgio, yes, sir.
 - I believe you testified on direct examination that the original deal did not include turning over control of the credit union?
- Say that again, sir?
 - You testified that the original deal did not include turning over control of the credit union to Anthony Murgio?
- I'm not certain what you're referencing. I thought what I had said was that this deal was that the Collectables Club was coming in to take over the credit union.
- 0. And they were going to take over the credit union, and that would occur as of the date of the annual meeting?
- No, I'm -- you're saying that's what I testified to?
- Q. No, I'm asking you.

So, the Collectables Club, the way they were going to take over, is that they were going to have majority control of the board as of the annual meeting, which was in June 2014, correct?

The way they were going to take over the whole organization was because they were going to have a bunch of members that were going to come in, and we were putting them on the board as

- well, and -- so they were going to have control. We knew we 1 were -- we knew they were taking this credit union completely 2
- 3 lock, stock, and barrel. We did know that, sir. But to say it
- 4 was going to happen on that date, I would not say that, sir.
 - Well, do you recall saying something like that before? 0.
- That on that date, they would take full control? 6 Α.
 - As of the June annual meeting.
- I can be -- my recollection could be refreshed, but --8
- 9 MR. NOBLE: Well, let's bring up Government Exhibit 10 1142-A in evidence.
- 11 Do you recognize this document, Mr. Gross?
- 12 Α. Yes.

5

7

19

- 13 MR. NOBLE: Let's go to the next page. Can we just 14 blow up under "Recap of Next Steps."
- 15 Q. Mr. Gross, this is an email that you sent to Anthony 16 Murgio, correct?
- 17 It looks like it, yes, sir.
- Q. And it states, "At the conclusion of the June annual 18

meeting, current board members, except me, will tender their

- 20 resignations effective September 1st. This date is set because
- 21 we have our annual examination on July 7th. "They" -- meaning
- 22 the NCUA, correct?
- A. Yes, sir. 23
- 24 -- "would like to come back and meet with the board about a
- 25 month afterwards to discuss findings, and we don't want them to

point."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

see an entirely new board at that meeting. That normally happens in August. All members have agreed to see this through to September 1st. After the June meeting, you all will have majority vote on the board, so there is no turning back at that

Does that refresh your recollection as to whether you ever said that Collectables Club would control the credit union after the June meeting?

A. In this email, sir, that is what happened. I think this is a May 12th email, and there were a lot of conversations that took place after that, because none of the board members submitted resignations as of September 1st. So there were a lot of things that were happening during this time where there was negotiations and changing.

But we did put them on the board, sir, absolutely. followed our process to nominate them, and they were elected to the board, and we thought it was going to be a great partnership, which it turned out not --

- Q. Let's talk about that process a little bit. So, the process of getting members of a credit union onto the board of directors involves the use of a nominating committee; is that right?
- A. Not necessarily, because the board itself can sit as the nominating committee, yes.
 - But that's part of your bylaws, your credit union bylaws,

6

10

11

15

16

17

Gross - Cross

- it requires a nominating committee to nominate people to the 1 board for election? 2
- 3 A. Right. But if the board itself sits as its own nominating 4 committee, it has satisfied the bylaw requirements.
 - So is it your testimony -- go ahead, continue.
- 7 nominating committee. The board can become itself the nominating committee to nominate and put names in office, which 8 9 is really how we'd been doing it.

So there's no provision that says it has to be a separate

- So is it your testimony that the entire board nominated all of the Collectables Club members for the election?
- 12 It is my testimony, sir, that the board voted on the slate 13 of officers -- slate of board members to be put forward, yes, 14 sir.
 - Q. Now, as part of your deal with Anthony Murgio, you agreed to do certain things in exchange for the payments that we've discussed at this trial, correct?
- 18 A. No, sir. I need clarity on what you're asking. "Certain things"? 19
- 20 O. Sure.
- 21 So, you agreed that you would take a payment of 22 \$15,000 upfront to get this process started, correct?
- 23 No, sir. That was not what happened.
- 24 May I explain?
- 25 Well --Q.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Go ahead.

Judge, it might be helpful to bring up an MR. NOBLE: exhibit to anchor the testimony.

THE COURT: All right. So your response is, no, that's not what happened, and you can follow with an exhibit, and then I'll give you some room to explain, Mr. Gross, in response to the question.

And, again, counsel can redirect, but I'll give the witness a little bit of room.

MR. NOBLE: Thank you, Judge.

Let's bring up Government Exhibit 1081-D, as in David, in evidence.

BY MR. NOBLE:

Q. So I believe this is an email that Ms. Santillo showed you on your direct examination.

MR. NOBLE: And let's blow up that bottom portion.

Q. Now, she didn't have you read this part of the email, but do you see under number 2, the second part of the paragraph,

"What I think is fair is 15K upfront"? Did you write that?

A. Yes. But if you keep on going, it says, and 45 days of

operation, another 45, "then once we find you a CU." So this was all a part of the conversation, because initially when they

came to us, they came to us with options. And this was

addressing the options that they gave us of us helping them,

them joining the credit union for a while, and then them

- starting their own credit union. So it was like three 1
- 2 different options, and one of them was taking control of a
- 3 credit union.
- Q. Well, I believe -- it does state that you were going to 4
- 5 take \$15,000 upfront, correct?
- Well, at this point in our discussions --6 Α.
- 7 Q. Mr. Gross, is that what this email says, "What I think is
- fair is 15K upfront"? 8
- 9 Those were the words, yes, sir.
- 10 Okay. And then your next words were, "And then 45 days of Ο.
- 11 operation, another \$15,000"?
- 12 That's what it says, sir.
- 13 And then, "Once we find you a CU or take over ours," and Ο.
- 14 then in parentheses, "(this is still on the table), then
- \$120,000 would be made as a donation." Do you see that? 15
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 So there were various things that you were going to do as
- 18 these payments were made to you or to your church in exchange,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. The "once we find you a CU or take over ours" would be the
- 21 only thing in there that I would see as actions that I would
- 22 take.
- 23 Q. So you were going to allow them to take over the credit
- 24 union for \$150,000?
- 25 That's not what this email says, but they were going to

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- make a donation to the church to reimburse the church for its 1 expenses to separate the church from the credit union, was our 2 3 ultimate understanding.
 - And then you were going to give them control of the credit Ο. union?
 - They were going to move forward with the whole credit They were going to do that when they brought their members in, yes, sir.
 - Q. You promised that the Collectables Club members would be nominated to the board in exchange for these payments, correct?
 - Could you say that again, please?
- 12 You promised that the Collectables Club members would be 13 nominated to the board in exchange for these payments, correct?
- 14 We had a signed memo of understanding that I was honoring, Α.
- 15 and the payment was a part of the understanding that we had,
- that there would be a payment as a milestone. The initial 16
- 17 agreement was, the money would go in an escrow account, and
- 18 then after everybody kept their word, the money would be
- 19 released to the church. But that never happened.
- 20 And you quaranteed that the Collectables Club members would
- 21 be elected to the board in exchange for these payments?
- 22 Α. Say that again, please?
- 23 You quaranteed that the Collectables Club members would be 24 elected to the board in exchange for these payments?
- 25 I don't think that's -- that's an accurate one-to-one

- comparison, sir.
- Q. Well, you agreed with Anthony Murgio that you would 2
- 3 nominate and ensure the election of his board members to the
- credit union after receiving these payments, correct? 4
- 5 A. No. I agreed that we would honor our agreement to partner
- 6 together, so that they would take control of the credit union,
- 7 and in return, the church would be paid back its -- paid back
- the investment it had made of around \$150,000, sir. 8
- 9 Q. But the promises that you made to Anthony Murgio, in terms
- 10 of the actions that you were willing to take, were contingent
- 11 upon receiving the payments that he promised to make to your
- 12 church?
- 13 A. No, because the election actually took place before the
- 14 120,000 even arrived. So it couldn't be contingent.
- 15 MR. NOBLE: Let's bring up Government Exhibit 1092-A
- in evidence. Let's blow up just --16
- 17 This is an email from you to Anthony Murgio, correct? Ο.
- Yes, sir. 18 Α.
- 19 Now, you say, in the third paragraph --Q.
- 20 MR. NOBLE: Let's blow that up. Blow up the third and
- 21 the fourth through fifth. That's good. Thanks.
- 22 Q. You say, "Please ACH \$15,000 to Hope Cathedral," and you
- 23 provide the routing and account number, "and then another 6,000
- 24 to the credit union," and you provide the routing and account
- 25 number, correct?

- Α. Yes, sir.
- And then you say, "Upon receiving these funds by Friday, 2
- 3 May 9th, the executive board will meet and recommend for
- 4 election to the board six people from your organization,"
- 5 correct?

- 6 That is what it says, sir.
- 7 Q. That action was contingent upon receiving that \$15,000 and
- 8 \$6,000 payment, correct?
- 9 A. Based on what this says, yes. This was where our
- 10 discussion was at this point, yes, sir.
- 11 Q. And then you go on to state, "These six seats will give you
- 12 the majority position on the board. The whole board will"
- 13 then -- you don't say then. "The whole board will ratify your
- 14 nomination on May 17th (by which time we would like to have
- received the second \$15,000 donation)." Is that what you 15
- 16 wrote?
- 17 A. That is correct, sir.
- 18 Q. "And then once your names are placed in nomination, the six
- people will be officially elected to the board at the June 19
- 20 annual meeting. (This is the only time when we can change
- 21 board members)." Do you see that?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 And then it says, "You have to designate various
- 24 positions, " correct?
- 25 A. You will have, yes.

- Gross Cross
- And then the last sentence: "The existing board members 1
- will tender their resignations to be effective at your 2
- 3 choosing, " meaning Anthony Murgio's choosing, correct?
- I think I was more talking about the organization. 4 Α.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- So I can't necessarily say --6 Α.
- 7 But you sent this email to Anthony Murgio, correct? Q.
- I sent it to trustee, actually. Yes, Anthony Murgio, he's 8
- 9 named at the top.
- 10 Well, you address it to Anthony Murgio?
- 11 Α. Yes. Yes, sir.
- You said, "Before this vote happens, the remainder of the 12
- 13 donation to assume control of the credit union would need to be
- 14 received." That's what you wrote?
- 15 Α. Yes, that's what I said, sir, on May 6th. Yes, sir.
- Does this email refresh your recollection that the actions 16
- 17 you promised to take on behalf of Anthony Murgio were
- contingent upon the receipt of these various payments? 18
- 19 A. No, sir, it doesn't. Because what actually happened was
- 20 they were elected, and something else -- and the order changed.
- 21 So, at this time, this is an accurate email. I said all of
- 22 this, I'm not denying any of that, but there were a lot of
- 23 discussions that took place.
- 24 And your church still got the \$150,000?
- 25 Yes, sir. We did receive the donation, yes, sir. Α.

- Gross Cross
- And the Collectables Club got control of the credit union? 1
- The Collectables Club came in and took operational control, 2 Α.
- 3 yes, sir.
- Q. So, it would be fair to say that these payments influenced 4
- 5 your decision-making at the credit union?
- A. No, sir. They did not completely influence my 6
- 7 decision-making, sir.
- 8 MR. NOBLE: You can take that down, Ms. Grant.
- 9 Q. Do you recall, Mr. Gross, being interviewed by the FBI and
- 10 the U.S. Attorneys -- some of the AUSAs on or about
- 11 October 5th, 2015?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. And you were present with your counsel at that meeting,
- 14 correct?
- A. Yes, sir. 15
- Q. And you would agree that in meetings, interviews, with FBI 16
- 17 officials, agents, and prosecutors, it's important to tell the
- truth? 18
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 It's just as important to tell the truth to federal
- 21 officials as it is to tell the truth to this jury, as you sit
- 22 here under oath, correct?
- A. Yes, sir. 23
- 24 Now, do you recall that during your interview, you stated
- 25 that the \$150,000 that the Murgios gave you had some influence

EB4 Gross - Cross

- on your decisions to give Murgio control of the credit union?

 Do you remember saying that?
- 3 A. Yes, sir. Yes, sir, it did have some influence. Yes, sir.
 - Q. So, that's different than your testimony today, correct?
- 5 A. No, I think I said something very similar. It didn't have
- 6 complete control over my decision, but it did have some
- 7 | control. I mean, they're making the church whole. That's a
- 8 | wonderful thing. The credit union is getting a bright new
- 9 | future with a new partnership. That's a good thing. And this
- 10 | Collectables Club, which I thought was a real organization, was
- 11 going to have its dream fulfilled to have a financial
- 12 | institution to work along with it. So, to me, it was a
- 13 || win-win-win.
- 14 | Q. Win-win because you got \$150,000 for your church?
- 15 A. Win-win because the church got reimbursed for its
- 16 | investment in the credit union, sir.
- 17 | Q. Your church?
- 18 A. It's not my church.
- 19 | Q. You're the head pastor of the church?
- 20 A. I'm an employee of the church, yes, sir.
- 21 | Q. You get paid by the church?
- 22 A. I'm an employee of the church, sir.
- 23 | Q. When the church has money, you get paid?
- 24 A. I'm an employee of the church, yes, sir.
- 25 | Q. You also said to the FBI and prosecutors, "Of course, the

- Gross Cross
- money played a part." Do you remember saying that? 1
- A. Yes, which is exactly what I explained. That's a wonderful 2
- 3 thing, that the church can get repaid.
- 4 Q. Now, you testified that you discussed this agreement that
- 5 you had with the Collectables Club with other members of the
- board of the HOPE Federal Credit Union? 6
- 7 Yes, sir. Α.
- You said that sometimes those discussions occurred over the 8
- 9 telephone?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. No one took any minutes of those phone discussions, did
- 12 they?
- 13 A. No, because they were discussions. They weren't formal
- 14 meetings, they were plenary, as it were, to talk about this
- 15 issue or other issues, if they may have arisen.
- Q. So, we have no documentation of what was said on those 16
- 17 phone calls?
- 18 I suspect the people who were on them could verify it, sir.
- I mean documentation as in documents, like minutes, we 19 Q.
- 20 don't have any of those things?
- 21 Because they weren't formal board meetings, no, sir. Α.
- 22 Q. You said that the board voted to enter into a partnership
- 23 with the Collectables Club, correct?
- 24 Α. Yes, sir.
- 25 And I believe Ms. Santillo showed you the board meeting

- Gross Cross
- minutes from May 2014, that's Government Exhibit 6085? 1
- 2 Yes, sir. Α.
- 3 Those minutes don't mention the \$15,000 in payment -- the
- 4 \$15,000 initial upfront payment that you received from the
- 5 Collectables Club, do they?
- No, they don't, sir. 6 Α.
- 7 They also don't mention the second \$15,000 payment from the
- Collectables Club? 8
- 9 No, they don't, sir.
- 10 They also don't mention the \$120,000 payment from
- 11 Kapcharge?
- 12 Α. No, they don't, sir.
- 13 They also don't mention anything about you getting
- 14 consulting fees from the Collectables Club, do they?
- 15 Α. They weren't envisioned at that time, sir.
- In fact, none of the board minutes for the HOPE Federal 16
- 17 Credit Union ever had any mention of the payments that were
- made to your church? 18
- 19 A. Unfortunately, they don't. But they also don't have any
- 20 record of the church paying for the credit union's bills
- 21 either.
- 22 Right. And there's no other documentation of that either?
- 23 Yes, there is documentation, sir. Α.
- 24 We haven't seen any of that during trial, have we? Ο.
- 25 I don't know, sir. Α.

- 1 You sat through the trial, though, haven't you?
- 2 Oh, you mean as evidence? Α.
- 3 0. Yes.
- I don't think anyone's --4 Α.
- 5 There's nothing in evidence to show that the church paid
- expenses on behalf of the credit union? 6
- 7 That's a long evidence list. So, I don't -- nothing that I
- have seen has been published --8
- 9 Q. Well, Mr. Gross, it's been a long trial, you've sat through
- 10 the entire thing.
- 11 Α. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. And you're on trial, correct?
- 13 MS. SANTILLO: Objection.
- THE COURT: Sustained. Move on. 14
- 15 Q. You pay attention to the evidence?
- 16 Yes, sir. Α.
- 17 Now, you never told the NCUA about any of the payments that
- 18 your church received from Collectables Club or Kapcharge, did
- 19 you?
- 20 No, sir, I did not. Α.
- 21 That's something that the NCUA would want to know? Q.
- 22 I don't know if they would want to know, sir.
- 23 Now, we talked a little bit about fiduciary duties and that
- 24 you understand what fiduciary duties are, correct?
- Yes, sir. 25 Α.

- 1 Q. That as a member of the board and as a chairman of the
- 2 board of the credit union, you had fiduciary duties?
- 3 A. Uh-huh. Yes, sir.
- 4 | Q. You would agree that it's important for a member,
- 5 particularly a chairman of the board, to uphold his fiduciary
- 6 duties?
- 7 A. To the best of his ability, yes, sir.
- Q. And, in fact, that requirement is in the bylaws of the
- 9 credit union?
- 10 A. I believe so, sir.
- 11 | Q. It's also in the law?
- 12 A. That, I'm not certain of.
- 13 | Q. Well, you heard the Judge's instruction on the law?
- 14 A. I've heard a lot during this trial. I just -- I'm not
- 15 | doubting you, but if you're asking me do I have personal
- 16 | knowledge, unfortunately, I don't, but I do know the board
- 17 | bylaws do say that, sir.
- 18 | Q. Okay.
- 19 MR. NOBLE: So, let's bring up the bylaws, Government
- 20 Exhibit 6150. Can we flip -- let's go to the last page and
- 21 | then go back up. Go back up. Keep going. One more.
- 22 Let's blow up, under Article XVI of your bylaws,
- 23 | Section 4.
- 24 | Q. Mr. Gross, do you see where it states, "No director" --
- 25 | that would include you, correct?

- Α. Yes, sir.
- -- "committee member, officer, agent or employee of this 2
- 3 credit union may participate in any manner, directly or
- 4 indirectly, in the deliberation upon the determination of any
- 5 question affecting his or her pecuniary" -- and we know what
- 6 that means from Clayton Curry, correct?
- 7 A. Well, I think he misdefined it, but I looked it up after
- 8 that.

- 9 Q. You know what it means?
- 10 It's something about -- I think it's about financial-- I
- 11 think.
- 12 Q. So, "any question affecting his or her pecuniary or
- 13 personal interest or the pecuniary interest of any corporation,
- 14 partnership, or association other than this credit union in
- 15 which he or she is directly or indirectly interested." Did I
- read that correctly? 16
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Your church is an entity, a corporation, it's a nonprofit 18
- 19 corporation, in which you have a direct interest, correct?
- 20 I don't have a pecuniary interest. Α.
- 21 You don't get paid by the church? Q.
- 22 I do, but that's not how I read that.
- 23 So you're saying that you don't have any type of financial
- 24 interest in your church?
- 25 To me, interest means that I'm an investor in something.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

25

Gross - Cross

That's how I would read the word interest there, where I'm 1 2 going to personally benefit from this transaction.

In the church world, it's a different term, but, to me, that's what it means, it's a private enurement. So that's what I thought -- when I read this, that's what I think of, that I'm going to personally benefit from a decision, and because I'm going to personally benefit, I should declare a conflict.

- Q. Well, you were here yesterday when John Rollins testified, correct?
- 11 Α. Yes, sir.
- 12 And your counsel showed you Government Exhibit 900? 13 were the summary slides of --
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. -- the payments that were traced?
- 16 Yes, sir. Α.
- Do you recall the portion of that presentation that showed 17 that you received payroll checks that were directly traceable 18 to the proceeds that you received from the Collectables Club?
- 19
- 20 I did see his inaccurate tracing of that, yes, sir.
- 21 But you don't deny that you get paid payroll checks out of 22 the payroll account, correct?
- 23 Oh, no, I definitely get paid when they're able to pay 24 them, yes.
 - And sometimes money is transferred from the church's

- EB4 Gross Cross
- operating account at PNC Bank into the payroll account at PNC
- 2 Bank?
- $3 \parallel A. \text{ Yes, sir.}$
- 4 | Q. And the money that the Collectables Club paid the church
- 5 and that Kapcharge paid the church, you directed to be paid to
- 6 the operating account at PNC Bank, correct?
- 7 A. That is correct. I gave them the routing information, yes,
- 8 sir.
- 9 Q. So the money goes into the operating account, then it goes
- 10 | to the payroll account, and then from the payroll account, you
- 11 get paychecks, correct?
- 12 A. That is the flaw in his approach, because the money went
- 13 | into the account, but so did other money go into the account.
- 14 So, you can't tie the two together, sir, because I was getting
- 15 | paid before this transaction, and I've been getting paid since
- 16 | this transaction, to the best of the church's ability.
- 17 | Q. You also get a housing allowance from the church, don't
- 18 | you?
- 19 A. Yes, sir. As a part of my package, yes, sir.
- 20 Q. \$60,000?
- 21 A. I think that's about right, yes, sir.
- 22 | Q. You also get a travel allowance?
- 23 | A. Yes, sir.
- 24 | Q. They pay for your health insurance?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

6

7

12

- Q. They pay for your car?
- They pay for their car, and I drive it. 2 Α. No.
- 3 You use that car for personal reasons?
- 4 I use it for everything I do, sir. Yes, sir. Α.
- 5 And the church pays for it? Ο.
 - Yes, sir, they do. Α.
 - The church pays your wife a salary?
- Yes, they do, sir. 8 Α.
- 9 Your church pays your son money? Q.
- They used to when he worked for the church, absolutely, as 10 11 well as other employees.
- 13 Absolutely not, sir. Α.
- 14 THE COURT: Mr. Noble, what's your time estimate?

Would you say it's a family business?

- 15 MR. NOBLE: I have quite a bit to go, your Honor.
- THE COURT: We'll break for lunch here. It's 12:40. 16
- 17 Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we'll resume in an
- 18 Thank you so much. Enjoy your lunch. hour.
- 19 MR. NOBLE: You can take that down, Ms. Grant.
- 20 (Continued on next page)

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Jury not present)

THE COURT: You may step down, Mr. Gross.

Matters to take up, counsel?

MS. SANTILLO: Yes, your Honor. I'd like a curative instruction that the defendant never has a burden of proof in a criminal trial. I think the line of questioning about Mr. Gross having to produce documents in this trial was prejudicial, and I'd like that to be read to the jury.

THE COURT: Is there a contemporaneous objection?

MS. SANTILLO: I quess it is.

MR. NOBLE: I believe your Honor sustained the objection.

THE COURT: I'll go back and look. There was a set of questions, I think, around it, and I don't recall when the question occurred -- when the objection occurred. I'll look at the transcript.

What's your response?

MR. NOBLE: Your Honor, I think it's a fair line of cross-examination, given that this defendant was served with an if-as-when subpoena, which called for the production of a variety of documents relating to the expenses surrounding the credit union that the church may have covered, his own compensation by the church. We can provide a copy of that subpoena to your Honor, but I believe it's a fair line of cross when the defendant testifies that there are these documents out

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there, and the government asked for them, and we don't get the documents, the backup documentation that shows that the church did, in fact, pay for all of these expenses that he testified about from the church or from him.

We interviewed Ms. Larkins, we sent multiple subpoenas to the church asking for the backup documentation to show this. Ms. Larkins even testified -- not testified, but when she was interviewed, she told us that there are no documents, there are no loan documents, there is nothing, there's no real backup documentation, other than this, like, one-page spreadsheet that either she or Mr. Gross created. We asked for the backup documentation that would underlie that analysis, and we never received it.

THE COURT: There are a couple of things. There was the earlier sidebar issue, which this is related to, and the plan there was to discuss it --

MR. NOBLE: Judge, I'm not going to pursue that line of cross-examination. I think there are plenty of other areas to mine.

THE COURT: That didn't happen. The one objection here I did sustain, I think there were -- there was some related questioning for which there was no contemporaneous objection. Obviously, the jury will be instructed at the -- by the charge as to who always bears the burden of proof. I'll look at the place where the contemporaneous objection was made.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You can submit a proposed instruction. My concern is, we've moved away from that. They're going to get clear instruction at the charge as to who bears the burden of proof, but why don't you make a specific proposal over the break to the government. I'll review the LiveNote to see where the objection was made.

Do I hear you that we're not going to return to this?

MR. NOBLE: I'm not going to return to that area.

THE COURT: Anything else?

About how much longer, Mr. Noble?

MR. NOBLE: I have quite a bit, but let me take a look at it and see if I can narrow it. Some of the areas, I've skipped around a little bit, so I'll have a better estimate after the break.

THE COURT: Okay.

Ms. Choi, I thought you were standing earlier to say something. I didn't know if anything --

MS. CHOI: Maybe it's just natural instinct. I don't know if your Honor knows, or if everyone knows, that Ms. Beyer is in the hallway. She's ready to go.

Pursuant to your Court's admonition that we be prepared to start our cross, that's what I was doing this morning -- sorry, closing, that's how long the day has been --I was wondering whether or not the defense now has objection to certain exhibits that we have on our list for the rebuttal

H38KLEB4 Gross - Cross case. I don't know the extent of those. I was just told that 1 while the morning was proceeding, and so I'm here to deal with 2 3 those. If there are issues, I presume -- I just wanted to flag that for your Honor, because as your Honor said, there may be a 4 5 situation in which we would have to deal with those document by 6 document. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 MS. CHOI: So, that's another thing to add to the 9 to-do list. 10 THE COURT: Thank you. So, you'll continue 11 communicating about that over lunch. We have Ms. Beyer here, 12

and I thank you for the efforts on that timing.

What I understand to be the schedule is: Cross is complete of Mr. Gross, Mr. Creizman anticipates a cross, redirect, and then Ms. Beyer. That's where we are, right?

MR. KLINGEMAN: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Anything else?

See you in about -- we'll have a couple of issues to take up, so in 40 minutes from now, please. Thank you.

(Luncheon recess)

21

20

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

H389LEB5

1 AFTERNOON SESSION 1:28 P.M. 2 3 (Jury not present) 4 THE COURT: Matters to take up? 5 MR. KLINGEMAN: None from the defense for Mr. Gross. MR. CREIZMAN: None from Mr. Lebedev. 6 7 MS. CHOI: Your Honor, Mr. Noble is in the restroom. 8 He should be here shortly. I apologize. 9 THE COURT: I'm going to look at something. 10 MR. NOBLE: Sorry, Judge. 11 THE COURT: That's all right. 12 So, Mr. Noble, anything to take up? 13 There's one exhibit, your Honor, that is MR. NOBLE: 14 not in evidence that I would like to use during my 15 cross-examination of Mr. Gross. It was a -- it's Government Exhibit 6142, if you can bring it up, please. 16 17 This was, your Honor may recall, subject to the 18 testimony of Terry Adam who laid a foundation that this was a document that the NCUA obtained from the credit union systems, 19 20 the general ledger specifically. During the course of the 21 conservatorship, the defendants had an objection to Mr. Adam 22 testifying about this, but I would like to inquire about this 23 document with Mr. Gross. And so the government intends to 24 offer this during our examination. 25 I don't think there's any authenticity problem and the

1 docum

document is relevant because it pertains to, as we've previously proffered at the time of Mr. Adam's testimony, accounting and the church's checking account at the credit union which Mr. Gross controlled.

THE COURT: Ms. Santillo.

MS. SANTILLO: Your Honor, I would object to the extent it gets into issues about the forensic analysis of the conservatorship because I think that's an area that has been off limits.

MR. NOBLE: Judge, this does not require any forensic accounting. I can inquire of Mr. Gross if he understands these things. He ran the credit union. I think he would understand what a general ledger is of the credit union. And this goes to corrupt intent.

MS. SANTILLO: I'm not quite sure why.

MR. NOBLE: I don't want to preview my entire line of cross-examination.

THE COURT: On that proffer I'll allow it. If either no foundation can be laid for it or its relevance is not made clear, I'll sustain the objection.

But, if you have a basis to -- for the statement you just made, then I'll allow it.

MR. NOBLE: Thank you, Judge. That was my only issue.

THE COURT: Ms. Santillo, you had made a request for a limiting instruction.

```
MS. SANTILLO: As long as we don't go down that path I
1
      think since we're so close to closing -- time has already
 2
 3
     passed a little bit, that we don't want to go down that road
 4
      right now.
 5
               THE COURT: Okay.
6
               MS. SANTILLO: So as long as the issue doesn't come up
 7
      again.
               MR. NOBLE: I'm not going to bring that issue up
8
9
      again.
10
               THE COURT:
                          All right. Thank you. And you won't.
               MR. NOBLE:
                          What's that?
11
12
               THE COURT:
                          And you won't.
13
               MR. NOBLE:
                           I will not, your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: Because I noted that when I went back and
15
      looked at the transcript, the question was:
               Well, Mr. Gross it's been a long trial you've sat
16
17
      through the entire thing?
18
               Objection.
19
               You said: Yes. And then: And you're on trial,
20
      correct?
21
               Objection.
22
               I said: Sustained. Move on.
23
               And then you said: You've paid attention to the
```

So you got one more in, but don't do it again.

24

25

evidence.

MR. NOBLE: Sorry, Judge.

THE COURT: On the character -- so I have looked at it. I've read the rationale of the cases, a number of cases calling into question. I think there's something persuasive about the risk that -- there is some risk of the standing alone instruction inviting attention to a single bit of evidence and suggesting to the jurors that they analyze this evidence all by itself. But I think -- I actually think there's a sort of middle ground which gets to the point a little bit further than what's in the current instruction without going too far. So what I'm contemplating -- do you have the charge in front of you, Mr. Klingeman?

MR. KLINGEMAN: I do not have it. Sorry.

THE COURT: That's okay. I'll read it. So the current version — so the winding into this, the first couple sentences and then, "The question is for you alone to determine. You should, however, consider this character evidence, together with all of the other facts and all of the other evidence in the case, in determining whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty of the charge."

And then there is the standing alone sentence which currently reads, "Evidence of good character may itself create a reasonable doubt where without such evidence no reasonable doubt would have existed."

What I'm contemplating would be changing that sentence

to, "Character evidence may be considered by you in determining whether the government has proven the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt." And then into the next sentence, "Accordingly, if after considering..."

So I will give that to you again, Mr. Klingeman.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Thanks.

THE COURT: So it would be a substitute for the sentence that beginnings on line seven, instead of that sentence it would read, "Character evidence may be considered by you in determining whether the government has proven the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt."

MR. KLINGEMAN: Yes.

THE COURT: I'm asking my clerk to print a couple copies.

Mr. Shin.

MR. SHIN: Your Honor, I don't think that sentence is necessary in light — the very next sentence, the "accordingly," incorporates that very idea, right, because if considering all of the evidence.

So I don't think it's necessary, but your Honor's proposal does eliminate some of the, I think the core of the offending nature of the language that we objected to.

THE COURT: My clerk is handing you both copies.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$ KLINGEMAN: I would ask for this language as just circulated by the Court.

MR. SHIN: 1 Thank you, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: We'll do that. 3 Anything else we can take up? 4 So I think with that the charge is final, although I 5 have invited, if there's anything else anyone notes over the 6 course of the day that they want to raise, they should do so. 7 By the time we finish for the day, I intend to put a lid on it so we can start preparing copies for tomorrow. 8 9 All right. I think we're on time. 10 Ms. Choi, anything further on -- I think the question is to you -- anything further on the government's documents? 11 12 MS. CHOI: Your Honor, I think this is the present 13 state of play. Ms. Madrigal has handed to me a list of six or 14 seven that she has questions about or objections to. I will go through them and reply to her and figure out what we -- what 15 16 needs to be done with regard to those. 17 Ms. Santillo has indicated to the government that she 18 is not in a position to have -- to represent that she's gone 19 through the list. 20 THE COURT: What's the quantity? 21 MS. CHOI: It's substantial, your Honor. I'm not 22 going to stay that it isn't.

THE COURT: I understand.

23

24

25

MS. CHOI: I don't know the number off the top of my head. We organized it by the source of the document, so which

e-mail account it came from and the like.

There's been very few changes. I think I added four documents since the version -- and cut down a bunch since the version that Mr. Klingeman had initially gone through.

So I'm hoping that that won't -- that it wouldn't take them very long to figure out -- for her to do that. It's my understanding Ms. Santillo just hasn't had the time to do that as of yet, which obviously from our perspective is problematic since we are relying on that list both incorporating these documents into our closing as well as anticipating potential defenses and insuring that we have the evidence to rebut that for purposes of Mr. Noble's rebuttal.

So that's the current state of play. I think

Ms. Santillo had indicated that she would be in a position

to -- that she would be in a position to get back to us later

today or early tomorrow morning about any individual documents

she might have, that she may have issue with from that list.

THE COURT: I don't know that -- how tomorrow morning will work.

MS. CHOI: I understand, your Honor. I guess this is sort of the crossroads that we find ourselves in right now which is simply if she were to have -- if Ms. Santillo were to have the opportunity it would obviously slow -- it would obviously make it an efficient process because we could winnow down whatever concerns we might have. On the other hand --

THE COURT: So you might just have to move them in, any ones that haven't been reviewed one at a time. And if there are objections, there are objections. Or do you have another suggestion? Or is there anything the government could do to assist the process?

Mr. Klingeman.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Yes. The government's list, your Honor, contains over 250 exhibits. So it's not what we understood to be given to us the other day which was a much smaller number. I've gone through most of them and I don't have any objections.

THE COURT: Gone through most of the 250 or what was originally --

MR. KLINGEMAN: The original list that we agreed we would review the night that it was circulated, but that list has been supplemented and supplemented and supplemented.

The problem is we don't want to hold this process up.

I can't imagine there's any highly relevant evidence among
these documents that hasn't been offered — that hasn't been
offered because we're at the end of the trial and just common
sense.

THE COURT: Well they wanted to put it in on direct.

MR. KLINGEMAN: I understand. My point is this. I

remain concerned about the following. Once we sit down after

our closings we have no ability to address evidence that has

not been the subject of testimony and not been the subject of comment even. And I worry about the rebuttal summation, I worry about a long rebuttal summation that changes the complexion of the case.

THE COURT: Rebuttal will be rebuttal.

MR. KLINGEMAN: I understand.

So my gut is telling me I'm not going to object. I haven't seen anything in what I've looked at, and I've looked at a lot of them, that gives me cause. They are very similar to the literally thousands of other things we've accepted in evidence.

Ms. Santillo and if we can just agree. I take it counsel for the codefendant only has a handful of objections that probably can be dealt with very quickly and perhaps with our consent we can moot this. What I don't want to do is carry this over until tomorrow. I'm already concerned that the government's summation won't even start today and then I'll be standing up at four o'clock and we won't get to a rebuttal much less the Court's instructions until Friday and I don't want to be the cause of that in any way, shape or form so I need a few minutes with Ms. Santillo at an appropriate break to resolve this.

THE COURT: Well let's get it resolved -- we'll keep going but then -- we'll keep going now. I think we'll be -- we may get to the midafternoon break or we may be done in which

case it will just be -- we'll break briefly for you to consult but then you can move them in and if you don't -- sounds like there's some number of them, some subset of this that can come in. To the extent that you want to see each one as it comes, we'll do it. But, the jury is -- so you'll get that opportunity, Mr. Klingeman. It won't be an extended opportunity, but certainly we'll find a few moments -- a few minutes before we get to this point.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Then what I would request is the following. I took seriously the procedure we talked about in court when the document list came in, I believe at this point it's Monday night at 8:30ish. I promptly reviewed them. I had no objections to that group.

I'll just confirm with Ms. Santillo that she's comfortable with me taking responsibility.

But I don't know what's come in since. I haven't looked at what's come in since. It seems to me a lot has come in since and that's what I want to be able to look at.

MS. CHOI: Your Honor, all we have to do -- in fact, we'll note that a lot of documents were taken out of that list in order to try to facilitate this, but what we're going to do is we're going to do a comparator list against whatever version Mr. Klingeman is representing what he thinks is the operative version in his mind, and we will get him a comparator list. And once that happens, he and Ms. Santillo should be able to

```
H389LEB5
      quickly go through them.
1
2
                THE COURT: Okay. We'll get the jury.
3
                (Continued on next page)
 4
5
6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

TREVON GROSS, resumed

2 (Jury present)

> Thank you. Everyone may be seated. THE COURT:

Thank you, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, for your continued diligence and attention.

We will resume the cross-examination of Mr. Gross by Mr. Noble.

Mr. Gross, I remind you, you are under oath.

When you're ready counsel.

MR. NOBLE: Thank you, Judge.

- CROSS-EXAMINATION CONTINUED
- BY MR. NOBLE: 12
- 13 Q. Now, Mr. Gross, you testified that you turned over control
- 14 of the credit union to Anthony Murgio and the other
- Collectables Club members, correct? 15
- I testified that we had a partnership, yes, sir, and that 16
- they were to take over control of the credit union. 17
- And that included control by Anthony Murgio? 18
- That included control by the Collectables Club, sir. 19 Α.
- 20 And he was part of the Collectables Club, correct? Ο.
- 21 To my knowledge, yes. Α.
- 22 Now, Anthony Murgio did not have any role on the board of
- 23 the credit union, correct?
- 24 Α. Correct.
- 25 He actually had no official role at the credit union at

Gross - cross

- all, correct? 1
- 2 Α. Correct.
- 3 But you still allowed him to have control of the credit
- 4 union?
- 5 A. As I said earlier, the Collectables Club as on organization
- 6 had the control, sir.
- 7 Q. And the person who was negotiating on behalf of the
- Collectables Club was Anthony Murgio? 8
- 9 A. No, sir. Michael Murgio.
- 10 So your testimony is that Anthony Murgio was not
- 11 negotiating with you on behalf of the Collectables Club?
- 12 A. No, sir. That's not what I said.
- 13 But the primary person that negotiated the original
- 14 agreement was the father, Michael Murgio.
- 15 Q. Well do you remember the e-mail that we looked at before
- lunch that showed you promising to do certain things by a 16
- 17 certain time -- in certain timeframes in exchange for payments?
- 18 Do you remember that e-mail?
- 19 Yes, sir, I do. Α.
- 20 That was with Anthony Murgio, correct?
- 21 Yes, sir, that was. Α.
- 22 Q. Now, it was even before the Collectables Club members
- 23 became part of the board that you gave them access to the
- 24 credit union's financials, correct?
- 25 Yes, sir. Α.

- Gross cross
- 1 And you gave them access to the credit union's website?
 - I'm not certain what you mean. Α.
- 3 Well you turned over control of the website for the credit
- 4 union to Anthony Murgio and Yuri Lebedev, correct?
- 5 A. I'm not sure I turned it over to them but we were
- 6 redesigning the websites, yes, sir.
- 7 Q. Do you recall sending instructions to Yuri Lebedev on how
- to access and take control of the website? 8
- 9 A. I recall giving them access so we could update the website,
- 10 yes, sir.
- 11 MR. NOBLE: Let's bring up Government Exhibit 1157 in
- 12 evidence and turn to page two.
- 13 Q. Now, Mr. Gross, you testified before lunch that you were
- 14 not a tech savvy person, correct?
- Yes, sir. That is correct. 15 Α.
- 16 But you do understand websites? 0.
- 17 Generally, sir, yeah. Α.
- 18 So you see on June 4, 2014 you wrote to Yuri Lebedev, among
- other people, "The current site is on a customized CMS." 19
- 20 What does CMS mean?
- 21 I think it means content management system. Α.
- 22 "So the DNS points to their servers for hosting." Q.
- 23 What does DNS mean?
- 24 Domain name service. Α.
- 25 "We have MX records so we can create our e-mail accounts." Q.

H389LEB5

1

2

What are MX records?

- That I'm not certain of. Α.
- 3 But you were able to create your own e-mail accounts for
- 4 the HOPE Federal Credit Union?
- 5 But that's a simple add a name, password create
- 6 There is no programming involved in that, sir. process.
- 7 Q. And then you went on and said, "We can stop the DNS
- redirect which will cause the site to go down and then you can 8
- 9 upload all the files because there is a word press bootstrap
- 10 framework available."
- 11 Do you see that?
- 12 Α. Yes, sir.
- 13 What's a word press bootstrap framework?
- 14 I have no idea. These are, more often than not, me copying Α.
- 15 and pasting what a tech person told me with DreamHost.
- But then you went on and said, "Just say the word and I can 16
- 17 do that part."
- 18 Α. Yes, sir.
- 19 So you were going to do something with the word press
- 20 bootstrap framework?
- 21 Yes. Contact the service at DreamHost and tell them here's
- 22 what I need you to do. Because you can chat with them and
- 23 they'll walk you through the site.
- 24 And you are cable of doing that? 0.
- 25 Chatting with the DreamHost tech, absolutely.

Gross - cross

- 1 MR. NOBLE: You can take that down.
- 2 You also gave them back end access to the credit union's Ο. 3 financial systems, correct?
- 4 I gave them -- additionally they had access to the back end system for training, yes, sir. 5
 - Well and also to operate the credit union, correct?
- 7 Their initial passwords were limited only for the CU South
- University is what it's called where all the training was 8
- 9 available.

6

- 10 Well at some point that you gave them access to the entire 11 system?
- 12 Yes. At some point we did, yes, sir.
- 13 Including Ricardo Hill? 0.
- 14 Yes, sir. Α.
- And that enabled people in the Collectables Club to have 15 Q.
- access to all of your credit union's members' personal 16
- 17 information?
- 18 Ricardo Hill, yes. Α.
- 19 Well anybody in the Collectables Club who had access to
- 20 that user name and password?
- 21 A. Ricardo Hill is the only one who I think had teller access
- 22 which gave him still limited access based on segregation of
- duties but all of the -- all of those URLs -- all of those 23
- 24 log-ins did not have the same access to get them to see various
- 25 information.

- But Mr. Hill could? Q.
- 2 As far as I recall, yes, sir. Α.
- 3 And he was a convicted felon? Ο.
- What I learned during this trial, yes, sir. 4 Α.
- 5 That's because you never did due diligence on Ricardo Hill
- before you allowed him to have access to your credit union's 6
- 7 back end?
- Unfortunately I did not do any due diligence on him. 8
- 9 Q. You also arranged for a server and a router to be sent to
- 10 Florida for the Collectables Club, correct?
- 11 Α. For?
- 12 Ο. For the credit union?
- 13 Α. For the credit union, yes, sir.
- 14 You also arranged for a GUAPPLE to be sent to Florida? Q.
- Whatever that is. 15 Α. Yes.
- That was so they could have access to the credit union's 16
- 17 back end system and process ACHs from Florida?
- 18 They could train as well as do the work that needed A. Yes.
- 19 to be done with the ACH process. Correct.
- 20 They could not have done that without your authorization,
- 21 correct?
- 22 Α. Correct.
- 23 And you knew that Rico Hill was processing ACH transactions
- 24 for Kapcharge from Florida?
- 25 He wasn't processing them. He was inputting them into the Α.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

Gross - cross

system from the return reports, but he was not processing. 1

The processing was taking place, as I understand it, through a lawyer and then it was coming back into our back end system as the ODRI I think is the term for that.

- And you trained Rico Hill on how to do all those things?
- No that's why he had access to learn how to do those things through the system itself.
- Q. You never visited the HOPE Federal Credit Union office in Florida, did you?
- No, sir, because it wasn't supposed to be an office. was supposed to be a temporary spot for Rico to learn and when they came up that computer would end up going into Lakewood with him.
- Well he wasn't just learning on that system, was he?
- He was functioning as a teller. Absolutely. Α. No.
- He was processing millions and millions and millions of 16 17 dollars of ACHs in that system?
 - A. No, sir. He was not processing. As I said earlier, the processing of ACHs took place through a lawyer. Rico's job was simply to post what had already taken place into the system.
- 21 So he was uploading files into the system as part of the 22 ACH processing?
- 23 No, sir. He was manually typing in. He even made 24 reference to how many entries he had to do during the day. 25 he was typing in the return reports into the system.

Gross - cross

- Well that's part of the processing of ACH transactions 1 2 through the credit union, correct?
- 3 A. No, sir. That's actually the receipt side. So that's --
- so the processing had already taken place before that. 4
- 5 was the return of -- this is what happened. So it's a
- posttransaction that he was doing. 6
- 7 Q. Okay. But Rico -- it was Rico Hill who was doing all that
- 8 inputting, correct?
- 9 A. As far as I know.
- 10 And he was using the credit union's back end system to do
- 11 that?
- 12 There would be no other way to do it, sir.
- 13 And that's an essential part of doing ACH transactions as a Ο.
- 14 financial institution, correct?
- 15 I don't know about the essential part but it is a part of
- 16 the process.
- 17 Q. Okay. Well if -- let's say this. If Rico Hill did not
- 18 input that data into the system, the ACH processing could not
- happen, correct? 19
- 20 That's not accurate, sir. Α.
- 21 It's not accurate? Q.
- 22 No. Because, remember, I just said it's on the back end. Α.
- 23 So the processing has already happened.
- 24 He was entering in the receipts of all the
- 25 transactions that had already happened. So you can't say it

Gross - cross

- wouldn't happen when he's on the back end of it. 1
- 2 Well, Mr. Gross, the credit union has to keep track of all 0.
- 3 the ACH transactions that it processes, correct?
- 4 Correct. Α.
- On its own systems? 5
- 6 They were on the systems. Maybe you're not understanding
- 7 how it works.
- Q. Mr. Gross, just if you could just answer the question we'll 8 9 take this step by step.
- 10 Rico Hill was inputting ACH transactions into the 11 credit union's back end system, correct?
- 12 Α. No, sir.
- 13 Ο. No?
- 14 May I explain? Α.
- 15 Q. Sure.
- The system received the ACH receipts automatically which is 16
- CU South. And they were our receipt function. So those files 17
- were already in the system, as I understood it. What Rico was 18
- doing was actually manually, after it is already settled, 19
- 20 typing each transaction into the appropriate account which was
- 21 Kapcharge's account so that each item would show up on their
- 22 statement, but that function was already completed.
- 23 Q. And that's so that the credit union could keep track of all
- 24 the ACH transactions that it was processing on behalf of
- 25 Kapcharge?

- No, sir. That was so that Kapcharge could reconcile their 1
- 2 individual bank statement with every transaction that had
- 3 The credit union automatically received those files happened.
- 4 into its system as I understood it to work.
- 5 Q. Well let's say this. If Rico Hill wasn't doing what he was
- 6 doing the ACH processing for Kapcharge wouldn't function,
- 7 correct?
- 8 No, sir. That is not accurate.
- 9 Q. So no one would keep track of the ACH transactions at the
- 10 credit union?
- 11 What I'm saying is the transactions had already
- 12 happened by the time Rico touched them. What Rico was doing,
- 13 and you saw e-mails so that effect, when the Kapcharge would
- 14 say how come these aren't posted to our account.
- 15 transactions had already happened, they had already settled,
- and Rico's job was to make sure that everything in Kapcharge's 16
- 17 one bank account was accurate. But the transactions had
- 18 already taken place.

- And that was their account at the credit union, correct? 19 Q.
 - Their one account at the credit union. Α.
- 21 And the credit union was keeping track of the ACH
- 22 transactions on behalf of its member Kapcharge?
- 23 Α. That is correct.
- 24 Ο. And Rico Hill was playing an essential role in that?
- 25 Rico Hill played a role in it. Α.

- 2 The system already had them. So for the accounting Α.

Well if Rico Hill didn't do it who did it?

- 3 purposes, as I understand it, our system already captured them
- 4 and they showed up on our financials. Where they did not show
- 5 up is in Kapcharge's individual account and that's why Shoula
- 6 would send the e-mail saying we can't break down the
- 7 transactions. But that was still a post-ACH function.
- had already settled. The money was already in whoever's 8
- 9 account it needed to be in, and he was doing a paperwork
- 10 function. He was not uploading files to my knowledge.
- 11 So he was performing a paperwork function, in your words,
- 12 for the credit union?
- 13 Α. Yes, sir.
- 14 On behalf of Kapcharge? Q.
- On behalf of the credit union. 15 Α.
- Now, you never told the NCUA that the credit union had this 16
- 17 office in Florida, did you?
- 18 Α. No, sir.
- 19 You never told them that Rico Hill was doing what he was
- 20 doing in Florida, did you?
- 21 We talked about his function and what he was doing. Α.
- 22 But you never told him that he was doing that from Florida? Ο.
- 23 No, sir. Α.
- 24 Now, you knew that the NCUA was concerned about the ACH
- 25 processing at the credit union that you were doing on behalf of

- Kapcharge, correct? 1
- 2 Α. Yes, sir.
- 3 And you also knew that the NCUA was concerned about the
- 4 field of membership issues concerning Kapcharge and the
- 5 Collectables Club?
- And the church, yes, sir. 6
- 7 Q. And your testimony today is that you did not tell a single
- lie to the NCUA about Collectables Club's eligibility to be a 8
- 9 member of the credit union?
- 10 I did not knowingly misrepresent anything to the NCUA, sir.
- 11 You didn't tell a single lie to the NCUA about Kapcharge?
- 12 I did not intentionally misrepresent anything to the NCUA
- 13 concerning Kapcharge.
- 14 That's your testimony, Mr. Gross? Q.
- 15 Α. Yes, sir.
- Now, you testified that after the annual meeting the credit 16
- 17 union had filed a profile with the NCUA?
- 18 Α. Pardon?
- After the annual meeting in June 2014. 19 Q.
- 20 Α. Okav.
- 21 The credit union filed a profile with the NCUA, correct? Q.
- 22 I didn't say that, sir. Α.
- 23 Well Ms. Santillo asked you some questions on direct
- 24 examination about the profile that the credit union filed with
- 25 the NCUA, correct?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. You're familiar with those profiles?
- 3 A. Generally. I don't do them but, yes, I know what they are.
- 4 | Q. You're the chairman of the board of the credit union?
- 5 A. But I didn't do that function.
- 6 | Q. That was Mr. Bernard Larkins?
- 7 A. I'm not sure. There was a team that did it. It was
- 8 Bernard, George, and our supervisor committee person Marvin
- 9 Purry, the three of them worked together.
- 10 | Q. And those are people that you worked with on the board of
- 11 | the credit union?
- 12 | A. They're all board members, yes, sir.
- 13 | Q. And it's -- you'd agree that it's important to be truthful
- 14 | in the filings that you make to the NCUA, correct?
- 15 | A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. Now, the credit union filed a profile update after the
- 17 | annual meeting in June, correct?
- 18 A. No, we did not, sir.
- 19 | Q. You did not file one in July of 2014?
- 20 A. No. Because we didn't meet.
- 21 MR. NOBLE: Can we bring up Government Exhibit 6111 in
- 22 | evidence.
- 23 Can we blow up the certified by and the date, please.
- 24 | Q. Do you remember seeing this document during trial,
- 25 Mr. Gross?

Gross - cross

- I can't honestly recall that I do. 1
- 2 Does this refresh your recollection as to whether the 0.
- 3 credit union filed a profile in July of 2014 after the annual
- meeting? 4
- 5 A. I can't see the top of it. I see the certified by part but
- I'm not sure what --6
- 7 THE COURT: Can you show the full document to
- 8 Mr. Gross, please.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. I see it. It's a certification
- 10 I'm not sure what it's certifying, quite frankly, but I page.
- 11 do see the certification.
- 12 And it's dated July 25, 2014, correct?
- 13 Yes, it is. Α.
- 14 Certified by Bernard Larkins? Q.
- 15 Α. That's what it says here.
- And in this profile you did not report to the NCUA that the 16
- 17 Collectables Club board members had joined the board, did you?
- 18 I don't know what's reported in here.
- 19 You don't remember looking -- going through that with
- 20 Mr. Purry?
- 21 THE COURT: If you want to show the witness the full
- 22 document.
- 23 MR. NOBLE: Sure.
- 24 THE COURT: Then you can ask questions. He said he
- 25 doesn't remembering seeing it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Gross - cross

MR. NOBLE: Scroll to the back and then let's move up to the portion beginning -- stop there. Scroll back up to the contacts.

Can we just blow up. Just the first column. don't need to go all the way across. Just the names.

- Q. Now, Mr. Gross, do you recall Mr. Clayton's testimony that this was the portion of the profile where the credit union is supposed to report the members of its board?
- Yes, sir. I do recall that. Α.
- Now, if we scroll through this document, I want you to take a look to see whether you see any names of the Collectables Club board members who had been elected in June of 2014.
- MR. NOBLE: And just if you can just scroll slowly so Mr. Gross can take a look.

(Pause)

You can stop there.

- Q. Now, Mr. Gross, you didn't see any names of the Collectables Club board members who had been elected in June of 2014?
- A. No. But I also don't see some other names that were elected as well. There are a lot of old names there that hadn't been on the board for a while, like the Bradshire name at the top and -- so several of those names are not accurate, sir.
- So you'd agree that this report that was submitted to the

Gross - cross

- National Credit Union Administration on behalf of the credit 1
- 2 union was false?
- 3 A. I'm not sure -- I'm not sure that it was submitted to be
- false, sir. 4
- 5 Well it was inaccurate, correct?
- You're asking me to comment on something that I don't have 6
- 7 any basis to make a decision on --
- Well, Mr. Gross --8 Q.
- 9 -- because I didn't file this. Α.
- 10 Mr. Gross, you just testified that this list was
- 11 inaccurate, correct?
- 12 It is inaccurate. But to suggest that it was knowingly put
- 13 in as false I don't know, sir.
- 14 Q. Well it's inaccurate and it was certified as being true,
- correct? 15
- 16 A. But you're asking me. I didn't fill it out. So how can I
- 17 say what somebody else certified.
- 18 This report also -- you can zoom out.
- 19 This report also did not report that the credit union
- 20 had that office in Florida, did it?
- I don't think it existed at this time but I don't know if 21
- 22 it does but I don't think it existed at that time.
- 23 MR. NOBLE: Let's flip to the last page.
- 24 You can blow up the site section -- actually blow up
- 25 the first two rows, please. The first two rows on the page.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Gross - cross

- Q. Mr. Gross, you recall this or you see at the top of the page -- well, can we zoom out please.
 - MR. NOBLE: Can we just blow up the top of the page to the bottom of the second row.

Stop. That's fine.

- Q. So you see at the very top of the page, Mr. Gross, it says sites?
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And it says: Record on this page the credit union's hot site, if applicable, all other locations where the credit union maintains its books -- I mean maintains its records.

Do you see that?

- A. Yes, I do.
- 14 | Q. Or any future office locations?
- 15 A. Yes. I see that.
- Q. Now, there is no location in Tallahassee, Florida, listed on this page, is there?
 - A. I would say that based on what I'm reading here, I would not say that that office would even qualify because it was not intended to be a future office or anything. It was intended to be a temporary staging ground that was ultimately going to move into Lakewood when the organization moved to Lakewood.
 - And, in fact, just as another note, the mailing address here is also incorrect. That's why I think that the notion of something being incorrect or not updated does not

H389LEB5

1

necessarily mean that it's criminal. That's just my opinion.

- 2 But I did not certify this.
- 3 Q. Now you also, later on you testified that, I believe, that
- 4 there was subsequently another profile that was submitted in
- 5 October that did name the Collectables Club members?
- 6 A. Yes. When we organized the board in August, the next call
- 7 report after that would have been for the September time period
- 8 and that was -- and it was updated.
- 9 Q. And that report also didn't list any location in
- 10 Tallahassee, Florida?
- 11 I don't recall but based on my --
- 12 MR. NOBLE: Let's bring up Government Exhibit 6112 and
- 13 flip to the last page.
- 14 Can we just blow up the top page to the bottom of the
- 15 second row.
- Mr. Gross, there is no location for the credit union listed 16
- 17 here in Florida?
- 18 I don't see it. The mailing address is incorrect as well.
- You recall Ms. Flok's testimony, though, that as an 19
- 20 examiner she would have wanted to know where the credit union
- 21 back office computers were located?
- 22 Yes. And that's listed on here.
- 23 Well there was also a computer that had back office access
- 24 in Florida, correct?
- 25 Yes. But the back office is listed here as CU South.

- Those are dummy terminals. That is a terminal. It's not the back office.
- 3 Q. But you did -- your testimony was that Mr. Hill did have
- 4 access to the credit union's back office system where he could
- 5 see all the membership information from the computer that you
- 6 sent to the Collectables Club in Florida?
- 7 A. Well I did not send this, sir.
- 8 Q. Well you arranged for it to be sent, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And Mr. Hill could access the back office of the credit
- 11 | union from that location?
- 12 A. As could other credit union people remotely using security
- 13 software.
- 14 | Q. And that was -- and you recall that was one of Ms. Flok's
- 15 concerns is that as an NCUA examiner the NCUA wants to
- 16 | guarantee that the credit union's computer systems are secure,
- 17 | correct?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Because the credit union has an obligation to protect the
- 20 personal information of its members?
- 21 | A. Absolutely. And by the time she came that office was about
- 22 | to be shut down. And, in fact, two days later or three days
- 23 | later their access was completely cutoff. So when she came at
- 24 | the end of November our relationship with them was ended.
- 25 | Q. You never visited that location though, correct?

5

6

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

- You had asked me about that before. No, sir.
- 2 So you don't know whether or not that computer system was 0. 3 properly secured or not?
- 4 They warrantied that it was. Α.
 - Who did, Mr. Hill? 0.
 - Yes. Mr. Hill. Α.
- 7 MR. NOBLE: You can take that down, Ms. Grant.
- 8 You told Brian McDonough that the Collectables Club had 9 part-time employees in Lakewood?
 - I relayed what had been conveyed to me, yes, sir. Α.
- 11 You never verified that that was true?

believe that somehow that was not true.

We never verified what anybody told us in that regard. didn't -- we had no basis to not believe people. And so when he said this is our model -- he had actually said that from the beginning. His father and Anthony said that we have people who go all over the country visiting our 14,000 members and they come through this area all the time. So I had no reason to

But I did ask. That's why I asked Mr. McDonough. 19

- Well when you told that to Mr. McDonough, you knew that none of the Collectables Club members had moved to New Jersey as you said -- you had agreed they would do, correct?
- They had not physically relocated. Correct.
- 24 And they were not spending two weeks out of the month in 25 Lakewood, New Jersey?

- 1 No, sir. I did not know what they were doing. So I did 2 not keep up with them. I knew they were getting paychecks from
- 3 the Collectables Club for the work that they were doing for the
- 4 Collectables Club. I learned as I knew -- to somehow suggest
- 5 that I knew what their travel patterns were or were not, I did
- 6 not know. But I was under the impression that those people who
- 7 were part of the Collectables Club were traveling a good deal
- of time. 8
- 9 Q. So, is it fair to say that you don't verify the information
- 10 that you provide to the NCUA?
- 11 Because Brian McDonough and I were actually going
- 12 through a conversation to understand what was permissible and
- 13 what was not permissible. So I was not certifying anything to
- 14 him. I was trying to get clarity what would qualify for this
- 15 group to be in our field of membership.
- So you just testified that you were aware that the 16
- 17 Collectables Club's members were being paid for what they were
- 18 doing at the credit union?
- 19 That is not what I said, sir. Α.
- 20 Well you said they were aware they were being compensated? 0.
- 21 They were being compensated for the work they were doing
- 22 for the Collectables Club. That's what I said, sir.
- 23 And part of that work that they were doing for the
- 24 Collectables Club was serving on the board of the credit union,
- 25 correct?

- Gross cross
- 1 I did not know that was work they were doing for the
- 2 Collectables Club. I thought they were working for the
- 3 Collectables Club and because of their work with the
- 4 Collectables Club they were serving on the credit union board.
- 5 Q. And you yourself received compensation for the work that
- 6 you performed on behalf of the Collectables Club as consulting
- 7 fees, correct?
- A. No. I received compensation for the work I was doing on 8
- 9 behalf of the credit union that they reimbursed the church for.
- 10 Okav. But you and -- you know that it's not permissible
- 11 for a member of the board of directors to be compensated,
- 12 correct?
- 13 That is not accurate, sir. The regulation actually says Α.
- 14 that no more than one person can be compensated. And I was
- 15 both the chairman and the CEO. And so that did allow me as
- that one person to be compensated. But I was not compensated 16
- 17 from the credit union.
- 18 Q. But the credit union reported to the NCUA that you were a
- volunteer, correct? 19
- 20 I was the volunteer chairman. I think -- yes, I was the
- 21 volunteer chairman.
- 22 So that means you're not compensated? 0.
- 23 That means I'm not -- I'm not sure what you're saying. Α.
- 24 Well if you're a volunteer that means you're not
- 25 compensated, you're doing it pro bono, correct?

6

9

10

14

15

16

Gross - cross

- 1 Α. If that's what it said, yes.
- 2 I mean that's what the definition of volunteer is? 0. No.
- 3 I'm saying your saying what was reported to the NCUA
- 4 I'm not certain that I know what was reported to the NCUA.
 - Q. You don't know what's reported to the NCUA on behalf of your credit union?
- 7 On behalf of those notes I saw under a person's name, I'm not certain what was actually reported there. 8
 - So you never looked at the profiles that were being submitted to the NCUA on behalf of your credit union?
- 11 Sir, I never said that.
- 12 But you just said you didn't know what was in them?
- 13 That's not what I said, sir. Α. No.
 - I said as it relates to how I was designated in that report, I cannot recall what my designation was.
 - Well should we bring it up again?
- 17 That would be helpful. Α.
- 18 MR. NOBLE: Let's bring up Government Exhibit 6112.
- And let's flip to the back and then scroll backwards until we 19
- 20 get to the page that has Mr. Gross' name on it.
- 21 So scroll up a little bit. Keep going. Keep going.
- 22 Let's pause there.
- 23 Let's blow up the first row.
- 24 Does that refresh your recollection as to how your position
- 25 was reported to the NCUA?

- 1 It only lists that I'm the chairperson. It does not list 2 that I'm also serving as the CEO.
- 3 Under employment type, what does it say?
- It does say volunteer as chairperson. 4 Α.
 - It also says your role is a volunteer? Ο.
- 6 It does say volunteer as a chairperson, yes. Α.
- 7 MR. NOBLE: You can take that down.
- 8 Now, you discussed the NCUA's concerns about the ACH
- 9 processing at the credit union with both Kapcharge and Anthony
- 10 Murgio, correct?
- 11 Α. Yes.

- 12 And you were aware that given the amount of money in
- 13 Kapcharge's account in October 2014 the credit union was only
- 14 capitalized at about one percent?
- I think that's correct. 15 Α.
- That's well below what's required for federal credit 16
- 17 unions, correct?
- 18 Yes. Α.
- 19 And you were aware of that? Q.
- 20 When they came in, yes, sir. Α.
- 21 And you became concerned about that? Q.
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 Because that's something that the NCUA would look at and
- 24 they would see that the credit union was not sufficiently
- 25 capitalized, correct?

- 1 Yes. There was a plan to recapitalize so we could get back 2 on track.
- 3 Q. And part of that plan was that you were going to get
- 4 hundreds of thousands of dollars from Kapcharge to be injected
- 5 into the credit union, correct?
- A. Well, initially it was Collectables Club, then it became 6
- 7 Kapcharge.
- 8 Q. Okay. But hundreds of thousands of dollars to capitalize
- 9 the credit union?
- 10 Yes, sir. It would go into the credit union for it to be
- 11 well capitalized yes, sir.
- 12 Q. And you were going to have a side agreement with Kapcharge
- 13 to say that that was actually prepaid ACH fees?
- 14 A. To have a side deal -- can you show me something to
- 15 recollect my --
- 16 Q. Well do you recall telling that to Kapcharge and Anthony
- 17 Murgio?
- 18 A. I don't think that's exactly how it was said. I think --
- that's why I'd like something to refresh my memory on it. 19
- 20 MR. NOBLE: Sure. Let's bring up Government Exhibit
- 21 2279.
- 22 Let me just check to see if that's in evidence first,
- 23 please. It is.
- 24 We can publish that for the jury.
- 25 Can we blow up the e-mail on November 6, 2014 that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Mr. Gross wrote.

Now move this down a little bit so we can see the header information.

- This is an e-mail exchange between Kevin Pepe of Ο. Kapital/Kapcharge, Rico Hill, Anthony Murgio and yourself, correct?
- Α. Yes.
- And then you wrote, "We cannot wait until we move to the Federal Reserve Bank. If the NCUA comes in to look at our October books, they will see us with less than one percent capitalization. We should draw up an immediate agreement that the \$430,000 is an operational grant. We can have a side agreement that it gives them the ability to operate fee free for X years."
- When you wrote "gives them" you meant gives Kapcharge, correct?
- A. I'm not sure of the context because there were two times in which it was Collectables Club or Kapcharge. I'm just not sure unless the context can tell me a little bit better.
- It was Kapcharge who was paying the credit union ACH O. Sure. fees, correct?
- 22 Α. Yes, they were. Yes.
- 23 Kapcharge processed ACHs on behalf of Collectables Club? 0.
- 24 Α. Pardon?
- 25 Kapcharge processed ACHs through the credit union on behalf Q.

- of Collectables Club? 1
- 2 The largest organization they collected for or processed
- 3 for was Transferwise.
- An also Payday Lenders? 4 Q.
- 5 Α. I think later on, yes.
- And you were aware of that at the time? 6
- 7 I became aware. I'm not sure when. But I did become
- aware. Yes. 8
- 9 Q. You later state in this e-mail, "We cannot tie the two
- 10 together, " meaning the agreements, correct?
- 11 That would appear so.
- 12 The immediate agreement of an operational grant and the
- 13 side agreement for Kapcharge to operate fee free for several
- 14 years?
- I'm not sure what you're asking. 15
- 16 So that's what you mean by tying the two agreements
- 17 together, correct? Those are the two agreements you're
- 18 referring to in the e-mail?
- That there would be an operational grant that was given and 19
- 20 then there would be an agreement that said this is an advance
- 21 in fees which would cover -- how am I saying it -- which would
- 22 allow them to operate X -- free for X number of years.
- 23 Q. And if you had given just the operational grant to the
- 24 NCUA, that would be a misrepresentation, correct?
- 25 If we did what? Α.

- 1 If you only gave the NCUA the agreement about the 2 operational grant, that would be a misrepresentation to the
- 3 NCUA?
- If -- I'm not certain what you're asking. 4 Α.
- 5 So you said that you're going to have a side agreement
- though, correct? 6
- 7 That's what it says, sir. Yes.
- 8 That's a side agreement that you were not going to disclose
- 9 to the NCUA?
- 10 Absolutely not. Where do I say that? Α.
- 11 0. I'm asking you.
- Oh, no. There was never an intention not to disclose 12
- 13 something.
- 14 But you said that you -- you could not tie those two
- 15 agreements together because they will say that only a portion
- of it can be realized now and we'll have to post each year of 16
- 17 the agreement.
- 18 Do you see that?
- Yes. I do see that. 19 Α.
- 20 Who is they will say that only a portion of it can be
- 21 realized now?
- 22 I'm sure I'm acknowledging the NCUA.
- 23 So if you tied the two agreements together, the NCUA would
- 24 realize that only a portion of the money that Kapcharge was
- 25 going to inject into the credit union could be realized,

correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

No. You're misreading and you're mischaracterizing what the e-mail says.

What the e-mail is saying is that Kapcharge would invest in the credit union an operational grant of \$430,000.

Based on what I understood about accounting, if the money comes into the credit union and it is for future years, if it is for future years, you can't count it as income in the year that it's there. So it has to be posted in the future. So the idea was we'd have two agreements.

But this never happened. So that's why this is kind of hypothetical because none of this ever happened. This was a discussion we had. We never got the \$430,000 operational grant, and there was never any side deal to operate fee free. So I'm -- that's why I'm having difficulty understanding your questions.

- I'm just reading your e-mail. You were proposing Sure. having a side agreement with Kapcharge to allow it to operate fee free for X years, correct?
- This was an idea, yes. It was an idea that we never acted on.
 - Q. Now, in the next paragraph you say, "We have to be on the same page about all this because I have been dancing with the examiner since July. Honestly, I am tired."

Correct?

- Α. Yes, sir.
- 2 And that meant that you were tired of jumping through all
- 3 these hoops when the NCUA came to the credit union asking
- 4 questions?

- 5 You're interpreting it. Α.
- 6 I'm asking you if that's what you meant by --0.
- 7 What I meant --Α.
- 8 Q. -- dancing with the examiner?
- 9 What I meant was since July we had had a lot of examiners
- 10 coming through. And whenever an examiner comes they come
- 11 asking a bunch of questions. And it was a tiring exercise to
- 12 have a barrage of question after question and a lot of it
- 13 unfortunately I could not give the answers to because it was
- 14 beyond my knowledge.
- Q. Well you're aware that the National Credit Union 15
- Administration is a federal agency that is in charge of 16
- 17 protecting members of federal credit unions like HOPE Federal
- Credit Union, correct? 18
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 And they also are in charge of protecting what's called the
- 21 National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 Because if your credit union collapsed and members lost
- 24 money, then the NCUA would have to dip into the insurance fund
- 25 to pay those members back to make them whole up to \$250,000,

correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- And that's why I don't understand why when they got a A. Yes. credible tip about Anthony Murgio they never said anything to us about it.
 - MR. NOBLE: Your Honor, I would move to strike that response.

THE COURT: I'll grant that request as nonresponsive.

And, again, Mr. Gross, if you respond to the question asked. As I've said, I will give you some room to explain. Ιf further inquiry is warranted, your counsel will ask you on redirect.

So you can repeat the question.

Can try.

MR. NOBLE: May I have the court recorder read back my last question. Yes, please.

(Record read)

THE WITNESS: Yes.

- Q. And your credit union was, in fact, placed into conservatorship in October of 2015, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 MR. NOBLE: You can take that down, Ms. Grant.
- 22 Now, on November 21, 2014 examiner Meg Flok came to the 23 credit union to look into its ACH processing, correct?
- 24 Α. Can you give me the date again.
- 25 November 21, 2014. Q.

- Α. Yes, sir.
- 2 She discovered that Kapcharge had over one million dollars 0.
- 3 in its account as of October of 2014?
- I think something like that, yes. 4 Α.
- 5 That's because you handed her the October 2014 Aries
- 6 download instead of the September 2014 Aries download?
- 7 By mistake, yes.
- 8 That was a mistake? You didn't mean to give her the
- 9 information that showed that Kapcharge had over a million
- 10 dollars sitting in its account at the credit union?
- 11 I did not mean to give her October when it was
- 12 supposed to be September, because the way that report is run is
- 13 we -- we make a request to CU South. CU South runs the report
- 14 and drops it on the computer. I put it on a zip disk and give
- 15 it to the examiner. So the wrong report was run and we
- 16 subsequently gave her the right report.
- 17 But the October report showed the million dollar plus
- 18 balance in Kapcharge's account, correct?
- Yes, it did. 19 Α.
- 20 The September report would not have shown that, correct? 0.
- 21 Α. Right.
- 22 And it wouldn't have shown the overdrawn accounts on
- 23 the church either if I had given them for September.
- 24 She told you during that exam on-site that the Kapcharge
- 25 balance had caused the credit union's net worth ratio to fall

- to essentially a critical level, correct?
- Something like that. Α.
- 3 And you yourself understood that it was capitalized, you
- thought, at only one percent, correct, as of October, 2014? 4
- Somewhere around there, yes. 5 Α.
- Now, when she saw that balance in the Kapcharge account, 6
- 7 Ms. Flok asked you to provide a copy of the Kapcharge member
- 8 file, correct?
- 9 Α. Yes, she did.
- 10 You told her that that file was in storage?
- 11 That's where I believed it was because it was not where I
- had left it the night before. 12
- 13 Q. You didn't actually have the Kapcharge file in storage, did
- 14 you?
- I didn't know where it was. So when I told her that 15
- it must be in storage, that was what I believed because how can 16
- 17 a file go missing that was there the night before that I put
- 18 there on the counter to be able to give to her when she came
- 19 in.
- 20 In fact, you knew that there were certain portions of that
- 21 file that were missing that should have been in that file?
- 22 I knew the whole file was missing and I did not know where
- 23 it was.
- 24 Well you went to Anthony Murgio and Mark Francis to find
- 25 it, didn't you?

LEB5 Gross - cross

- A. I first called to see if some other board members had taken it ought offsite; then when I couldn't reach them, then we
- 3 proceeded to recreate the file as it had been the night before,
- 4 before it disappeared.
- Q. Now, Examiner Flok told you she would wait for you to get that file, correct?
- 7 A. Yes, she did.
 - Q. And she waited a couple hours?
- 9 A. She said about two hours which is about right.
- 10 Q. And you spoke to Anthony Murgio and Mark Francis about
- 11 sending you certain things to put into the Kapcharge file,
- 12 | correct?

- 13 A. Yes. To recreate the file that had gone missing.
- MR. NOBLE: Can we bring up Government Exhibit 4509 in evidence and turn to line 3829.
- 16 Q. So just backing up a little bit. You had told Anthony
- 17 | Murgio that the NCUA was coming to visit the credit union on
- 18 | November 21, 2014?
- 19 A. Yes. I think Anthony was already -- he and his father I
 20 think were already in town.
- 21 MR. NOBLE: Can we scroll down? Keep going.
- 22 Keep going. Scroll until we get until the 21^{st} .
- 23 Let's stop there.
- 24 | Q. So, on line 4093 you're talking to Anthony Murgio and you
- 25 | say: Did they change the website? Correct?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. That's the website for Kapcharge?
- 3 A. I believe so.
- 4 Q. And that was to add the Lakewood, New Jersey address to the
- 5 Kapcharge website?
- 6 A. Yes correct.
- 7 | Q. To make it look like Kapcharge had an address in New Jersey
- 8 | if the NCUA examiner Meg Flok looked it?
- 9 A. No. To represent the fact that they did have an address in
- 10 | Lakewood, New Jersey.
- 11 | Q. And you just happened to be sending that on the day that
- 12 | the examiner was coming to the credit union?
- 13 A. Because we wanted to make sure everything was in order,
- 14 sir.
- 15 \parallel Q. And then you said in line 4097: Okay. I heard her on the
- 16 phone saying she checked their website?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. That was Meg Flok checking the website for the Kapcharge?
- 19 A. I suppose that's who that's referencing.
- 20 MR. NOBLE: Let's scroll down. Stop there.
- 21 | Q. And you told him that she had mentioned something about
- 22 | Canada; is that right?
- 23 A. Yes. That's what I said.
- 24 | Q. That's where Kapcharge was located?
- 25 A. That was where their headquarters is, yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Gross - cross

- 1 You were concerned that the NCUA was going to realize that 2 Kapcharge only had a location in Canada?
- 3 A. No, sir. Because the file had a Quebec driver's license in
 - it. So it was very clear that they were in Canada. papers in the file said they were in Canada.

What I was concerned about was that the website did not accurately reflect that they were not only in Canada but they also had an address in our field of membership.

- Q. And you were concerned because the NCUA might ask questions if you were opening up an account and processing millions and millions of dollars for a company that was based in Canada, correct?
- I think they would be concerned that they were not in the Α. field of membership because they only showed a Canadian address when they did have a Lakewood address.
- An address you never went to?
 - There were a lot of addresses I did not go to for people in the credit union. And I did say I passed by it. I did say I passed by it two times.
 - Q. You didn't see any signs for Kapcharge on the outside, did you?
 - Α. I don't recall.
- 23 MR. NOBLE: Let's scroll down.
- 24 Now you say here on line 4130: Need Shoula's license 25 because she -- because side the account opening form.

H389LEB5 Gross - cross

1 A. Yes.

2

8

9

10

- Q. That's a reference to Shoula Cohen from Kapcharge, correct?
- 3 A. That is correct.
- 4 | Q. You needed her driver's license for the file?
- A. Because the file was missing, yes. I could not locate the file that was there the night before.

7 MR. NOBLE: Let's scroll down.

- Q. Now, later on that afternoon you told Anthony Murgio -- or Anthony Murgio told you that he was coming back, right, on line 4176?
- 11 | A. Hold on. 41.
- 12 Q. 76.
- 13 | A. Yes. Yes.

14 (Continued on next page)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

BY MR. NOBLE: 1

- Q. You wrote, "Just pass through and grab your stuff. They 2
- 3 want Kapcharge cut off immediately until they say we can
- process for them." Do you remember that? 4
- 5 Α. I see it.
- Now, you had that conversation with Meg Flok where she 6
- 7 asked you to stop processing ACHs for Kapcharge, right?
- 8 Α. Yes.
- 9 And you told her that the credit union would stop by the
- 10 following Monday?
- 11 Yes, that sounds about right.
- 12 Q. But you didn't stop?
- 13 Α. Say it again?
- 14 You didn't stop processing ACHs for Kapcharge after you
- 15 told Examiner Flok that you would do so as of the Monday after
- her visit? 16
- 17 A. No. The way I understood this was to stop for
- 18 TransferWise, which was that millions, and millions, and
- millions, and millions of dollars of transactions. So, I 19
- 20 misunderstood and thought we cut off TransferWise, which is
- 21 what we did. We completely cut off TransferWise and never did
- 22 another transaction for them.
- 23 Well, you were here for Ms. Flok's testimony, correct? 0.
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 You recall her testifying that you told her that you were

- going to stop processing for Kapcharge altogether, correct? 1
- Sir, reasonable people can disagree. I thought it was 2 Α.
- 3 stopping this big TransferWise business because that was the
- 4 That was the big problematic chunk of the tens of
- 5 million of dollars.
- 6 So, is it your testimony that Ms. Flok was mistaken when
- 7 she told this jury that you said Kapcharge would stop
- processing ACHs through the credit union by the Monday 8
- 9 following her visit?
- 10 What I'm saying is, I misunderstood that that's what
- 11 she was directing me to do, and I only thought it was
- TransferWise that she meant. And that's why we did cut off 12
- 13 TransferWise, and they never processed another thing through
- 14 the credit union.
- TransferWise didn't? 15 Ο.
- From that -- I think after that Monday, I don't think we 16
- 17 did anything else for them.
- 18 But you kept processing for Kapcharge?
- Yes, because I mistakenly thought it was only concerning 19
- 20 TransferWise.
- 21 So the bulk of the processing that you did after you cut
- 22 off TransferWise was for payday lenders?
- 23 I'm not sure about the bulk because that was also when the
- 24 leadership of the credit union was changing to Charles Blue.
- 25 Can we bring up Government Exhibit 1665 in MR. NOBLE:

- evidence. Just blow up the top of that.
- Q. Mr. Gross, that's an email you sent to Kapcharge when Meg 2
- 3 Flok was at the credit union stating, "We need your and
- Shoula's info and signature on this form ASAP, " correct? 4
- 5 That's what it says. Α.
- 6 You said you need it back in ten minutes. "Date from
- 7 May 23rd, 2014, " correct?
 - Yes, that's what it says. Α.
- 9 The date you sent this email, though, was November 21st,
- 10 2014?
- 11 But the date they opened their account, which is on the
- 12 original form, was May 23rd or somewhere around that May date.
- 13 Q. So, that was a form that you didn't have at the credit
- 14 union for Meg Flok?
- A. No, that is a form we had, and had it since May. We had it 15
- when the examiners came in September, we had it when they came 16
- 17 again in late September, we had it the night before.
- when she arrives with these other Collectables Club people 18
- 19 there, the Kapcharge file disappears, and I had no idea where
- 20 it was. I assumed a board member had taken it to put it away,
- 21 and only to find out no one knew where it was. So we recreated
- 22 it to make sure she had exactly what was there.
- 23 Q. Now, after Mark Francis and Shoula Cohen sent the
- 24 information back to you, that's the information you gave to Meg
- 25 Flok in the file, correct?

- in it in from the original opening of the account, and so I was 2

Some of it, I had in email because they had already emailed

- 3 able to just print that out. The information like this -- the
- 4 signature card, they filled it out, I put it in the file, and I
- 5 did give it to Ms. Flok.
- It's your testimony that that file was missing, correct? 6 0.
- 7 I could not find it, yes. Α.
- But that's not what you told Ms. Flok on the day of the 8
- 9 examination?
- 10 Because I was trying to figure out where it was. Α.
- 11 0. You told her that the file was in storage?
- 12 Α. Correct. Because that's where I assumed it was, sir.
- So that was a lie to Ms. Flok? 13 Ο.
- 14 Absolutely not. It was where I assumed it had to be, Α.
- 15 because how does a file go missing that's there the night
- 16 before, and then when you come in the next day to give it to
- someone, it's missing? For me, the only logical thing is that 17
- someone took it and put it away, because we were packing to 18
- move the credit union back into its field of membership. So 19
- 20 that was the only logical explanation as to where this file
- 21 I learned later -- if I could finish my answer.
- 22 Ο. Sure.
- 23 I learned later, based on that same WhatsApp chat that you
- 24 saw, that I firmly believe that Anthony took that file, because
- after we did all that back-and-forth and got it finished, his 25

- response to me was, hey, don't we make a good team, you should 1 2 really be in partnership with me, and don't deal with those
- 3 Kapcharge people.
- 4 Well, you guys did make a good team for a while, right? Q.
- 5 Not at all. Α.

- I thought you said the relationship was tremendous at the 6 7 beginning?
- 8 Α. With the members of the club, it was.
 - MR. NOBLE: You can take that down, Ms. Grant.
- 10 Well, Meg Flok returned to the credit union on December 1st Ο. 11 to gather some additional documents; is that right?
- 12 Sometime in early December, yes.
- 13 And after that, she asked you what the Collectables Club Ο. 14 was, by email?
- 15 A. As I recall -- and I'd prefer if you could give me something to refresh my recollection. But as I recall, she was 16 17 asking about an ACH detail report and what kind of processing 18 we were doing for this Collectables CLU, which is the
- 19 designation on an ACH detail report.
- 20 That's a designation for Collectables Club? 0.
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 MR. NOBLE: Let's bring up Government Exhibit -- just 23 for identification, Government Exhibit 3705.
- 24 Q. And this is the government's copy of the exhibit that I 25 believe Ms. Santillo showed you earlier of.

2

3

4

5

Gross - Cross

- MR. NOBLE: And at this time, the government would offer Government Exhibit 3705.
 - MS. SANTILLO: No objection.
- MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- THE COURT: All right. It's admitted.
- 6 (Government's Exhibit 3705 received in evidence)
- 7 MR. NOBLE: Can we publish that for the jury and
- 8 Mr. Gross.
- 9 BY MR. NOBLE:
- 10 Q. Now, Mr. Gross, you see at the top -- well, first of all,
- 11 do you remember being asked questions about this on direct
- 12 examination?
- 13 Yes, I do. Α.
- 14 And Kapcharge told you --Q.
- 15 I'm sorry, this was TG46; is that right? THE COURT:
- 16 MR. NOBLE: Ms. Santillo might be able to confirm.
- 17 We'll move on. THE COURT:
- 18 MR. NOBLE: Okay. Can we blow up the top portion,
- Ms. Grant. 19
- 20 Q. Now, in response to your question about what Kapcharge did
- 21 for Collectables Club, Kevin Pepe told you that they're an
- 22 online digital wallet and exchange company, correct?
- 23 Yes, that's what it says here.
- 24 He didn't tell you that they were a collectibles company,
- 25 He didn't write that in the email? right?

- No, it's not here. 1 Α.
- Do you know what a digital wallet is? 2 Q.
- 3 No, sir. Α.
- 4 You know what an exchange company is? Q.
- 5 No, sir. Α.
- Now, you didn't tell Ms. Flok that Collectables Club was a 6 7 digital wallet and exchange company, did you?
 - A. Because that's not what I believed she was asking me. Ι believed she was asking me what is on the other side, ran payroll and invoice payment transactions using PPD and CCD
- 11 codes.

8

9

- 12 Q. Well, you didn't tell her those things either, did you?
- 13 You just said that Kapcharge processed payroll and invoice
- 14 payment transactions for Collectables Club?
- 15 Α. Right.
- So you didn't give her the complete information? 16
- 17 You're saying I should have copied it and pasted it in the 18 response?
- 19 MR. NOBLE: Your Honor, I move to strike that 20 response.
- 21 THE COURT: All right. Motion granted.
- The jury will disregard the witness' answer as 22 23 nonresponsive.
- 24 Mr. Gross, directing you, again, to answer the 25 question, and then I haven't stopped you from giving room to

- explain, but you do have to answer the question. 1
- 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
- 3 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 4 MR. NOBLE: Well, let's bring up Government Exhibit
- 6026 in evidence. 5
- BY MR. NOBLE: 6

7

8

- Q. You see in the email from Ms. Flok on December 2nd, she asked you, "Who are they," correct?
 - In relation to the ACH item detail, yes.
- 10 But you knew who Collectables Club was, correct?
- 11 That's why I knew she had to be asking more detail
- 12 about ACH processing, because I could have told her, as I told
- 13 everybody, they're a memorabilia and collectibles club that
- 14 trades high-end memorabilia.
- Q. Well, that would have been false in light of what Kapcharge 15
- had just told you that they were a digital wallet and exchange 16
- 17 company, correct?
- A. But I would not have asked them the question because if I 18
- assumed that she was asking me about who they were generally, I 19
- 20 wouldn't have needed to ask. I needed to ask because I thought
- 21 that she was asking about what type of ACH processing Kapcharge
- 22 was doing for them, and I had no way of knowing that, which is
- 23 why I did ask them the question.
- 24 Q. Well, she asks you, "Collectables Club," and she references
- 25 an ACH item detail report, but she asks you generally, "Who are

3

4

6

7

8

Gross - Cross

- thev?" Isn't that what she wrote? 1
 - Well, you can't take --Α.
 - Isn't that what she wrote? 0.
 - She did ask who are they. Α.
- 5 MR. NOBLE: You can take that down, Ms. Grant.
 - Now, you testified that after you had this falling-out with Collectables Club and Anthony Murgio because you were failed -you did not get the \$50,000 in time, that you continued to
- 9 process ACHs and have a relationship with Kapcharge, correct?
- 10 The credit union did, yes. Α.
- 11 You knew, I believe you've testified, that it was Kapcharge
- 12 who had paid all that money to your church, correct?
- 13 I learned that sometime in that November time frame. Α.
- 14 From that point on, you started working with Mark Francis of Kapcharge? 15
- Principally, Charles Blue worked with Mark, but I was in 16 17 and out of there in discussions and that type of thing.
- In fact, Mr. Gross, you had been communicating with Mark 18
- 19 Francis behind Anthony Murgio's back before the November 22nd
- 20 meeting; isn't that true?
- 21 Yes, I had been. Α.
- 22 You and Mark Francis had agreed to cut off Anthony Murgio?
- 23 Yes, we had agreed. Α.
- 24 And it's because Kapcharge had been the one who had paid
- 25 you the \$120,000?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

No, sir. It was because Anthony had proven himself to be a dishonest person, and in light of his dishonesty, what he did was he threw Kapcharge under the bus as if their membership did not matter, and that was why we saw it was time to cut him off.

- Q. And the credit union continued to do business with Mark Francis until the credit union was placed into conservatorship in October 2015?
- Α. Kapcharge -- pretty much their relationship --
- Mr. Gross, it's a yes-or-no answer. Ο.
- Well, sir --Α.
- It would speed things up if you could just answer the question yes or no.
- MS. SANTILLO: Objection.
 - The objection is overruled. THE COURT:
- MR. NOBLE: If I could ask that question again?
- THE COURT: You can ask the question. If you have an objection to a nonresponsive answer, you'll state that --
- 18 MR. NOBLE: Yes.
- THE COURT: -- and I will direct the witness. 19
 - Again, I'm reminding you, Mr. Gross, we can't just keep doing more and more in every question. We have to get responses. As I've said, I'll give you room to provide context, but you do have to answer the question, and there will be an opportunity for redirect. If we can't do that, then I will move to yes or no. All right.

Case 1:15-cr-00769-AJN Document 501 Filed 04/07/17 Page 210 of 322 3724

H38KLEB6 Gross - Cross

MR. NOBLE: Thank you, Judge. BY MR. NOBLE: Q. You continued to do business with Mark Francis until the credit union was placed into conservatorship in October 2015? MS. SANTILLO: Objection. THE COURT: Just a moment. Grounds? MS. SANTILLO: Can I be heard? THE COURT: Yes. (Continued on next page)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(At the sidebar)

MS. SANTILLO: We had a pretrial ruling that we weren't going to be talking about the conservatorship or grounds for conservatorship. They're deeply intertwined. think continuing to suggest -- to bring up the conservatorship in this context is very misleading. It suggests that ACH processing caused it, and there were numerous other factors, including the criminal complaints in this case that caused it. I've let it go a couple of times, but I don't think it's a fair line of questioning.

MR. NOBLE: Judge, the conservatorship is a fact that's been established in this case. I'm not asking him about the underlying reasons for it. To the extent Ms. Santillo wants to redirect on the reasons for it on her redirect, that would be fine. But I think the jury already knows that the fact of conservatorship happened, there's no dispute about that, and I'm just using it as a time frame, a marker, as to when the credit union stopped doing business both with Kapcharge and everyone else because it was --

THE COURT: Just ask using dates.

MR. NOBLE: Okay.

(Continued on next page)

23

24

- 1 (In open court)
- BY MR. NOBLE: 2
- 3 Q. Mr. Gross, the credit union continued to do business with
- 4 Mark Francis and Kapcharge until about October 2015, correct?
- As far as I know, yes. 5
- After the falling-out with Murgio, I believe you've 6
- 7 testified that the credit union also continued to process ACH
- transactions for Kapcharge, right? 8
- 9 I think so, until January. Α.
- 10 And you opened up other accounts for Kapcharge-related
- entities or customers? 11
- 12 You asked me did I personally do it?
- 13 Ο. Yes.
- 14 I don't recall that I did, no, sir.
- Someone at the credit union did? 15 Q.
- 16 Yes, but not me. Α.
- 17 Customers like Wakpamni? Q.
- You're asking me questions I don't really know the answer 18
- 19 to.
- 20 Q. You don't know that Wakpamni was a payday lender based in
- 21 South Dakota?
- 22 A. You asked me -- well, I thought you asked me did I know who
- 23 opened that account for them, and I don't know who opened the
- 24 account.
- 25 Oh, no. You know who Wakpamni is, though?

- I generally learned throughout the course of the discussion 1 that they were a payday lender that was, I think, on a 2
- 3 reservation in South Dakota.
- 4 They did not even have an address in Lakewood, New Jersey? Q.
- 5 That, I'm -- those details, I'm not completely sure of
- 6 because at that point, I was generally disconnected from the
- 7 day-to-day operations.
- 8 Is there something that might refresh your recollection as
- 9 to whether or not Wakpamni even had an address in Lakewood, New
- 10 Jersey? Like the membership file maybe?
- 11 A. But seeing it now won't tell me what I knew back then.
- 12 you can show it to me, but I just don't recall whether or not
- 13 they did or didn't.
- 14 Q. So you don't know where one of the member companies that
- 15 you processed ACH transactions for was located?
- I transferred out of the day-to-day responsibilities at 16
- 17 that point, so I'm really -- so, no, I did not know.
- 18 Q. But a lot of Kapcharge's customers were payday lenders, you
- 19 knew that, right?
- 20 I don't know -- no, I don't know what a lot is, but I know
- 21 their biggest was the TransferWise, which we had lost, which
- 22 was not a payday company.
- 23 The other customers were, though? 0.
- 24 Α. I think some of them were, yes.
- 25 Now, you heard testimony that payday lenders oftentimes are

- predatory with respect to low-income individuals? 1
- 2 Α. Yes.
- 3 MS. SANTILLO: Objection.
- 4 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 5 Mr. Gross, is it your opinion that payday lenders sometimes are considered predatory with respect to low-income members? 6
- 7 MS. SANTILLO: Objection.
 - THE COURT: Sustained.
 - When you met with Meg Flok on November 21st, you promised that the credit union would stop processing ACHs, you say, for
- 11 TransferWise, she says for Kapcharge, correct?
- 12 Α. Yes.

8

9

- 13 Q. You continued to process ACH transactions for Kapcharge
- 14 after that date, correct? I believe you testified till
- January? 15
- Can you repeat that, please? I'm sorry. 16
- The credit union continued to process ACH 17 Ο.
- 18 transactions for Kapcharge after the date that you told Meg
- 19 Flok that you would stop processing --
- 20 A. Mistakenly, yes, we did continue to process, I think, until
- 21 January, early January.
- 22 Q. And you continued to process ACH transactions for Kapcharge
- 23 after Meg Flok sent you the exam report and the document of
- 24 resolution on December 15, 2014?
- 25 Α. Repeat that, please.

- The credit union continued to process ACH 1 Sure.
- transactions for Kapcharge after the NCUA told it to stop on 2
- 3 December 15, 2014?
- Mistakenly, yes, we did. 4 Α.
- 5 That was just a mistake? Ο.
- Yes, because we misunderstood what she was directing us to 6
- 7 do.
- 8 0. Well, the document of resolution was pretty clear, wasn't
- 9 it?
- 10 Α. I don't recall.
- The document of resolution told the credit union to stop 11
- 12 processing ACH transactions for Kapcharge?
- 13 I don't recall, sir. But if you want to show it to me, I Α.
- have no problem looking at it. 14
- 15 Q. Well, you forwarded the email that you received from Meg
- Flok with the document of resolution and the reasons that the 16
- 17 NCUA gave for stopping the processing to Mark Francis, correct?
- 18 Α. I believe so.
- 19 And even after that date, you continued to process ACH
- 20 transactions?
- 21 Because I was operating on a mistaken understanding.
- 22 It wasn't until January 8th when Supervisory Examiner Brian
- 23 McDonough told you and Charles Blue that the credit union was
- 24 possibly in violation of the document of resolution because you
- 25 continued to process ACH transactions?

- Yes, he clarified that our understanding was mistaken, and 1 that they intended for us to stop everything, and we complied. 2
- 3 Q. Now, you processed -- or your credit union processed, while
- 4 you were the chairman, over \$60 million in ACH transactions for
- 5 Kapcharge in 2014, correct?
- Somewhere around that number, yes. 6 Α.
- 7 Q. You've testified that Kapcharge was the main entity, but
- 8 there were kind of other businesses that Kapcharge was related
- 9 to that did ACH processing?
- 10 Α. I'm not certain I understand.
- 11 Well, you talked about TransferWise, we talked about
- 12 Those were related to Kapcharge's business, correct?
- 13 I'm not sure how to answer. Α.
- 14 Well --Q.
- 15 THE COURT: If you don't know the answer, you don't
- 16 know.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 18 THE COURT: Does that --
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yeah, because I'm not sure -- I quess
- 20 I'm not sure exactly what the question is asking, so I'm not
- 21 sure how to answer.
- 22 THE COURT: Are you able to rephrase?
- 23 Well, Kapcharge was the main entity, business entity, that
- 24 the credit union was processing ACHs for, correct?
- 25 Kapcharge was the payment processor, yes.

- Q. And the credit union had an agreement that it would be paid about 15 cents per transaction?
- A. I don't recall the amount, but there was a fee income associated with the transactions.
- Q. And that was set -- that fee was set forth in the agreement, the exclusive agreement that the Kapcharge and the credit union had?
 - A. I believe so.

9

10

- Q. Now, you testified on direct examination that Kapcharge was interested in the credit union because it wanted to get a better rate than it was getting at other banks; is that right?
- 12 A. Yes. That was my understanding, yes.
- Q. So that meant that the margins, in terms of the ACH processing fees, would be lower for the credit union than it normally would?
- 16 A. Explain that. Or can you ask that a different way, so I
 17 can understand what you mean?
- Q. Sure. You said Kapcharge wanted to come to the credit
 union to process its ACH transactions because it thought it
 could get a better deal?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- Q. And that meant lower fees than the credit union might normally charge for ACH processing?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. Well, Mr. Gross, you became concerned at some point that

- the credit union might actually lose money on processing ACH 1 transactions for Kapcharge? 2
- A. That we would lose? I'm not certain. There was a lot 3
- 4 going on about the fee discussions, but we certainly -- our
- 5 intention was for it to be profitable.
- 6 0. Okay.
- 7 So it had to make money.
- Is there a document that might refresh your recollection --8
- 9 Α. Sure.
- 10 -- that you believed that it might lose money?
- 11 Α. Please, yes.
- MR. NOBLE: Let's bring up, for identification, 12
- 13 Government Exhibit 1259-C.
- 14 Do you recognize this document, Mr. Gross? Q.
- 15 Α. Yes.
- 16 Is that an email chain that you were included on on or
- 17 about September 15th, 2014?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 MR. NOBLE: The government offers Government Exhibit
- 20 1259-C.
- 21 MS. SANTILLO: No objection.
- 22 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 23 THE COURT: 1259-C is admitted.
- 24 (Government's Exhibit 1259-C received in evidence)
- 25 MR. NOBLE: May we publish for the jury?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

THE COURT: You may.

Ms. Grant, can you please blow up the MR. NOBLE: email that Mr. Gross sent on September 15, 2014.

- Q. Do you see there, Mr. Gross, you wrote, "Hey, are the fees listed in here the Alloya fees? If so, then we are not making any money. In fact, we are losing money because CU South charges us fees for all this as well"? Do you see that?
- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Does that refresh your recollection that you were concerned at some point that the credit union might actually lose money on the ACH processing?
- Based on the fee schedule that had been attached to this agreement, absolutely, because -- yes, yes.
- Q. Now, you said that you wanted to build the credit union by allowing all this ACH processing to occur through it, correct?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 You thought it was going to be a money maker?
- 18 It was going to give us fee income, yes. Α.
- 19 In 2014, your credit union processed over \$60 million in Q. 20 ACHs, correct?
- 21 Somewhere in that vicinity, sir.
- 22 So, just taking September 2014, when you processed millions
- 23 of dollars of ACHs, the credit union earned approximately
- 24 \$1,613 in fees, correct?
- 25 That generally may be correct.

- Q. Well, let's not guess.
- 2 MR. NOBLE: Let's bring up Government Exhibit 2192 in
- 3 evidence. Can we blow up the bottom portion of the email, the
- 4 forwarded portion, from Christine Carida at Kapcharge.
- 5 Q. This is an email sent to you, Mr. Gross, and Rico Hill,
- 6 copying Shoula Cohen, Kevin Pepe, and Anthony Murgio dated
- 7 September 30th, 2014, correct?
- A. Yes. 8
- 9 Q. And Christine Carida tells you, "Please find attached the
- 10 fees calculation for September 1st through 29th, 2014.
- 11 fees have been calculated at \$1,613.25." Do you see that?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 So, after all of that ACH processing, the credit union Ο.
- 14 earned less than \$2,000 in September?
- 15 Α. No.
- That's not what the email says? 16 0.
- 17 The email says that as of the end of September 2014,
- 18 we hadn't processed \$60 million in transactions at the end of
- 19 September. That actually happened in October.
- 20 Okay. Well, let's talk about the fees for all of 2014. 0.
- 21 Sure. Α.
- 22 The fees for all of 2014 were less than \$7,000, correct? Q.
- 23 I'm not certain. Α.
- 24 Is there a document that might refresh your recollection? 0.
- 25 Yes, sir. Α.

```
1
               MR. NOBLE: Let's bring up, for identification,
      Government Exhibit 3734.
2
3
               I'm sorry, that might be the wrong one. Let's try
 4
      3576-B.
5
               THE COURT: Mr. Noble, what's your time estimate?
6
               MR. NOBLE: Maybe another hour.
 7
               THE COURT: We'll give the jurors their mid-afternoon
8
      break. See you in about ten minutes.
9
               (Continued on next page)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Jury not present)

THE COURT: You may step down, Mr. Gross.

Matters to take up?

We need to -- go ahead.

MS. CHOI: Sorry, your Honor.

Your Honor, I just wanted to note one thing, a timing It is unorthodox, but the circumstance is Ms. Beyer is sitting in the hallway. She has a 7:00 p.m. flight tonight. We would suggest at the end of the government cross-examination of Mr. Gross, that we take a break, so that the defense can put on this witness for the, I would presume, two or three minutes of testimony she has, so she might be able to go home today.

MR. KLINGEMAN: I have no objection to that.

MR. CREIZMAN: I have no objection, so long as I get my chance.

THE COURT: That's fine. And I'll give my standard statement when we've done other scheduling accommodations.

I have some concern about time. Mr. Gross, I don't want to keep admonishing you the same way. It is cross-examination. They are entitled to get direct responses. I do let, more than other judges, context be given, and, obviously, there will be a full opportunity for redirect by counsel, but every answer can't be a nonresponsive -- I'm not saying it's every one, but there are many, many answers, and we need -- the government's entitled to responses to their

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

questions on cross-examination unless there's a feasible objection. Otherwise, you have to answer, and I'm directing you to answer, and, as I say, if I think you're being unfairly asked to answer in a way that takes your answer out of context, I will protect your ability to provide that.

But it is their cross-examination, and you do have to respond, sir.

MS. SANTILLO: Your Honor, could I request a process? Because I feel like some of the questions that are being asked are not amenable to a yes-or-no answer, and I don't want him to be admonished in front of the jury if he's being asked an unfair question.

THE COURT: I disagree with that assessment of where we are. If you have a specific objection to a question as argumentative, or vague, or compound, or the like, I'd be happy to hear it, but there have been many, many instances where it has been a yes or no, and we've gotten a divergent nonresponsive answer. I've allowed it a lot, and it's time to -- they're entitled to responsive answers. So, if you have a specific objection because something is, as an evidentiary matter, unfair, I'm happy to hear it, but that's not what's been going on.

I won't need to admonish further in front of the jury, Mr. Gross. I'll restrain you to yes or no with an opportunity for redirect if we can't get through this process.

Case 1:15-cr-00769-AJN Document 501 Filed 04/07/17 Page 224 of 322

H38KLEB6 Gross - Cross Thank you, sir. Anything else? All right. See you in ten. MR. NOBLE: Thanks, Judge. (Recess) THE COURT: Matters to take up? No? All right, we'll get our jury. And, Mr. Gross, are you ready? Okay, please come to the stand. (Continued on next page)

1 (Jury present)

THE COURT: Thank you, members of the jury.

Mr. Noble, you may proceed.

MR. NOBLE: Thank you, Judge.

BY MR. NOBLE:

- Q. Now, Mr. Gross, before we broke, I was asking you about the
- 7 | fees that the credit union had earned from ACH processing for
- 8 | Kapcharge in 2014. Do you remember that?
- 9 | A. Yes.

3

4

- 10 | Q. And it was less than \$7,000, correct?
- 11 | A. That's what you had mentioned, yes.
- MR. NOBLE: Well, let's bring up Government Exhibit
- 13 | 3576-B for identification. Can we blow up the bottom portion,
- 14 | actually.
- 15 | Q. Mr. Gross, do you recognize that email?
- 16 A. I'm not on it, so do you mean recognize it how?
- 17 | Q. Well, I'm sorry. Does it refresh your recollection as to
- 18 whether the total revenue that Kapcharge generated for HOPE in
- 19 | 2014 was less than \$7,000?
- 20 MS. SANTILLO: Objection.
- 21 THE COURT: Before the break, when you were asked if
- 22 | there was something that would refresh your recollection, what
- 23 | did you say? I can't remember.
- 24 | THE WITNESS: I think I said, yes, if there was
- 25 something.

THE COURT: Does this refresh your recollection? 1 THE WITNESS: I've never seen this before. 2 3 THE COURT: All right. Sustained. 4 MR. NOBLE: You can zoom out. BY MR. NOBLE: 5 Did you have conversations with Charles Blue about how much 6 7 in fees the credit union had earned from Kapcharge in 2014? I believe we had some conversations like that. 8 9 MR. NOBLE: Actually, your Honor, the government would 10 offer this exhibit. I believe it's been authenticated, and I 11 think we could proffer a basis for it. THE COURT: Remind me of the number? 12 13 MR. NOBLE: It's 3576-B. 14 MS. SANTILLO: I can't see the exhibit. 15 No objection. 16 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 17 MS. SANTILLO: I said no objection. THE COURT: Mr. Creizman? 18 19 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection. 20 THE COURT: All right. It's admitted. Thank you. 21 (Government's Exhibit 3576-B received in evidence) 22 MR. NOBLE: May we publish that for the jury. 23 And, Ms. Grant, can you just blow up the bottom email 24 there. 25 This is an email from Christine Carida at Kapcharge to

- Charles Blue, copying Kevin Pepe, Mark Francis, and Shoula 1
- The first line states, "The total revenue that 2 Cohen.
- 3 Kapcharge generated for HOPE in 2014 was \$6,890.75, calculated
- 4 as per the fees stipulated in our banking agreement with HOPE."
- 5 You can take that down.
- BY MR. NOBLE: 6
- 7 Q. Now, Mr. Gross, you processed over \$60,000 -- I mean
- \$60 million in ACHs on behalf of Kapcharge in 2014? 8
- 9 MS. SANTILLO: Objection; form.
- 10 MR. NOBLE: I'll withdraw the question.
- 11 THE COURT: Okay.
- 12 Q. Your credit union processed over \$60 million in ACH
- 13 transactions on behalf of Kapcharge in 2014, correct?
- 14 Yes. Α.
- And for that, the credit union earned less than \$7,000? 15 Q.
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 And that did not include the other fees that the credit
- 18 union had to pay in order to do that ACH processing, did it?
- I'm not sure. 19 Α.
- 20 Well, there were fees that the credit union had to pay to
- 21 place corporate credit unions like Alloya, correct?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 I believe we saw that in one of your earlier emails,
- 24 correct?
- 25 Α. Correct.

THE COURT: Mr. Gross, could you pull the mic up.

2 Thank you.

- 3 Q. And all of this ACH processing was done without the proper
- 4 ACH policies, or procedures, or controls in place, correct?
- 5 I don't believe that's accurate.
- 6 Well, on that recording in the transcript that we saw
- 7 earlier, you remember where you said you thought you had been
- negligent? 8
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 And that was because the credit union had processed over
- 11 \$50 million in ACH transactions without doing the proper
- 12 diligence to make sure something illegal wasn't passing through
- 13 the credit union, correct?
- 14 Α. No.
- 15 MS. SANTILLO: Objection.
- THE COURT: Overruled. 16
- 17 The answer?
- 18 THE WITNESS: No.
- 19 THE COURT: Next question.
- 20 BY MR. NOBLE:
- 21 Q. But you admitted that you had been negligent because of the
- 22 ACH processing that had been done on behalf of Kapcharge at the
- 23 credit union in 2014?
- 24 Α. No.
- 25 Okay. Q.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NOBLE: So, if we bring up Government Exhibit 2506-T.

- Q. Your testimony is that you did not say that you were negligent because of the ACH processing on behalf of Kapcharge?
- No, sir, that's not what I said. Α.

MR. NOBLE: Let's --

- What I'm saying is --Α.
- Go ahead and explain. Q.

THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.

THE WITNESS: You asked me did I say we were negligent because we didn't do all these things as relates to ACH transactions. In that meeting, I said we were negligent because Ms. Flok came in the door saying we were negligent. So, if an NCUA regulator says you're negligent, that's why I repeated it. We later learned what she meant by negligent, and though there were things we needed to correct, it was not as bad as I thought she meant when she first walked in the door. So, I said the word negligent for that reason.

- Q. Well, we could find it in the transcript, but isn't there a portion on this recording where you say, "I would have to say we were negligent because we let \$50 million in ACHs pass through the credit union without the proper diligence"?
- And that's what she had said. So I'm simply saying it was because that's what she said, I'm repeating it.
 - And you agreed with that?

- At that time, yes. Α.
- MR. NOBLE: We can take that down, Ms. Grant. 2
- 3 Now, you testified that you had hired Charles Blue to be
- the new CEO for the credit union? 4
- The board did, yes. 5 Α.
- Well, you signed the contract, correct? 6 0.
- 7 On behalf of the board, yes.
- You spoke with Mark Francis about hiring Charles Blue to be 8
- 9 the CEO?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 Q. And Mark Francis agreed to pay for Charles Blue's \$50,000
- 12 salary?
- 13 A. In addition to other things, yes. Yes, in addition to
- other things. 14
- 15 Q. He also agreed to pay \$30,000 in professional fees for the
- credit union? 16
- 17 I'm not certain about all the different amounts, but there
- 18 were other amounts.
- 19 That Kapcharge paid to the credit union?
- 20 Yes, to the credit union. Α.
- 21 And \$50,000 of that was to cover Charles Blue's salary? Q.
- 22 Α. As I understand, yes.
- 23 You never told that to the NCUA?
- 24 I don't recall them asking me, but -- because I was not
- 25 interacting with them closely, Charles was.

- Gross Cross
- Well, you would agree that having one member of a credit 1
- union pay the salary of the CEO of the credit union would 2
- 3 create a conflict of interest, correct?
- Not as I understand it, no. 4 Α.
- 5 Well, you were sitting in the courtroom when NCUA Examiner
- Terry Adam testified, correct? 6
- 7 Α. Yes, sir.
- 8 And he testified that that would be a big red flag for the
- 9 NCUA?
- 10 He did use that word, yes.
- 11 That's because one single member of the credit union might
- 12 be able to exert control over the management of the credit
- 13 union if they were paying management's salary?
- 14 He said that was a possibility. Α.
- 15 Q. You would agree with that, though, right?
- 16 Not necessarily. Α.
- 17 But it creates at least an appearance of a conflict of 0.
- 18 interest, you'd have to agree, right?
- An appearance that would need to be explained, absolutely. 19 Α.
- 20 Now, when you hired Charles Blue to run the credit union,
- 21 he didn't have any prior experience running a financial
- 22 institution like HOPE, did he?
- 23 He had no credit union experience, that is correct.
- 24 He also wasn't familiar with ACH processing? Ο.
- 25 I'm not certain about that. Α.

- You didn't run a background check before you hired Charles 1
- 2 Blue?
- 3 I had known him for 12 years, so --
- If you could just answer the question yes or no, please, 4 Q.
- 5 Mr. Gross.
- No, I did not. No, I did not. 6 Α.
 - And you also never ran a credit report for Mr. Blue? Q.
- 8 Α. No.

- 9 MS. SANTILLO: Objection. Prior ruling.
- 10 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 11 So you never learned that Charles Blue had previously filed
- 12 for personal bankruptcy?
- 13 A. No, sir, I did not.
- Q. Now, you testified that the \$150,000 that was paid by 14
- 15 Collectables Club and Kapcharge was deposited into the church's
- operating account at PNC Bank? 16
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And I believe you testified that that was used -- all of
- 19 that was used to pay church bill's?
- Church obligations, yes. 20 Α.
- 21 You would agree that \$150,000 was a lot of money for your
- 22 church?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 None of that money was used to support the credit union,
- 25 correct?

- Gross Cross
- That's not -- no, that's not true. 1
- 2 Well, it wasn't a capital infusion into the credit union, Q.
- 3 was it?
- No, it was into the church. 4 Α.
- 5 You're a signatory on the church's operating account at
- PNC? 6
- 7 Yes, I am one of them.
- You have a debit card for that account? 8
- 9 Yes, I do. Α.
- 10 You can make withdrawals, cash withdrawals, from that
- 11 account?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 You can make purchases with the debit card?
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 And you used the operating account of the church to pay for Q.
- your personal expenses, I believe you testified on direct 16
- 17 examination?
- 18 Could you say that again, please?
- 19 You used the operating account of the church to pay for
- 20 your personal expenses, the operating account at PNC?
- 21 I need more clarity on the question, please.
- 22 Q. Well, Mr. Gross, you paid your credit cards out of the
- 23 church's operating account, correct?
- 24 Α. I don't understand the question.
- 25 You didn't pay down your credit cards, make payments on

- your credit cards using the church's operating account? 1
- I paid the church's expenses on my personal credit card 2 Α.
- 3 from the church's account, yes.
- 4 Q. Well, not all of the expenses on your credit cards were
- 5 church expenses, correct?
- 6 A. No, there were personal expenses on my personal credit
- 7 card.
- Q. And then you used the church's operating account to make 8
- 9 payments on those credit cards?
- 10 To pay the church expenses on that card, yes. Α.
- 11 Now, I believe -- well, you testified earlier about the
- 12 compensation that you received from the church, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. You also received something called pastoral support; is
- that right? 15
- 16 No, sir. Α.
- 17 You don't receive anything called pastoral support?
- 18 There is a line on our offering envelope that is called
- 19 pastoral support.
- 20 Well, you testified that you had a lot of unpaid back
- 21 salary; isn't that right?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 And the church keeps track of that, correct?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 So when you make a personal charge to your credit card, for

- instance, and then that credit card is paid by the church's 1
- operating account, there's a deduction in the amount of money 2
- 3 that the church owes you, correct?
- 4 Α. Yes.
- 5 I believe you testified on direct examination that that
- 6 purchase for a swimming pool was a business expense, correct?
- 7 No, I did not. Α.
- 8 Q. No? It was a personal expense?
- 9 Correct. As I recall, yes. Α.
- 10 I do believe that you testified that the Tao massage was a Ο.
- 11 medical expense paid for by the church because of your
- 12 herniated neck?
- 13 I'm not sure where it was charged in that ledger, but it Α.
- 14 was paid for from a church account, but I don't know where it
- 15 was credited to, whether it was credited against the money the
- church owed me or as a medical expense. I don't know the 16
- 17 answer to that.
- 18 Q. So, if you testified on direct examination that that was a
- 19 church expense, you were mistaken?
- 20 It was definitely a medical expense. I just don't know how
- 21 it was classified.
- 22 A medical expense that the church paid?
- 23 It is a medical expense the church should have paid, as it
- 24 has paid all of my other medical expenses, but I am not sure if
- 25 that charge was actually charged against me personally or to

- the church.
- So, it wouldn't surprise you to learn that the charge was 2
- 3 charged against your personal account as being a personal
- 4 expense?

- 5 It would not surprise me, no.
- 6 So when you testified earlier that the Tao massage payment 0.
- 7 was a church expense, you were mistaken?
- A. No, it still is a medical expense, it just was charged 8
- 9 against my personal account, which I'm fine with.
- 10 So, to the extent that some of these payments from
- 11 Collectables Club and Kapcharge can be traced to personal
- 12 expenses that were accounted for by deducting the back salary
- 13 you were owed, that was income to you, correct?
- 14 No, sir. Α.
- 15 Q. Well, you had a lot of unpaid salary in 2014, correct?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 Okay. And then every time that you incurred a personal
- expense that the church covered, it would be deducted from the 18
- amount of unpaid salary that you were owed, correct? 19
- 20 I don't understand the question.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay. That's --
- 22 Q. We'll walk through this more simply, maybe starting over a
- 23 little bit.
- 24 So, you said the church keeps track in a ledger of the
- 25 amount of salary that you're owed, the back salary, correct?

- That's where I need clarity, because the ledger keeps track 1
- 2 of the net amount after taxes had already been paid on what was
- 3 owed to me that I could not cash. So that money, taxes have
- already been paid on it because it was paid through payroll 4
- 5 tax. So what's on that ledger is the net portion that I should
- have been able to go to the bank and cash, but I couldn't 6
- 7 because the money wasn't there.
- 8 So you admit that these portions of unpaid back salary that
- 9 you realized were taxable?
- 10 No, they were taxed. They had already been taxed. Α.
- 11 And how were those reported to the IRS?
- 12 Α. On my W-2.
- 13 And your W-2s just include your \$50,000 in salary, correct,
- 14 for 2014?
- I don't think --15 Α.
- THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I don't know how to respond. 16
- 17 THE COURT: If the answer is I don't know or I don't
- 18 understand the question --
- 19 THE WITNESS: I don't understand the question.
- 20 Okay. So in 2014, you reported on your W-2 \$50,000 in
- 21 income from the church?
- 22 If that's what it says, yes. Α.
- 23 That was your salary from the church, correct? 0.
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 But in addition to that, you realized unpaid salary during

Case 1:15-cr-00769-AJN Document 501 Filed 04/07/17 Page 238 of 322

H38KLEB6 Gross - Cross 2014? A. No. Q. You did not realize any unpaid salary in 2014? A. This is -- I don't understand your question, sir. (Continued on next page)

- 1 MS. SANTILLO: Objection.
- THE COURT: Well, it's fine.
- 3 Mr. Gross, if you don't understand, what I want you to 4 say is I don't understand.
- 5 Mr. Noble, you'll have to rephrase.
- 6 MR. NOBLE: Sure.
- 7 | Q. Let's take a look and maybe you recognize this document.
- 8 MR. NOBLE: Just bring this up for the witness and the 9 court.
- 10 Q. Mr. Gross, do you recognize this document?
- 11 | A. Yes, I do.
- 12 | Q. What is it?
- 13 \blacksquare A. This is the 2400 account where my expense -- where my
- 14 unpaid salary is kept.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- MR. NOBLE: The government offers Government Exhibit
- 17 | 10,000.
- 18 MS. SANTILLO: I'd like to have an opportunity to
- 19 review it.
- 20 THE COURT: Okay.
- 21 MR. NOBLE: Sure.
- 22 (Pause)
- 23 MR. NOBLE: Judge, I'm going to move on.
- 24 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 25 Q. Now, Mr. Gross, in October you resigned from the board of

- the credit union, correct? 1
- 2 Α. Yes.
- 3 But you still had control over the credit union's e-mail
- accounts at that time? 4
- The church account did, yes. 5
- And you were the administrator for the church account? 6 0.
- 7 It was my account, but I was not the only one who had
- 8 access.
- 9 Q. Mr. Gross, you were the administrator for the church
- 10 account?
- 11 Yes, I was.
- 12 And that means you were the administrator for the e-mail
- 13 accounts of HOPE Federal Credit Union?
- 14 Α. Yes.
- And those included the accounts for the Collectables Club 15 Ο.
- 16 members?
- 17 Α. Yes.
- 18 Those were e-mail accounts that you created for the
- Collectables Club members? 19
- 20 A. I'm not certain if I created them but I knew they were
- 21 created.
- 22 Q. And during the conservatorship examiner Terry Adam asked
- 23 you for access to all of the HOPE Federal Credit Union e-mail
- 24 accounts, didn't he?
- 25 That's what the e-mail said, yes.

- 1 You remember receiving that e-mail?
- 2 Α. Yes.
- 3 You remember receiving the e-mail with the copy of the
- order of conservatorship? 4
- Yes. I think they were the same. 5
- And that required the credit union to turn over all of its 6
- 7 records to the NCUA?
- 8 I didn't read the conservatorship or a portion.
- 9 Q. But Mr. Adam specifically asked you for all e-mail
- 10 accounts, correct?
- 11 Α. Yes, he did.
- And you didn't turn over all e-mail accounts for the credit 12
- 13 union, did you?
- 14 I turned over -- no, I did not.
- 15 Ο. You did not turn over the accounts specifically related to
- the members of the Collectables Club who had served on the 16
- 17 board, correct?
- I don't understand. 18 Α.
- Q. You did not turn over to the NCUA the e-mail accounts that 19
- 20 you had created for the Collectables Club board members at HOPE
- 21 Federal Credit Union?
- 22 Α. I don't understand.
- 23 You did not provide Terry Adam access to the Collectables
- 24 Club e-mail accounts from HOPE Federal Credit Union?
- 25 No, I did not. Α.

- 1 Q. Mr. Gross, you had access, in addition to the PNC operating
- 2 account that we were talking about, to the church's account at
- 3 | the credit union itself, correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And you would agree it's important not to overdraw a bank
- 6 | account?
- 7 A. Yes. Generally.
- 8 | Q. In fact, you testified yesterday that one of the ministries
- 9 | of your church is to help people to learn to manage their own
- 10 | finances?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Now, you used the church's account at the credit union to
- 13 cover some church expenses, correct?
- 14 A. That account was used for church expenses, yes.
- 15 \parallel Q. You also used the account to pay for personal expenses?
- 16 A. I don't understand the question.
- 17 | Q. You used the church's account at the credit union to pay
- 18 | for personal expenses, correct?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. You also often overdrew that account by writing checks to
- 21 | yourself, correct?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 | Q. Your testimony is that you didn't write checks to yourself
- 24 | that overdrew the account?
- 25 A. That's not what you asked me. You said often.

Q.

1

- 2 You wrote checks to yourself from the church's account
- 3 at the credit union that overdrew the account?
- 4 Unfortunately, yes. Α.

Fair enough.

- 5 And you deposited those checks into your personal account
- at PNC Bank? 6
- 7 I think I did, yes.
- And then to cover that up, the negative balance that is, 8
- 9 you took out loans from the credit union, correct?
- 10 Α. No.
- 11 Well, let's walk through something.
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 Let's bring up Government Exhibit 6206. Ο.
- 14 Do you recognize what this document is generally,
- 15 Mr. Gross?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 What is it? Ο.
- 18 It is the July statement -- July 2013 statement from Hope
- Cathedral. 19
- 20 For the account at the credit union?
- 21 A. At the credit union, yes.
- 22 MR. NOBLE: Let's flip to the end of the document and
- 23 actually maybe toward the middle. I'm looking for
- 24 September 2014. Just page down from there. That's May.
- 25 So can we blow up the checking account.

- Q. Now, Mr. Gross, you see on September 12, 2014 there was a
- 2 | negative balance of \$1,737.42 in the credit union's account?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And then on September 8 there was a check written 6502 for
- 5 \$3,000 on the account, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. That was a check you wrote to yourself?
- 8 A. I'm not sure but if you say so, okay.
- 9 Q. You don't dispute that that was a check that you wrote to vourself?
- 11 A. No, sir, I do not.
- MR. NOBLE: And then let's just scroll down, just a
- 13 | little bit. That's fine.
- 14 | Q. You see there on September 30, 2014 there was a loan
- 15 | disbursement in your name?
- 16 A. Yes. I do see it.
- 17 | Q. And that was for \$11,500?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And that reduced the church's negative balance in its
- 20 | account to \$10,987.42, correct?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And then Bernard Larkins also took out a loan on the same
- 23 | day in the same amount which brought the church's bank account
- 24 | into the positive territory, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- And you did that again in November 2014, correct? 1
- 2 Α. I believe so, yes.
- 3 So when the church's bank account was overdrawn at the
- 4 credit union by thousands of dollars you took out a loan in the
- 5 name of the church to refill it?
- No. 6 Α.

- You did not? Q.
- 8 Α. No, sir.
- 9 MR. NOBLE: Well, let's scroll down to November 2014.
- 10 Scroll down again, next page.
- 11 Do you see there on November 28, 2014 there was a negative
- balance -- well, first of negative \$22,320.32? 12
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And then there was a checking deposit of \$3,500. And then
- 15 a phone transfer of \$19,000.
- 16 Do you see that?
- 17 Yes, I do. Α.
- 18 That \$19,000 was a loan that was taken out by the church to
- 19 cover that negative balance, correct?
- 20 Α. No.
- 21 Q. It's not?
- 22 Α. If I could explain.
- 23 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 24 THE WITNESS: This deposit was made on that day.
- 25 this loan had been approved in September and because of all the

- churn with reporting and everything else it was not funded 1 2 until this date. But it was approved in September.
- 3 THE COURT: Thank you. Next question.
- 4 Q. But you'd agree the loan proceeds replenished the negative 5 \$18,820.32 balance in that account?
- A. No. 6
- 7 It did not? I mean that's the \$19,000 in proceeds,
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. That is the 19,000. But if it had been done in September 10 when it was supposed to have been done there wouldn't have been
- 11 a negative balance.
- 12 Q. Now, the checks that we saw during trial were not the only
- 13 checks that you wrote from the church's bank account to
- 14 yourself, correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- You -- in fact, you wrote quite a few checks from the 16
- 17 church's bank account to yourself, correct?
- 18 A. I'm not certain but I did write checks to myself.
- 19 MS. CHOI: Can we bring up Government Exhibit 810C in 20 evidence and please flip to page 343.
- 21 So, Mr. Gross, that's a check that you wrote to yourself
- 22 from the church's account on May 2, 2014 for \$800?
- 23 A. Yes. It appears to be.
- 24 MR. NOBLE: Let's go to page 362, please.
- 25 That's another check that you wrote to yourself for \$500 in

- 1 | May 2014?
- 2 A. Yes.
- MR. NOBLE: Let's go to page 373.
- 4 Q. That's another check that you wrote to yourself for a
- 5 | thousand dollars on May 29, 2014?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 MR. NOBLE: Let's go to page 374.
- 8 | Q. That's a check you wrote to yourself for \$500 the next day?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- MR. NOBLE: Go to page 388.
- 11 | Q. That's another check you wrote to yourself the next month
- 12 | for \$1,500?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And when you did this, the church often had a negative
- 15 | balance in its account, correct?
- 16 A. I'm not certain. But these are checks I wrote.
- 17 Q. Now, Mr. Gross, these were all checks that were drawn on
- 18 the account of the church at the credit union, correct?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And that's money that you put into your own bank account?
- 21 | A. For money that was owed me from the church, yes.
- 22 | Q. And it's your testimony that you did not have a financial
- 23 | interest in the church?
- 24 A. That is correct. Yes.
- 25 MR. NOBLE: No further questions.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

1 You can take that down.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

We're at the point we discussed, correct?

MS. CHOI: I hope so, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Gross, you may step down briefly.

We're going to -- ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we're, as we have in other instances because of a scheduling matter, we're going to take a break with Mr. Gross on the witness

And, Mr. Klingeman, you have a witness to --

MR. KLINGEMAN: Yes, your Honor. The defense calls

United States Secret Service Special Agent Emily Beyer.

THE COURT: Agent Beyer, you may come forward.

EMILY BEYER,

stand.

called as a witness by the defendant Gross,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Klingeman.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KLINGEMAN: 19

- Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Beyer. We have never met before this
- 21 afternoon when I introduced myself to you in the hall?
- 22 Α. That's correct.
- 23 What do you do for work?
- 24 I'm a special agent with the United States Secret Service Α.
- 25 in Tallahassee, Florida.

- How long have you been a special agent for the Secret 1
- 2 Service?
- 3 Approximately 22 years.
- 4 And you just mentioned you're assigned to Tallahassee, Q.
- 5 Florida?
- Yes, sir. 6 Α.
- 7 As a special agent could you briefly summarize your duties?
 - Most people are familiar with our duties as the protection
- 9 of the president, vice-president and other dignitaries as
- 10 appointed.

- 11 We also investigate financial crimes, credit card
- fraud, bank fraud, counterfeit currency and things of that 12
- 13 nature.
- 14 MS. CHOI: Your Honor, I object to the scope.
- 15 THE COURT: I'll allow the question that was asked but
- 16 then you'll stay where we discussed.
- 17 MS. CHOI: Yes, your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Well that was to Mr. Klingeman.
- 19 MS. CHOI: Sorry. He should state that. I just said
- 20 yes, your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Klingeman.
- 22 Special Agent Beyer I'm going to confine my questions to
- 23 the --
- 24 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Klingeman.
- 25 -- the last portion of your answer.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

16

17

What were you asked to do by the United States Secret Service New York office in July of 2015 in connection with the Coin.mx investigation?

- Initially I was asked to prepare to conduct a search warrant that was going to take place at a business calls Coin.mx in Tallahassee.
- Are you familiar with an individual names Jose Freundt?
- 8 A. Yes, I am.
 - MR. KLINGEMAN: Could we bring up what's been marked in evidence Government Exhibit 5, the faceplate or picture of Mr. Freundt.
- 12 THE COURT: It's in evidence?
- 13 MR. KLINGEMAN: Yes, it is.
- 14 THE COURT: You may publish -- are you asking to 15 publish?
 - MR. KLINGEMAN: I'm asking it to be shown to the witness and the jurors.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
- 19 Special Agent Beyer, this is Mr. Freundt as you recall him? Q.
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 Are you aware that he testified in this trial?
- 22 Α. I am.
- 23 In July of 2015 did you speak to Mr. Freundt on a
- 24 particular occasion?
- 25 Yes, I did. Α.

- Do you recall the date?
- 2 There were actually two occasions in July. I believe the Α.
- 3 first one was July 15 of 2015 and the second one was July 21 of
- 4 2015, if I remember the dates correctly.
- 5 THE COURT: Agent Beyer could you pull the microphone
- right up to you, please. 6
- 7 Thank you. Go ahead.
 - So what was that second date?
- 9 July 21, 2015. Α.
- 10 Let me ask you about that date and that conversation, okay? Q.
- 11 Α. Okay.
- 12 Where did you speak to Mr. Freundt?
- 13 At the business office of Coin.mx in Tallahassee, Florida. Α.
- 14 Who else was present with you at the Coin.mx office on that
- date? 15
- Initially it was just myself and another agent from 16
- 17 New York and Mr. Freundt.
- 18 Who was the special agent from New York?
- 19 Α. John --
- 20 MS. CHOI: Objection.
- 21 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 22 When you spoke to Mr. Freundt was anyone else present in
- 23 addition to the other special agent?
- 24 Α. Not at that time.
- 25 And do you recall Mr. Freundt asking you about what would

- Beyer direct
- 1 happen to Coin.mx as a result of the search warrant you were 2 executing?
- 3 I don't remember a specific question. I remember
- 4 discussions about Coin.mx in general.
- 5 Q. Do you recall him expressing any concern that Coin.mx would
- be shut down as a result of the investigation? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
- Do you recall him expressing concern that he would have to 8 Q.
- 9 look for a new job?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 How would you describe his emotional reaction to this?
- 12 Α. (No response).
- 13 Based on your observations of him? 0.
- 14 A. From what I observed emotionally, he wasn't traumatically
- 15 upset but he realized that this job that he had had for a
- couple of years was now going to be going and he had a family 16
- 17 to provide for so he was concerned about finding a new job.
- 18 Q. Do you recall whether he discussed with you his salary at
- Coin.mx? 19
- 20 I believe it was discussed. I just don't recall specifics.
- 21 Do you recall if he discussed whether he was owed any back
- 22 salary at Coin.mx?
- 23 I'm sorry. I do not recall.
- 24 Did you authorize him to take salary from the Coin.mx bank
- 25 account?

- I would have never authorized to take specific salary. 1 2 would have discussed operations and the fact that we weren't 3 effectively shutting the business down, the business was still
- 4 free to operate if they were able to, but I never would have 5 said take money from the business if it wasn't actually his
- 6 monev.
- 7 Q. And would you ever had said to him "Give yourself a nice little bonus"? 8
- 9 No. I would never say that.
- 10 And do you recall saying that on this occasion or not? Ο.
- 11 I would have never said that so that would include that
- 12 specific occasion.
- 13 So you didn't suggest any -- make any similar type of Ο. 14 suggestion using other words or otherwise?
- 15 Α. (No response).
- To Mr. Freundt? 16 0.
- 17 Again, not in regards to a bonus. I would have told him to continue -- we weren't effectively shutting the business down; 18
- that is, the business operations could continue in whatever 19
- 20 manner they were continuing, that they could continue.
- 21 So are you testifying that you did authorize him to take 22 money out of the Coin.mx bank account or not?
- 23 What I'm saying is if part of his duties were to pay 24 payroll or salary I wouldn't have said don't do anything but I
- 25 would have never said do something that was against the

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- business regulations.
- 2 Q. Would you have ever told him affirmatively to take the money out and make the payroll? 3
 - I wouldn't have specifically said make the payroll. would have said we're not shutting your business down. You can effectively continue the business in any manner.

Again, this was a year-and-a-half ago. I can't recall the specific communication. But based on my 22 years of being an agent, I know how I speak to people when we do search warrants and interviews. If we don't shut the business down they can continue to operate in whatever manner that they were operating in.

- Q. Did the other special agent tell --
- 14 MS. CHOI: Objection.
- THE COURT: Sustained. 15
- In your presence, did the other special agent --16 0.
- 17 MS. CHOI: Objection, your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 19 What, if anything, have you been told before coming to 20 court today about Mr. Freundt's testimony?
- 21 MS. CHOI: Objection.
- 22 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 23 Have you been told anything about Mr. Freundt --0.
- 24 MS. CHOI: Objection.
- 25 THE COURT: Sustained. And you have covered the area

Case 1:15-cr-00769-AJN Document 501 Filed 04/07/17 Page 255 of 322 3769

H389LEB7 Beyer - direct we discussed so unless you want to make a motion for going

beyond, that's the end.

MR. KLINGEMAN: I object.

THE COURT: I'm happy to hear you at sidebar.

(Continued on next page)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(At the sidebar)

MR. KLINGEMAN: Your Honor, I'm being unduly limited in the questions I'm being permitted to ask this witness, particularly based on the material I received.

THE COURT: Just so I understand. Are you asking to go beyond what we discussed or are you saying --

> MR. KLINGEMAN: No.

THE COURT: Are you saying that you -- let me finish my question.

MR. KLINGEMAN: That's exactly right. I'm sorry. I'm waiting to hear.

THE COURT: And listen to me.

I'm listening. MR. KLINGEMAN:

THE COURT: Because I want to know: Are you saying you want to go beyond what we discussed you would ask, because you already have in multiple respects; or that there's something that we discussed that you have not been able to ask?

MR. KLINGEMAN: I don't want to go beyond what I understood to be the parameters of this witness's testimony because I'm adhering very carefully to the limited areas that the Court has permitted us to direct.

THE COURT: I'll start by disagreeing with that because you asked background questions that you expressly didn't say that you would do. You asked who else was present, what they said.

So you've already gone beyond that. But if there is something you feel is within the scope of what I expressly permitted that you haven't yet asked, tell me what that question is.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Well, specifically the witness has indicated that she may have had a discussion with Mr. Freundt about allowing him to take salary. She just said that. And that is directly at odds with the statements that she made over the weekend to the interviewing agents that were put into reports and provided to the court and the defense. And I'll quote from the FBI 302 that I've marked for identification as TG91, dated March 4, 2017, "Beyer stated that she would not have instructed Freundt to take any money from Coin.mx," period full stop. And I feel I'm entitled to question her further about that.

THE COURT: So you're asking to go beyond what we discussed because something is different than that. So that's a conversation I'm happy to have. I just don't want to pretend like this is exactly what I agreed to, which is what you are trying to do, which is why I asked you if you wanted a sidebar. So here we are.

So you're saying that the testimony she provided about something -- I'm going to go back and look at it, something along the lines of if his job involved certain doing payroll and the like, she wouldn't have told him to stop. I'm going to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

look at look at the testimony.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Before you do, your Honor --

THE COURT: I'm going do look at the testimony,

Mr. Klingeman.

(Pause)

THE COURT: I have the testimony firmly in mind.

MR. KLINGEMAN: I'd like to supplement my proffer,

your Honor.

The witness has, in addition, indicated that she does not recall discussing with Mr. Freundt his fear that Coin.mx was going to be shutdown by virtue of this search warrant. fact, she insinuated during the direct testimony that I've elicited that the opposite would be true that this -- they were simply executing a search warrant and that it should be business as usual; when, in fact, she told the FBI and, again, referring --

THE COURT: Is this a different point?

MR. KLINGEMAN: No. It's all part of the same point.

I think I'm being unduly limited in the questions. I have no interest -- what I agreed not to get into, and what I continue to agree not to get into is what the Secret Service or the FBI or the U.S. Attorney's Office did when Mr. Freundt showed up in April of 2016 when he first reported this incident.

What I never conceded was my inability to ask her

the other agents on Sunday.

questions about this particular episode. And I'm being restrained from doing so unfairly after we've taken so much effort to get her here and give what is at this point five minutes worth of testimony. So I'd like to be able to pursue these things, particularly --

THE COURT: Stop. You get to ask questions along the lines we have discussed. If you have some basis for going beyond it, I will hear it. You've changed subjects. You've tried on direct to ask areas. I'm not allowing gamesmanship. I know you don't like me accusing you of that, but I'm not allowing it.

But if there's a specific question, tell me what question you'd like to ask; I'll give you a ruling.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ KLINGEMAN: She told the FBI, as I quoted earlier, that --

THE COURT: What question would you like to ask?

MR. KLINGEMAN: Whether she, in fact, had any
discussions with Mr. Freundt about taking money from Coin.mx in
contradiction of either what she just said or what she said to

THE COURT: It's not in contradiction so I won't allow the question. Objection sustained. Any other questions?

MR. KLINGEMAN: She also stated to the agent --

THE COURT: What question would you like to ask?

MR. KLINGEMAN: I need to be able to introduce the

1 subject.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Just tell me what question. I've read the documents.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Did you instruct Jose Freundt to remove, transfer, or take any money from any Coin.mx related accounts?

THE COURT: Say it one more time.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Did you instruct Jose Freundt to remove, transfer, or take any money from any Coin.mx related accounts?

MS. CHOI: I believe that was asked and answered.

THE COURT: I do too. I'll let you ask it one more time.

And then what other questions?

MR. KLINGEMAN: In light of the Court's restriction of my ability to ask questions, I don't have any other questions.

THE COURT: The one question that you've asked to ask

I sustained the objection. The one — the second one that

you've asked to ask, I'm allowing you, even though you've

already asked and it's been answered.

Are there any other specific questions that you would want to proffer to ask and I will give you a ruling?

MR. KLINGEMAN: I'd like to know if -- I would like to ask her if she told interviewing agents on Sunday, March 4 that she would not have instructed Freundt to take any money from

1 Coin.mx.

MS. CHOI: I object to that because by virtue of asking the question in that manner it opens the door to the jury's speculation of the exact area that your Honor has guarded against which is — and you sustained the government's objections, despite repeated attempts by counsel to get into this area about what happened after and outside of that particular conversation with Freundt.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Another question?

MR. KLINGEMAN: I just want to submit that it's a prior inconsistent statement and I ordinarily would be entitled to confront her with it.

THE COURT: There has not been a prior inconsistent statement.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Your Honor, she testified on direct that she recalls telling him this was business as usual and that he could have -- as a result could have taken a salary. If that was his responsibility --

THE COURT: Misrepresentation of the testimony.

MR. CREIZMAN: May I make a brief point, because I might have the opportunity to cross-examine her.

I mean it's my sense that she is making a statement that she didn't -- she would never have told the witness -- she would never have told Freundt to take money from the

account. At the same time, her understanding was that they weren't shutting down Coin.mx. So, the impression for the jury is that there might have been some kind of misunderstanding.

Now, what I would like to do in terms of impeachment on -- just on this subject is say: First of all, she got plenty of communications concerning what the engagement was and that Coin.mx was considered a money transmitting business, an unlawful money transmitting business. She also understood that there was no need to shutdown Coin.mx because Murgio was already arrested and wasn't going to go on anyway. And third -- and she knew that Anthony Murgio was -- what he was being indicted with or charged with because she said these guys are going to be very helpful to us in the investigation.

So my point to her would be: Look, you've got a lot of experience. You know this is a Coin.mx -- Coin.mx is a money transmitting business. And what I want to know is: You're careful in your interviews. You have someone there to make sure that the witness doesn't say something that you didn't say. And essentially you wouldn't have told someone to take money from an illegal business. Period.

THE COURT: The background stuff I won't allow for the reasons I already discussed. This is far afield from the limited basis in which I granted this very unusual application. She has answered the question that she would not -- she doesn't -- she answered the question: I don't recall the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

specifics, but I wouldn't have said it. I never would have said it. I wouldn't have said it here.

MR. CREIZMAN: The only point I just want to make is that I think that she's -- she recalls that they weren't shutting down Coin.mx and I don't know that she also recalls that Coin.mx was considered -- she knew that it was considered an unlawful money transmitting business. And if she knew that, I think it's pretty clear that she wouldn't have -- knowing that fact, she wouldn't have given it. And that's what I would want to know.

THE COURT: No. I won't allow it. I won't allow it. It's far afield and from what we discussed and there's not been a basis in her testimony to open up that direction.

MR. CREIZMAN: Just one other thing. If the government in its cross-examination --

THE COURT: Hang on a second.

(In open court) Jurors, I apologize for keeping you waiting. You may take a short break. Thank you.

(Jury excused)

(At the sidebar)

MR. CREIZMAN: If the government in its cross-examination opens the proverbial door, may I then at least inquire on those very limited subjects because I have --I'm -- because my concern is that the government is going to say -- because --

THE COURT: If they open the door, I'll certainly either allow it or at least reconsider it.

MR. CREIZMAN: Okay.

MR. KLINGEMAN: I'd like to know if the witness -- your Honor --

THE COURT: We can return.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Your Honor, I don't want to ask the question in front of the witness.

THE COURT: The jury is gone so I just -- it's a public courtroom. But you're right, the witness is still on the stand.

MR. KLINGEMAN: I'd like to know whether -- if whether anyone has discussed Mr. Freundt's testimony with the witness prior to her testifying.

THE COURT: Why?

MR. KLINGEMAN: Because it would be a violation of the sequestration order. And the testimony that she gave during the direct examination is more fulsome than the answers that she gave to the agents when they interviewed her three days ago. I'd simply like to know the answer.

THE COURT: At violation of what?

MR. KLINGEMAN: The sequestration order, your Honor, that you entered before the case began; that people are not allowed to discuss with prospective witnesses the testimony of witnesses who have come to court and testified. And I'd like

to know if anybody --

THE COURT: Well, the task I put them on was to determine whether this statement that Freundt stated was -- whether the statement that Freundt stated on the stand was true or not since it seemed on its face unbelievable, so.

MR. KLINGEMAN: I understand that. But that's not the question. There's nothing wrong with going to a prospective witness and asking the witness the fact question: Is it true that you told Mr. Freundt to give himself a nice little bonus? That's perfectly appropriate witness prep.

What it's not appropriate to do is share with the witness Mr. Freundt's testimony or that he said such a thing.

And I want to know whether any part of his testimony has been --

THE COURT: No, no. Mr. Klingeman, you're being too clever by half. The witness's statement originally was that she didn't recall. They had to follow my direction, ask her if this thing that he said was something that she would have said. So, no.

MR. KLINGEMAN: I'm not saying anything different than that.

THE COURT: No. No.

(Continued on next page)

25

1 (In open court) 2 THE COURT: Bring back the jury. 3 MR. CREIZMAN: Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Mr. Creizman. MR. CREIZMAN: Your Honor, just a brief point. I 5 don't think that --6 7 MS. CHOI: The witness is still here, your Honor. MR. CREIZMAN: But there is something that we just 8 9 found that might be relevant. That's all. 10 THE COURT: Bring in the jury. 11 (Jury present) 12 THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. Please be seated. 13 As discussed, Mr. Klingeman. 14 MR. KLINGEMAN: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. KLINGEMAN: 15 Q. Ms. Beyer, on July 21, 2015 when you were speaking to 16 17 Mr. Freundt, did you instruct Mr. Freundt to remove, transfer, 18 or take any money from any Coin.mx related accounts for any 19 reason? 20 It's not an easy yes-or-no answer that I can give, but if I 21 can explain? 22 THE COURT: Go ahead. 23 THE WITNESS: I don't recall exactly what was said. I

know that I would have not -- I would not have told him to take

money if that was not part of his job responsibilities. But if

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

the business -- we were not shutting down the business. business was still there. If the business could operate with what was left, that was fine. I would have told him to continue with whatever his duties were. If his duties were to move money, I wouldn't have said anything about it but I would have told him to continue with duties. I would not have directed him to do something that he normally not would have done.

O. You discussed this matter --

MS. CHOI: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained. Thank you, Mr. Klingeman.

MR. KLINGEMAN: I'd like to be heard, your Honor.

THE COURT: I heard you. I'll hear you -- it's Mr. Creizman's turn.

MR. KLINGEMAN: But I do object.

THE COURT: I'll be happy to hear you but it's Mr. Creizman's turn and I heard you.

MR. CREIZMAN: Your Honor, just so that I don't waste any time I just thought that perhaps a sidebar first would be of best -- would be best addressing -- because just to make sure that my -- that I can proceed.

THE COURT: All right.

(Continued on next page)

24

(At the sidebar)

THE COURT: Mr. Creizman, I'm giving you a little bit of leeway because you started this before the jury came in but we were here at sidebar. I sent the jury on a break.

This is turning into the sideshow that the government feared and I'm going to allow what is reasonable and I'm not going to turn little tit-tat issues into a sideshow. I'm not going to allow it.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Okay.

THE COURT: But I want to hear what your point is because you started before the jury.

MR. CREIZMAN: The reason -- two points. And one was which what I was -- I thought -- where I thought she was going, which is we understood that this was -- we were not shutting it down. So it's not an easy yes-or-no question.

Now the fact is, if I could refresh her recollection as to whether she knew that it was -- that the government was indicting this case or was prosecuting these people for operating an illegal money transmitting business, number one, and that the government believed that; and number two, that there was no need to shut it down, there was communications between Mr. Jarrow and Ms. Beyer that there was no need to shut it down because this business was going to be shutdown -- this business was going to go out of business anyway, because without Anthony there's nothing. Under those circumstances,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

knowing that it's an illegal money transmitting business, would she have said it's okay to take the money.

And then, third, on Jose Freundt's testimony he testified that he knew -- I can't see anymore but it says that he knew -- in sum and substance he knew it was being shutdown. That is what he said in his direct testimony. So those three points will leave the impression that -- that this was a mutual mistake or misunderstanding when I don't think it really was. I don't think that --

THE COURT: That is now the impression that I have based on the host of information and material so I'm not going to allow that. If you have a different point --

MR. KLINGEMAN: I take your --

THE COURT: Let me finish.

MR. KLINGEMAN: I take your objection.

THE COURT: Mr. Klingeman, when I am speaking you stop speaking. It's really reaching a point of disrespect for this Court.

MR. KLINGEMAN: I don't intend that.

THE COURT: The court reporter writes down what I say and what you say. When I speak, you stop speaking. Okay.

> MR. KLINGEMAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you.

If you have a point different than the one that you've made before, you are welcome to make it now.

Ι

1 MR. KLINGEMAN: Yes. The point is the question I 2 asked the witness was a direct quotation from the report of 3 interview in which she is quoted as saying that unequivocally she would not have done what I asked her word for word in that 4 5 last question. And her answer was not no, or no unequivocally. It was: It's complicated, let me explain. That is thoroughly 6 7 inconsistent with the statement she gave four days ago. And I just want that noted for the record. 8 9 THE COURT: I find it not thoroughly inconsistent. 10 find it the gray area basically that the government had 11 anticipated. And it makes sense. It's reasonable, what's 12 occurred. So I'm not allowing a sideshow. 13 But Mr. Creizman, I'll let you do your cross. 14 MR. CREIZMAN: If I can get into that -- which is the 15 only -- the issue I would want to get into is simply is -- if you knew that Coin.mx was an illegal money transmitting 16 17 business, would you have permitted him to take the funds. That's -- I'm not going to. I'm just going to say I'm not 18 19 asking any questions.

> THE COURT: All right. Thank you. (Continued on next page)

22

20

21

23

24

1 (In open court) 2 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Creizman. 3 MR. CREIZMAN: No questions, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Creizman. Ms. Choi. 5 6 MS. CHOI: No questions, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Thank you, Agent Beyer. You may step down. You're excused. 8 9 (Witness excused) 10 THE COURT: And Ms. Santillo -- Mr. Gross, you'll 11 return to the stand, please. 12 And Mr. Creizman. 13 MR. CREIZMAN: Thank you. 14 TREVON GROSS, resumed 15 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Gross. 16 And Mr. Creizman, you may proceed with your cross on 17 behalf of Mr. Lebedev. 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. CREIZMAN: 19 20 O. Good afternoon. 21 Α. Good afternoon. 22 Q. Your first impression of Michael Murgio was that he was a trustworthy person, correct? 23

24 A. Yes.

25

Q. And Mr. Murgio presented himself as a successful

- 1 | businessman?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. And as active in community affairs?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Active in public service?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And he professed to share some of the same values that you
- 8 | did, correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Including educating children?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Improving people's lives?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Entrepreneurship?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And helping the overall community?
- 17 A. Absolutely, yes.
- 18 Q. Now, your first impression of Anthony Murgio was a bit
- 19 different, fair to say?
- 20 A. Not as strong, yes.
- 21 | Q. He's just not the same type of individual?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 | Q. But, is it fair to say that he had some qualities that you
- 24 | admired?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 | Q. His work ethic?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. He had a great deal of enthusiasm.
- 4 A. Absolutely.
- 5 | Q. Full of energy, right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. And he professed to be committed to the mission and goals
- 8 of the HOPE FCU, correct?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. He brought an impressive team with him, right?
- 11 A. Yes. I thought so.
- 12 | Q. Provided you with their resumes?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Now, over time your impression of Mr. Anthony Murgio
- 15 | changed?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And to paraphrase I think something -- an e-mail that you
- 18 sent to him that is in evidence, you basically found that
- 19 | Anthony Murgio did whatever he wanted whenever he wanted? Is
- 20 | that a fair statement?
- 21 A. Yes, it is.
- 22 | Q. Self-centered person?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Untruthful?
- 25 A. Yes.

H389LEB7 Gross - cross

- 1 | Q. Manipulative?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Now, you talked about the Collectables Club team in your
- 4 direct examination and cross-examination by the government,
- 5 | correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Now, among the members of that team was Yuri Lebedev,
- 8 || right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Now, you're an educated man, fair to say?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. You have multiple degrees?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And you have great respect for education?
- 15 A. Absolutely.
- 16 | Q. And you looked at Yuri Lebedev's resume?
- 17 | A. Yes, I did.
- 18 Q. And you saw that he had multiple degrees?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And you had some respect for his education?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And his expertise in IT?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Technology?
- 25 A. Yes.

Gross - cross

- 1 | Q. Now, Yuri was given assignments for -- to work for the HOPE
- 2 | FCU, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. And they were technology-related assignments?
- 5 A. Yes, they were.
- 6 Q. Now, you had to interact with him on occasion in connection
- 7 | with his projects, right?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Now, you had a handful of communications with him?
- 10 A. A handful, yes.
- 11 | Q. And these were business conversations, right?
- 12 | A. Generally, yes.
- 13 | 0. Not social discussions?
- 14 A. Not really. I think one time we had more of a pastoral
- 15 | kind of conversation about direction for your life, but
- 16 generally they were business conversations.
- 17 | Q. You didn't know him that well?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 | Q. Now, did you find Mr. Lebedev to be diligent in working on
- 20 | these projects?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Did you find him to be responsive in working on these
- 23 projects?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. And you found him to be respectful as well, correct?

- 1 A. Yes. Yes. Absolutely.
- 2 | Q. Always referred to you as pastor?
- 3 A. Yes, he did.
- 4 Q. Now, I want to ask you a few questions about Yuri Lebedev's
- 5 projects.
- Now, Yuri's -- is it accurate to say that Yuri's job
 was to try to update HOPE FCU's technology?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Make it more efficient?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Modernize it?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Now, he worked on updating the HOPE FCU website; is that
- 14 | right?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And he worked on updating the look of the website, correct?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And helped with the functionality of the website?
- 19 A. Yes, sir, he did.
- 20 | Q. And you mentioned I believe on direct about the website
- 21 | being run by WordPress, a program called WordPress, right?
- 22 A. Yes. Something like that.
- 23 | Q. And do you recall whether Mr. Lebedev tried to change that
- 24 program, to get rid of that particular program for the website?
- 25 A. I vaguely recall something like that.

Gross - cross

- 1 Now, Yuri was also involved in automatic -- automating OFAC 2 streaming; is that right?
- 3 That was one of his projects.
- That's anti money laundering compliance, correct? 4 Q.
- 5 Α. Yes.
- And that's -- and in addition he worked on streamlining 6
- 7 account opening procedures for new members, right?
- 8 Α. Yes, yes.
- 9 Allowing new members to apply online?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 Now, you recall some questions you were asked about, by
- 12 Mr. Noble about Rico Hill entering transactions that were
- 13 processed by Alloya?
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 Q. These were transactions that had already been processed?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 And it was a bookkeeping function basically?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 And what Rico was doing was manually entering transaction
- 20 after transaction, right?
- 21 Correct. Α.
- 22 And Yuri Lebedev was tasked with creating a program to
- 23 automate those entries; is that right?
- 24 Α. That is correct.
- 25 You also recall that HOPE FCU worked with CU South?

- 1 Α. Yes.
- 2 Now CU South was a -- provided some sort of technology
- 3 service to HOPE FCU?
- They provided our back office technology. 4 Α. Yes.
- 5 So they provided the back office technology. And
- Mr. Lebedev was tasked with researching and identifying 6
- 7 potential alternatives, correct?
- Yes, he was. 8 Α.
- 9 That would be more cost efficient? 0.
- 10 Absolutely, yes. Α.
- 11 And he -- and you talked to him about that, right?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 And he did identify some potential alternatives? 0.
- 14 I believe he did, yes. Α.
- 15 Q. Now, in your dealings with him did you find Mr. Lebedev to
- be dishonest? 16
- 17 Not at all. Α.
- 18 Now, you testified that Mike Murgio made a number of
- 19 misrepresentations about the Collectables Club, right?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 Did Mr. Lebedev make any misrepresentations to you about
- 22 the Collectables Club?
- 23 Not that I recall, no.
- 24 You testified that Anthony Murgio made misrepresentations
- to you about a number of things, correct? 25

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. Did Mr. Lebedev make misrepresentations to you that you can
- 3 | recall?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Now, you also discussed negotiating at the beginning an
- 6 arrangement between the Collectables Club and HOPE FCU. Do you
- 7 | recall that?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And the principal person you dealt with was Michael Murgio?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. And in that process you also dealt with Anthony Murgio?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. During that process you never dealt with Yuri Lebedev?
- 14 | Fair to say?
- 15 A. That's fair to say. Not at all.
- 16 \parallel Q. There were a number of in-person meetings about this
- 17 | negotiation?
- 18 A. There were a few, yes.
- 19 | Q. And Mr. Lebedev was not at those meetings?
- 20 A. No, he was not.
- 21 | Q. Now, before you were charged in this case you met
- 22 Mr. Lebedev in person only once, right?
- 23 A. Yes. I think just one time.
- 24 | Q. And we've had a chance to hear some of that interaction,
- 25 correct, during the trial?

H389LEB7 Gross - cross

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. There is a tape and a transcript, in fact?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Now, since you were charged in this case your only meetings
- 5 with Mr. Lebedev have been court appearances, right?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 | Q. And the most you've discussed with him, exchanged some
- 8 pleasantries, right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. No social meetings with him?
- 11 | A. No.
- 12 | Q. No telephone calls?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 \mathbb{Q} . No e-mails?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 | Q. Now, by the way, before you were charged in this case you
- 17 | never met me?
- 18 A. No, sir.
- 19 | Q. Or Melissa Madrigal?
- 20 A. No, sir.
- 21 | Q. Or even Jonathan Michaelson?
- 22 A. No, sir.
- 23 | Q. Or even anyone in my firm, to your knowledge?
- 24 A. No, sir. To my knowledge.
- 25 | Q. Now, we've also met at court appearances?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. And we've exchanged pleasantries?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And at this case I've even provided candy to the table,
- 5 correct?

- 6 A. Yes, you have.
- 7 | Q. And you've called me the candy minister?
- 8 MR. NOBLE: Objection.
 - THE COURT: Sustained.
- 10 Mr. Creizman.
- MR. CREIZMAN: Okay. I'll move on.
- 12 | Q. We'll go to the November 22, 2014 meeting.
- 13 You had a dispute with the Collectables Club at that
- 14 | meeting? That's obvious, right?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. They made promises -- there were promises that you believed
- 17 | they made to you, correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And promises they hadn't fulfilled; is that right?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Promises -- and those promises were made by Michael Murgio
- 22 and Anthony Murgio, right?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. Not promises made by Yuri Lebedev?
- 25 A. Not at all.

389LEB7	Gross	_	cross

their part? Is that fair to say?

- Now, the Collectables Club for their part, regardless 1 2 whether you view it as right or wrong, they expressed some 3 concerns about assurances, right, they wanted assurances for
- 5 A. Correct.
 - And they expressed that they wanted to make Anthony Murgio's financial backers comfortable; is that right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Now, at that point -- during that meeting the trust between the parties had broken down; is that right?
- Yes. That's correct. 11
- 12 Q. And by the end of the meeting the relationship had broken 13 down?
- 14 A. Correct.

15 (Continued on next page)

16

4

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Gross - Cross

- BY MR. CREIZMAN: 1
- 2 And from your perspective, it had broken down beyond
- 3 repair?
- That is correct. 4 Α.
- 5 Q. From your perspective, at that meeting, the people who were
- 6 speaking on behalf of the Collectables Club were Anthony Murgio
- 7 and Michael Murgio; is that right?
- That's correct. 8 Α.
- 9 Q. Yuri Lebedev, from your perspective, was he speaking on
- 10 behalf of the Collectables Club or not?
- He remained silent most of the time. 11
- 12 He did make a number of statements during the meeting; is
- 13 that right?
- 14 I vaguely recall that, yes.
- 15 Q. But you weren't too concerned about what he was saying,
- 16 right?
- 17 A. No, I was not.
- Q. You didn't view him as a decision-maker for the 18
- Collectables Club, did you? 19
- 20 A. No, not at all.
- 21 MR. CREIZMAN: Ms. Grant, if we can turn to
- 22 Exhibit 2506-T in evidence, at page 18. If we look ten lines
- 23 from the bottom. I'm going to read this just to make things go
- 24 faster.
- 25 Anthony Murgio says: "But there was an incentive for

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

Gross - Cross

- you not to do that because I was bringing a business to the 1 2 table so" --
 - And then from Mr. Gross: "There was no -- what incentive, Anthony?"
 - Anthony Murgio: "No, no, no, no. I'm just saying that if the -- if the" --

Lebedev: "Let's say" --

Anthony Murgio steps in: "The opportunity and the business is growing, uh, and you wouldn't -- if there wasn't risk, you wouldn't want to cut it off. You would want to keep that, keep that growing."

Then Lebedev jumps in: "For poker players, you know, if it's a poker game, you know, something like that."

- Q. Do you recall that sitting here today, Mr. Lebedev saying that?
- 16 I really don't, but I read it here, so...
- 17 Okay. And reading it even here, do you have any idea what Q.
- A. Not a clue. 19
- 20 Q. Now, let's turn -- well, actually, there was a discussion,
- 21 as you recall, later on by the end of the meeting about a
- 22 \$50,000 donation, correct?

Mr. Lebedev was saying?

- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And the barrier was a lack of trust between the parties,
- 25 correct?

- Α. Yes.

- You had had it up to here with the Collectables Club's 2
- 3 promises?
- 4 Α. Yes.
- 5 And Murgio agreed to pay the \$50,000 on a simultaneous
- exchange, right? 6
- 7 That was one of the proposals, yes.
- 8 Or at least he said he would do that, right?
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 But then you said -- you set a deadline of Monday, right?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 Because is it fair to say you were tired of the Murgios
- 13 breaking their promises?
- 14 That is correct. Α.
- 15 Q. And Murgio, all of a sudden, says at one point, well, maybe
- not Monday, maybe Tuesday, right? 16
- 17 Right. Α.
- 18 And that upset you; is that right?
- Yes, it did. 19 Α.
- 20 MR. CREIZMAN: If we can turn to page 37. And this is
- 21 ten lines from the top.
- 22 I'm going to start with what Mr. Gross says. "So I
- 23 don't -- I don't like all of this. Oh, it may be Tuesday.
- 24 Let's -- let's" --
- 25 And then Lebedev says: "I'll get 50, I'll give you.

Gross - Cross

It's fine." 1

4

7

8

9

And then Trevon Gross says: "Let's make it happen. 2

3 See, see, here's the thing cuz" --

Lebedev: "Don't worry about it. I got."

5 Gross: "I just want this to happen, so that I want

you can see -- I want you to all -- to feel the comfort." 6

And then this conversation continues.

- Is it fair to say that you ignored Mr. Lebedev's comments?
- That's very fair to say. Α.
- 10 Because Mr. Lebedev was pretty much missing the point,
- 11 wasn't he?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 Q. It wasn't a question?
- 14 MR. NOBLE: Objection. I'm sorry, as to the last
- question. 15
- 16 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 17 You may rephrase.
- 18 Q. From your perspective, wasn't Mr. Lebedev missing the
- 19 point?
- 20 A. Yes, he was.
- 21 Q. Because the issue wasn't whether Anthony Murgio could get
- 22 the funds by Monday, the issue was the lack of trust at this
- 23 point, wasn't it?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 You don't respond to Mr. Lebedev, and Anthony Murgio

- Gross Cross
- doesn't respond to Mr. Lebedev, right? 1
- 2 Right. Α.
- 3 Q. You don't jump in and say, hey, great, problem solved,
- 4 right?
- 5 A. Not at all.
- And Anthony Murgio doesn't get up and say, thanks, Yuri, we 6
- 7 got it covered, terrific, right?
- 8 Α. Right.
- 9 None of that happens? Q.
- 10 Α. Right.
- 11 Now, I want to talk about what happened after the
- 12 November 22nd meeting.
- 13 Α. Okay.
- 14 Q. Now, you've had it with the Collectables Club at that
- 15 point?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 You didn't want to hear from any of them?
- A. None of them. 18
- 19 But a number of people reached out to you in the weeks
- 20 after that November 22nd meeting?
- 21 I think that very next week. Α.
- 22 Q. Okay. And the idea was to try to rescue this relationship,
- 23 right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 One of those people includes Anthony, right?

Gross - Cross

- Α. I believe so, yes.
- And do you recall Jose Freundt reaching out to you? 2 Q.
- 3 Yes, I do. Α.
- 4 You rebuffed their advances; is that fair to say? Q.
- 5 Most definitely. Α.
- Now, Yuri Lebedev didn't reach out to you during that time, 6
- 7 did he?
- I don't believe so. 8 Α.
- 9 Q. Let's take it a few months later, to 2015, March or April.
- 10 You have a LinkedIn account, right?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 That's a social networking site?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- And it's actually more for professional networking; is that 14
- 15 fair to say?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. You recall, in March or April of 2015, receiving a LinkedIn
- 18 message from Mr. Lebedev?
- I do. 19 Α.
- 20 In sum and substance, the message was basically, no hard
- 21 feelings?
- 22 Α. Generally, yes.
- 23 "I enjoyed working with you," do you recall that? 0.
- 24 Α. I do.
- 25 "I hold you in the highest regard"?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. "I respect you and hope you don't take it personally"?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Now, you responded to Mr. Lebedev?
- 5 A. I believe I did.
- 6 Q. And said something along the same lines?
- 7 A. I believe so, yes.
- 8 | Q. Now, you know that Mr. Lebedev was charged in July of 2015,
- 9 | right?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. You were here for Agent Jarrow's testimony, were you not?
- 12 | A. Yes, I was.
- 13 | Q. And you understand from Special Agent Jarrow that this was
- 14 | a secret operation, right?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And it was a surprise takedown, surprise arrest?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. So, Mr. Lebedev's LinkedIn message to you was before those
- 19 July -- that 2015 arrest, right?
- 20 A. Yes, it was.
- 21 MR. CREIZMAN: Thank you, Pastor. No further
- 22 questions.
- THE COURT: Ms. Santillo.
- When you're ready.
- MS. SANTILLO: Yes.

- REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 | BY MS. SANTILLO:
- 3 | Q. Hello, Pastor Gross. Mr. Noble asked you some questions
- 4 about some overdrawn accounts. I wanted to ask if you could
- 5 | explain that for us?
- 6 A. Yes. Well, from time to time, accounts are overdrawn for
- 7 | various reasons, and so there were times when checks were
- 8 written where the account was overdrawn, and it was brought
- 9 | current.
- 10 | Q. I want to go back to Exhibit 3705.
- 11 | A. Okay.
- 12 | Q. Now, Mr. Noble asked you a question about this document,
- 13 correct, in connection with the Collectables Club and who they
- 14 were?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- MS. SANTILLO: If you could scroll --
- 17 | O. Or, actually, if you could just read on the bottom what
- 18 Mr. Francis' first response to you was about who the
- 19 | Collectables Club -- or who about who the Collectables Club
- 20 was?
- 21 A. "They deal with collectible items."
- 22 | Q. Can you just note the date of that email?
- 23 A. December 2nd.
- 24 | Q. In 2014?
- 25 A. 2014, yes.

- 1 Q. Now, prior to this email, you had had multiple
- 2 conversations with the NCUA about the Collectables Club,
- 3 correct?

- A. That is correct, yes.
- 5 | Q. Indeed, there was a document of resolution --
- 6 MS. SANTILLO: Can I switch the ELMO?
- 7 Referring now to --
- 8 | THE COURT: I can't hear you, Ms. Santillo.
- 9 MS. SANTILLO: I'm referring now to TG30.
- THE COURT: TG30, you said?
- MS. SANTILLO: Yes.
- 12 | THE COURT: Which is in evidence?
- MS. SANTILLO: Yes.
- 14 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 15 BY MS. SANTILLO:
- 16 Q. This is a document of resolution from the NCUA specifically
- 17 | addressing the Collectables Club, correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. So when you were speaking with Ms. Flok, were you trying to
- 20 | hide anything from her about the Collectables Club?
- 21 A. Absolutely not.
- 22 | Q. What did you think the real issue was?
- 23 | A. I thought the real issue was identifying what type of ACH
- 24 processing Kapcharge was doing for the Collectables Club.
- 25 Q. As of December 2nd, 2014, was there any ongoing

- relationship between the Collectables Club and HOPE FCU? 1
- 2 Α. Not at all.
- 3 Mr. Noble asked you some questions about emails with
- Mr. Adam about email accounts? 4
- 5 Correct. Yes. Α.
- 6 What did you tell Mr. Adam when you were providing him with
- 7 access to the email accounts?
- As I recall, he had asked for all of the email accounts, 8
- 9 and I responded meaning that I wanted clarity. We had also
- 10 given the authorization code to him which would allow him to
- 11 transfer full access to their possession, and then I think I
- 12 said -- and I -- here are all of the passwords for all of the
- 13 active email accounts.
- 14 Q. Why did you not provide them with the Collectables Club's
- 15 account when you were giving them the active accounts?
- A. At that time, they were inactive, and I thought he only 16
- 17 needed all of the active ones because I understood his purpose
- 18 to be no one carrying on business in the name of the credit
- 19 union once it had been conserved. So only the active ones
- 20 could transact business.
- 21 What led you to believe that these were inactive accounts?
- 22 A. Because they had been deactivated when we cut them off.
- 23 So, back in 2014, almost a year before, we had cut off all of
- 24 their access and deactivated all of their email accounts and
- 25 everything else.

- Gross Redirect
- 1 Mr. Noble asked you some questions about the fees from
- Kapcharge for 2014 being \$7,000. Can you put that in the 2
- 3 context of the credit union, the HOPE Credit Union, prior to
- 4 the relationship with Kapcharge?
- 5 That \$7,000 represented a little more than seven
- years of income in one year for us. 6
- 7 What kind of revenue could you get from \$500 loans that you
- might have been putting out? 8
- 9 In a year's time? Maybe \$15 worth of interest.
- 10 What did you think about the possibilities of ACH
- 11 processing as a growth strategy?
- 12 That it would certainly grow the credit union, as it is
- 13 doing in any financial institution that's using ACHs.
- 14 Q. What is your view of the relationship between the role of a
- consultant, as you were for the Collectables Club, and the role 15
- as chairman at the credit union? 16
- 17 Well, they're two different roles. So one is a -- the
- chairman role is the chairman of the board, it is a volunteer 18
- 19 position for which you cannot be paid. And the consultant
- 20 piece is exactly that, it is working, it is being compensated
- 21 for work that you're doing.
- 22 In this case, of course, we were more concerned about
- 23 the fact that I was already being paid, but my time was being
- 24 taken from where I was being paid and put into the credit
- 25 So it was to make sure the church was reimbursed for my union.

- 1 | time that the credit union was taking up.
- Q. What kinds of projects had been taking place in the credit union during that time?
- 4 A. Everything. Any and everything was happening as it relates
- 5 | to technology projects. We were doing demos for new system
- 6 | software, Yuri was leading that endeavor. We were learning
- 7 more about the whole ACH processing. We were looking at
- 8 different ways to upgrade all of our infrastructure, how to
- 9 onboard members electronically. There was a whole host of
- 10 | things that were going on, in addition to all the compliance
- 11 | things that we talked about earlier.
- 12 | Q. Was this a more burdensome process than being the chairman
- 13 of a 107-person credit union?
- 14 A. Most definitely.

- 15 | Q. What led you to believe that Mr. Blue was qualified to be
- 16 | the CEO of the credit union?
- 17 A. We've known Charles for well over 12 years, and he had
- 18 worked in various positions within the congregation to help the
- 19 church administratively. I knew from his work background, as I
- 20 | said earlier, that he had worked for, as a CFO, for
- 21 | Bloomingdale's, as well as, I think, another company in North
- 22 | Jersey as well. It was a significant financial institution --
- 23 | a significant business that had a huge bottom line, I think
- 24 like a half a billion dollar corporation.
 - Q. Did he express a willingness to learn about ACH processing?

- A. Absolutely. And it was my understanding, really, that the work he had done -- because this was a sales company that he
- 3 | had worked for in Northern Jersey, and it was my understanding
- 4 | that in the whole sales process, there was some ACH processing
- 5 | that he at least oversaw as it related to the financial
- 6 operations. And, of course, he was not aware of it from a
- 7 credit union or banking perspective, because he had not been in
- 8 | banking, but he most definitely, as a CFO of a half a billion
- 9 dollar corporation, understood what ACH processing was.
- 10 Q. Now, Mr. Noble asked you a question about Pascal. What is
- 11 | Pascal?
- 12 | A. It is a programming language that I learned when I was in
- 13 | my junior year of high school.
- 14 Q. Is it still the cutting edge?
- 15 A. I would hope not.
- 16 Q. Now, Mr. Noble also asked you about Kapcharge and when Meg
- 17 | Flok came to the credit union to ask about Kapcharge's file?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Now, you noted that Kapcharge had already previously had a
- 20 | file?
- 21 | A. That is correct.
- 22 MS. SANTILLO: Ms. Grant, pull up Exhibit 1147,
- 23 | please. It's in evidence.
- 24 | Q. What does this appear to be?
- 25 A. This is an email acknowledging the account being created

2

5

6

- for Kapcharge.
- Could you read the date, please? Q.
- 3 The date is May 23rd, 2014. And it includes a subject line
- of "Kap driver's license." 4
 - MS. SANTILLO: If you could also pull up Government Exhibit 1146-A.
 - Q. And what does that appear to be?
- A. An email from Trustee Collectables to myself, subject: 8
- 9 "Kap driver's license," and it has two JPEGs attached to it.
- 10 So when you were seeking to recreate the Kapcharge file,
- 11 were you trying to create a file that was inconsistent with the
- 12 history of the account?
- 13 A. Not at all.
- 14 Q. Were you, in fact, in the process of moving and putting
- 15 things into storage?
- A. Yes, we were. As you recall, Mr. McDonough mentioned how 16
- 17 it was a problem that the credit union was not in its field of
- 18 membership, and one of the things we were committed to doing to
- deal with that issue on our document of resolution was to get 19
- 20 back into our field of membership. So we were packing up the
- 21 credit union to find someplace to put it in the field of
- 22 membership.
- 23 MS. SANTILLO: If you could turn to Government's
- 24 Exhibit 6150.
- 25 Mr. Noble quoted you some sections from the bylaws of the

- 1 | credit union?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. How many pages are the bylaws?
- 4 MS. SANTILLO: If you could scroll through them,
- 5 please. Thank you.
- 6 Q. How often were you called upon to read and interpret the
- 7 bylaws?
- 8 A. Very infrequently, but about once a year, I think, we
- 9 revisit them in some form or used to revisit them in some form.
- 10 | Q. Mr. Noble discussed with you Mr. Curry's testimony about
- 11 how a member of an association couldn't automatically be in the
- 12 | field of membership, correct?
- 13 A. Yes, I do recall that.
- 14 | Q. Do you also recall Mr. McDonough's testimony?
- 15 | A. Yes, I do.
- 16 Q. And what did he testify to about an association being in
- 17 | the field of membership?
- 18 A. Mr. McDonough said it was allowable. It was an obscure --
- 19 I think was the word he used -- rule that allowed low-income
- 20 credit unions to have associations in their field of
- 21 membership.
- 22 | Q. Mr. Noble asked you about some checks that had been written
- 23 | to you?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. And why were those checks written?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Those checks were written for me to get money that was owed to me by the church. As I said earlier, when I was supposed to be able to cash my check, I wasn't able to for many, many And after a certain period of time, when you collect paper, I would give them back to the church, they would take whatever that net amount was, on which taxes had already been paid, and they would put it on a ledger for me. So the payroll taxes had already been paid on those checks, I just couldn't cash them to get my net portion.

So, even though my W-2 said \$50,000, it didn't necessarily mean that I got the 50,000, it meant that 50 had flowed through payroll, but I wasn't able to always cash my check.

- Q. So it was your understanding that taxes had already been paid on the accounts?
- A. Correct. Because our accountant -- years ago, when this started happening, our accountant actually said the best way to do this was to take the amount that was owed me and actually add it as a liability, and I had a right to even get interest on that money since it was earned by me, but the church couldn't pay it to me.

MR. NOBLE: Objection. Move to strike.

THE COURT: Grounds?

MR. NOBLE: Hearsay.

MS. SANTILLO: It goes to intent.

H38KLEB8 Gross - Redirect

1 THE COURT: Yes. Overruled.

- BY MS. SANTILLO:
- 3 You can finish your answer.
- 4 But I was never charged interest like that to the Α.
- 5 church, but I did want to at some point be able to get the
- 6 money that I had earned. And we're talking some years, I would
- 7 go six months without getting paid, so much so, that our house
- went into foreclosure. 8
- 9 Q. Now, when the credit union -- or when the church received a
- 10 donation of \$150,000, you might have -- did you ever consider
- 11 taking that money for yourself, given all the outstanding money
- 12 that was owed to you?
- 13 A. No, ma'am. About that -- at around that time, the church
- 14 owed me, I'm sure, over \$80,000 in back salary that I had not
- been able to cash checks for. 15
- 16 Did any of the money that came from the Collectables Club
- 17 go to any back salary for you?
- A. No, ma'am. 18
- Mr. Noble suggested that there had not been a capital 19
- 20 infusion into the credit union from the Collectables Club.
- 21 were there certain items that the Collectables Club undertook
- 22 to pay for on behalf of the credit union?
- 23 They did, yes. Α.
- 24 What were those items? Ο.
- 25 The one I remember explicitly was the debit card program. Α.

The Collectables Club, correct?

Q. Yes.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Α.

examination.

- Yes, the debit card program.
- 4 And were there any other type of technological advancements Q. 5 that they were bringing to the credit union?
 - They had planned to do some software development on A. Yes. various items, but they really were never realized.

The length of the partnership went from, like, July to November, so that's the length of time we're talking about. We're not talking about years. So, there were a lot of

11 promises and a lot of things on the table, but the relationship 12 disintegrated before anything substantive could really happen.

Q. Now, you noted -- we've had a lot of discussions about the NCUA examiners, and the scope, and the vigorous nature of their

Were you ever made aware that the NCUA had received a tip in August 2014 that somebody was going to be putting millions of dollars into the credit union from Eastern Europe?

> MR. NOBLE: Objection.

THE COURT: Just a second.

Grounds?

No, I did not.

MR. NOBLE: Calls for hearsay.

24 THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Could you ask the question again,

- 1 | please?
- 2 | BY MS. SANTILLO:
- 3 Q. Were you ever made aware that the NCUA received a tip that
- 4 people from Eastern Europe were looking to put millions of
- 5 dollars into the HOPE Credit Union?
- 6 A. No. Sorry.
- 7 Q. Go ahead.
- 8 A. No, I was never informed.
- 9 Q. Were you ever informed that that's what prompted their
- 10 | investigation into HOPE FCU?
- 11 | A. I was never informed.
- 12 Q. What was your understanding about why the NCUA was being so
- 13 | aggressive in their examination?
- MR. NOBLE: Objection.
- 15 THE COURT: Grounds?
- MR. NOBLE: Argumentative.
- 17 MS. SANTILLO: There's a lot of evidence that --
- 18 THE COURT: Counsel.
- 19 If argumentative is the grounds, overruled.
- 20 A. Could you repeat the question, please?
- 21 MS. SANTILLO: Could you read back the question?
- 22 | THE COURT: How about this: Rephrase the question.
- 23 And it should be nonleading.
- MS. SANTILLO: Okay.
- 25 Q. What was your understanding about why the NCUA was coming

- to the credit union so often?
- They were coming because the field of membership issues, 2
- 3 and that's when they, of course, talked about the church not
- being in the field of membership as well as the Collectables 4
- 5 Club not being in the field of membership. And they asked us
- 6 to revalidate our entire membership file.
 - What was your reaction?
- 8 We were not happy, because we had never been asked to do
- 9 that before, and particularly suggesting that the church now,
- 10 after seven years, was no longer eligible to be in the credit
- union, when it had been in the credit union for that period of 11
- 12 time, seemed bizarre to us.
- 13 How did you respond to that? Ο.
- 14 We were very upset, and we of course did what we were asked Α.
- 15 to do, but we did it very grudgingly because it seemed like a
- waste of time to go back and validate every account that had 16
- 17 been in the credit union and to validate why the church
- members, in particular, were in the credit union. 18
- Had you had an understanding about the reasons that they 19
- 20 were scrutinizing the credit union so much, would that have
- 21 changed your view about their examination?
- 22 Α. Could you rephrase that?
- 23 If you had known why the NCUA came in to do such a
- 24 vigorous investigation, would it have changed your view about
- 25 the investigation?

- 1 Α. Oh, absolutely, yes, yes.
- 2 Q. How?
- 3 If they had told us about the tip that they had received
- concerning our credit union, we would have shut everything 4
- 5 There would have been nothing else to discuss, nothing
- 6 else to move forward on.
 - THE COURT: Ms. Santillo, can you give me a time
- estimate? 8

- 9 MS. SANTILLO: Three minutes.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay.
- 11 Q. You testified for Mr. Noble, when Mr. Noble was
- 12 cross-examining you, about the financial backers for the
- 13 Collectables Club. When did you learn that the Collectables
- 14 Club had financial backers?
- 15 A. Sometime around that Saturday meeting, that you all have
- heard the audio for, Michael Murgio, in particular, when we 16
- 17 were going around looking at locations in Lakewood, kept
- saying, "our backers, our backers, our backers." And that was 18
- the first time I had heard that term, but he kept saying their 19
- 20 backers have so much money and it was going to make everybody
- 21 wealthy beyond their wildest dreams.
- 22 Was that a surprise to you? Q.
- Yes, it was. 23 Α.
- 24 Ο. Had you ever heard of Unit Test --
- 25 Α. No.

2

- -- prior to this trial? Q.
- No, ma'am, I have not. Α.
- 3 Had you ever heard of Vlad? 0.
 - No, I have not. Α.
- 5 Mr. Noble suggested that the Hope Cathedral is a family
- 6 business. What can you tell me about the Hope Cathedral?
- 7 Hope Cathedral is a nonprofit organization, recognized by
- the federal government as a 501(c)(3) religious organization. 8
- 9 We have a functioning board, we're up to date with all of our
- 10 business filings. Yes, my wife and I are the founders, but we
- 11 have accountability in place throughout the whole ministry, up
- to and including, when these charges were brought against me, 12
- 13 limiting my administrative abilities within the church and
- 14 limiting me to just being the teacher, not really attending
- board meetings of the church, because we wanted to make sure 15
- that there's accountability around this whole situation. 16
- 17 Q. And what kind of oversight does the board of elders of the
- church give to the church? 18
- The board of elders, they run the church, they're 19
- 20 ultimately responsible for everything, and that's their
- 21 responsibility. And I'm thankful that some of them are here.
- 22 Ο. What financial control do they have over the church?
- 23 They have complete financial control over the church. Α.
- 24 MS. SANTILLO: Thank you. No further questions.
- 25 MR. NOBLE: Just very briefly, your Honor?

Very briefly.

THE COURT:

- RECROSS EXAMINATION 2
- 3 BY MR. NOBLE:

- 4 Q. Mr. Gross, Ms. Santillo was just asking about
- 5 accountability at the church. Do you recall that?
- Yes, sir. 6 Α.
- 7 Who was accountable when you were withdrawing thousands and
- thousands of dollars from the church's bank account at the 8
- 9 credit union?
- 10 Who was accountable?
- 11 Ο. Yes. Who was accountable for that?
- 12 Every expenditure that goes through the church is accounted
- 13 for and put in our chart of accounts and reported to the board.
- 14 So, it's your testimony that the board of elders approved Q.
- 15 of you overdrawing the church's account at the credit union?
- Could you say that again, please? 16
- 17 Is it your testimony that the board of elders at the church
- 18 approved of you overdrawing the account at the credit union,
- 19 the church's account at the credit union, and putting that
- 20 money into your own personal account?
- I didn't testify to that. 21 Α.
- 22 Q. But you said that it's the board of elders that's in charge
- 23 of the church's finances and they oversee the church's
- 24 finances, correct?
- 25 I did say that, yes. Α.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

25

- Q. So, they were aware that you were overdrawing the church's bank account by thousands of dollars?
 - A. What I am sure that they were aware of is that any overdraft charge that the church ever got charged --
 - Q. Mr. Gross, I didn't ask you about overdraft charges. I asked you about overdrawing the account.

MS. SANTILLO: Objection, objection.

THE COURT: Sustained. Why don't you start your question over.

MR. NOBLE: Okay.

THE COURT: And when you say "very brief," how much?

MR. NOBLE: I have one question after this, if I can get through this.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

BY MR. NOBLE:

- Q. Mr. Gross, who approved, if anyone, of you overdrawing the church's bank account by thousands of dollars and depositing that money into your own personal bank account?
- A. Ultimately, the board of elders approved because they looked at all the finances.
- Q. So your board of elders of your church allows you to
 overdraw the church's own bank account at the credit union by
 over \$20,000?
- 24 A. I didn't say that, sir.
 - Q. Well, you testified that they would have been responsible

Gross - Recross

- for that, right? 1
- 2 Α. No, I --

6

9

12

13

14

15

19

20

- 3 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- A. No, I testified that that account would not have been 4 5 overdrawn by \$19,000 if the loan that had been approved by the

board of elders had been funded when it was approved.

7 Q. Okay. But we put up, during my cross-examination earlier, check after check that you wrote to yourself when 8

the church's bank account was in the negative, correct?

- 10 A. You showed me checks that were written. You didn't showed 11 me that they had overdrawn the account, sir.
 - Well, I believe you testified that there were other checks that were drawn on the church's account, that you wrote to yourself when the church's account was in the negative, correct?
- I did say that, but I don't know --16
- 17 Q. Because we can go through each and every one if you would like. 18
 - A. No, I'm saying I did not know if all of those represented that. But most definitely --
- 21 Q. But it was more than --
- 22 THE COURT: Mr. Noble, don't interrupt the witness.
- 23 MR. NOBLE: I apologize.
- 24 It was more than one? 0.
- 25 I believe so, yes. Α.

- 1 It wasn't just the one example?
 - No, no, no, sir, it was not. Α.
 - Okay. Now, you --Ο.
- 4 THE COURT: Is this the last question?
- 5 Yes, your Honor. MR. NOBLE:
- 6 THE COURT: All right.
- 7 Q. Now, Mr. Gross, Ms. Santillo asked you about the \$7,000
- that the credit union earned in ACH fees in 2014. You remember 8
- 9 that?

2

- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And you testified that that was a lot of money for the
- 12 credit union?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. But your church that year received over \$150,000 from
- Kapcharge and Collectables Club, correct? 15
- 16 A. Yes, it did.
- 17 That's a lot more than the \$7,000 that the credit union
- 18 got?
- A. Yes, it is. 19
- 20 MR. NOBLE: No further questions.
- 21 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 22 MR. CREIZMAN: No questions, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Ms. Santillo, all set? 23
- 24 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 25 BY MS. SANTILLO:

1 (Jury not present) 2 THE COURT: Matters to take up? 3 MS. CHOI: Your Honor, I just wanted to note that 4 there are sort of two outstanding issues with regard to what 5 might go forward. 6 I presume that there aren't any further defense 7 witnesses or any other things that you're planning to do? THE COURT: Ms. Santillo? 8 9 MS. SANTILLO: No, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: So when we come back, the first order of 11 business is, you'll rest, on behalf of Mr. Gross? 12 MS. SANTILLO: When we come back when? Tomorrow? 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 MS. SANTILLO: Yes, yes, yes, your Honor. 15 MS. CHOI: We seem to all be confused about when to 16 rest but --17 THE COURT: We've done some out of order and --18 MS. CHOI: Fair enough. THE COURT: I had a moment where I couldn't remember 19 20 where we were, in direct or cross. 21 Okay, so the first order of business will be resting 22 on behalf of Mr. Gross. And then? 23 MS. CHOI: I think there are two potential issues. 24 One is simply I hope that we can work out the outstanding 25

document issue, now that there's time.

THE COURT: Yes, there's time. So let me set a deadline.

Ms. Santillo, you tell me -- or I'm not sure, I think this might be Mr. Klingeman's -- we need to pick a time by which there will either be resolution or something for me to consider that's in dispute.

MS. SANTILLO: That's fine. I will review them right now.

THE COURT: Okay.

How about this: Let me just hear from you tonight if there's a lot of work to do before 9:30, and then we can come in early and get it done. Tell me what's reasonable,

Ms. Santillo, a time I'll hear from you tonight indicating there are no issues or there's 15 minutes' worth of issues or an hour worth of issues and the like. And then I'll put out an order what time to be here.

MS. SANTILLO: How about 8:30? That's our --

THE COURT: That's the magic number. Okay? Is that a reasonable plan?

MS. CHOI: That's fine.

THE COURT: If it's a substantial amount of time, we'll have the jury at 9:30 ready to go, so we'll meet as early as we need to.

MS. CHOI: Just to be clear, I want to understand:

The 8:30 is because -- is that an assumption about how long we

1 | would need to go through the evidentiary issues?

THE COURT: No, no, no. She's saying 8:30 tonight. She's going to review them now.

MS. CHOI: Perfect.

THE COURT: She'll let me and everyone know by 8:30 tonight if there is a substantial basis for disagreement that we need to work through before 9:30 tomorrow, in which case, we'll come in at 7:30 or 8:00 in the morning; and if there's not, then we'll meet as usual at 9:00.

MS. CHOI: Your Honor, I think the one thing the government wants to note is: We may want to call one short rebuttal witness. We still haven't figured that out as of yet. We're going to go back to the transcript and see if it's really necessary, and we'll let the parties know if that's our intention.

THE COURT: Who might it be?

MS. CHOI: We haven't figured that out yet. I think the only question might be whether or not we want to put on a very quick summary witness with regard to certain of the checks that were testified about today but that's in Mr. Gross' testimony. But that would basically be it, just to sort of summarize as opposed to have me sit there walking it through during closing. I think it would be more efficient to do it that way.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Klingeman.

MR. KLINGEMAN: We object to that. The fact is, the government put on yesterday a witness for this very purpose, who testified extensively and submitted a 128-page summary that included the checks or at least reference to the checks. And today the government invited this testimony through its cross-examination and opened the door to it, and Mr. Gross was very clear. And now the government's planning to let us know at some point between now and tomorrow, when we're supposed to be giving our closing statements, if they're going to call another witness for which we'll have to prepare, for which we have received no Jencks material.

I strenuously object. I want to get on with the closing, which is what I thought we were going to do.

THE COURT: Well, they get a rebuttal but --

MS. CHOI: It's not about that issue, your Honor.

It's about the fact that it would be about Mr. Gross'

representations about his income with the church, which is a separate question than what was going on --

THE COURT: I think what I'm unlikely to do is allow anything in the summary expert area that I permitted --

MS. CHOI: The RSM witness?

THE COURT: Right, Mr. Rollins.

-- which I permitted under, as explained under a number of circumstances, including advance notice, both of the witness and as to what he would specifically testify to, a lack

of prejudice and ability for the defense to call someone. So, if it's anything in that regard, no, no.

MS. CHOI: Right, understood. It would be very simple. It would be: Here's the checks, here is a chart, end of story, these are the checks, and when they were cashed.

MR. KLINGEMAN: The government's entitled to do that with a demonstrative through the closing argument. There's no restriction on their ability to do that.

MS. CHOI: That's fine. Usually, defense counsel objects to having demonstratives in the closing that aren't in evidence, which we have been --

THE COURT: Well, if you want to suggest a demonstrative and run it by Mr. Klingeman and see if there's no objection to it as a demonstrate for closing, then I think that will take care of that. If there is an objection, then you'll need an alternative proposal on how to get something in.

MS. CHOI: Understood, your Honor, and we will. And I think that's it.

I guess the only other thing with regard to planning is, I presume your Honor, given that we have ended later than we had expected, would continue through all of the closings until 5:00 o'clock, even if that would mean to stop halfway through someone's summation, correct?

THE COURT: Yes. I think if we're five minutes away from being done, I'll go over, maybe up to ten to fifteen

minutes. But I think I made a promise to the jury and they set their lives around that promise, so I won't keep them past 5:15.

MS. CHOI: Understood, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Ms. Madrigal?

MS. MADRIGAL: Your Honor, we have worked through this 12-page list of exhibits with the government. I am just concerned with what the government plans to actually publish during its rebuttal case, because I don't know if it's going to raise issues as to 106 issues or the order, the presentation of the exhibits. So I would just like the government to give us a list of what they actually intend to publish and in what order.

MS. CHOI: I mean the problem is, we don't know. The whole reason we had this chart, went through this exercise, to begin with is because we'd like to have available to us evidence to undermine various defenses that may be raised or arguments that may be raised over the course of defense's closing. So I can't represent what exhibit would be published in rebuttal. I think part of the exercise that your Honor asked the defendants to go through was to determine if they thought if there was any potential prejudice based on the availability of witnesses. I have not heard that representation from Ms. Madrigal, I have not heard that representation from Mr. Klingeman —

THE COURT: So you're --

the first attorney in the court today and strenuously object to any idea of 7:30 or 8:00 o'clock appearance or even an 8:30, if every single time. So thank you.

THE COURT: Anything else?

23

24

25

I invited a couple times if there's anything

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

additional in terms of the charge. I think I have a few just final typo-related matters. Not typo but --

MR. CREIZMAN: On a serious point, just in case this might be the time, because I'm not used to a rebuttal case, but I renew my Rule 29 motion that I made earlier, after the defense case. That's all.

THE COURT: Okay, all right, thank you.

MR. KLINGEMAN: As do I.

THE COURT: Thank you. I reserve.

Did anyone else have anything additional on the charge, so I can finalize it tonight?

MR. SHIN: Nothing else, from the government, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. SANTILLO: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: The typos, I think, I can send you but there's one I wanted to discuss.

The withdrawal from the conspiracy charge, instruction number 27, it is phrased in the singular, "the defendant." And I just wanted to make sure that's what folks were comfortable with or if there was a request to put it in the plural.

Mr. Creizman?

MR. CREIZMAN: No request. I don't think there's any evidence that --

MS. SANTILLO: Could we put it in Trevon Gross' name?

THE COURT: Mr. Shin?

MR. SHIN: Your Honor, just for the sake of consistency, I think there are other instructions that also apply only to one and I think what we've done is just to say "a defendant." And what I am thinking of is --

THE COURT: So changing from "the defendant" to "a defendant"?

MR. SHIN: Yes.

MS. SANTILLO: Your Honor, I think, it only makes sense if they're going to evaluate the issue, to evaluate it vis-a-vis Mr. Gross.

THE COURT: I agree. I think it's just a consistency question. It will be clear in closing whose argument it is.

As I sit here, I'm not sure if there are others that would need to change. Can you think of any?

MR. SHIN: I'm just thinking of whether the defendant -- a defendant has testified. That's one that applies to one versus the other.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. CREIZMAN: I think your Honor updated it.

THE COURT: I did update it to reflect that, but I don't say Mr. Gross has testified but Mr. Lebedev hasn't. So it's a neutral charge for the jury to apply in light of your arguments.

MR. CREIZMAN: I guess I prefer the neutral language

but I don't hold a strong view on it.

MR. KLINGEMAN: The difference is, it's self-evident to the jury that Mr. Gross --

THE COURT: I have a one-lawyer rule. Ms. Santillo?

 ${\tt MS.}$ SANTILLO: I'm sorry, I didn't hear the question.

THE COURT: Mr. Creizman has indicated he prefers the neutral, and there are other areas where the charges are in the neutral, but --

MS. SANTILLO: My preference would be for the Trevon Gross name to be in the withdrawal instruction, and I think it will be pretty clear in the other instructions. So if it has to follow, then I want to to go in other places but I have no strong view in other places.

THE COURT: For consistency -- and it can be clearly brought out in summation -- I'll change it, I'll make the change throughout this instruction, to say "a defendant" rather than "the defendant," which is confusing. I'll say "a defendant" throughout it.

MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.

THE COURT: I think the rest are some typographical. I'll enter that, I'll do a final version that I will send out shortly, and I'll redline the changes since you've last seen it. You'll put in a letter by 8:30, indicating if there are any final not-discussed or otherwise could-have-been discussed — any not-discussed issues based on the final

H38KLEB6

changes. Anything else? MS. CHOI: Not from the government, your Honor. THE COURT: No? MS. SANTILLO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So I'll presume 9:00 a.m. unless, Ms. Santillo, you indicate that we have to go through a -- and it's fine if that's the case, despite Mr. Creizman's application, but I do want to make sure that we get through whatever we need to get through before the jury is here at 9:30. All right, thank you. See you in the morning. (Adjourned to March 9, 2016 at 9:00 a.m.)

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page
3	TREVON GROSS
4	Direct By Ms. Santillo
5	Cross By Mr. Noble
6	EMILY BEYER
7	Direct By Mr. Klingeman
8	TREVON GROSS
9	Cross By Mr. Creizman
10	Redirect By Ms. Santillo
11	Recross By Mr. Noble
12	Redirect By Ms. Santillo
13	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS
14	Exhibit No. Received
14 15	Exhibit No. Received Faceplates 2 and 4, Exhibits 707 to
15	Faceplates 2 and 4, Exhibits 707 to
15 16	Faceplates 2 and 4, Exhibits 707 to3535 712, 715-A to 715-B, 716-A to
15 16 17	Faceplates 2 and 4, Exhibits 707 to
15 16 17 18	Faceplates 2 and 4, Exhibits 707 to3535 712, 715-A to 715-B, 716-A to 716-C, 717-A to 717-B, 718 to 720, 730 to 736, 740, 750,
15 16 17 18	Faceplates 2 and 4, Exhibits 707 to
15 16 17 18 19 20	Faceplates 2 and 4, Exhibits 707 to
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Faceplates 2 and 4, Exhibits 707 to
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Faceplates 2 and 4, Exhibits 707 to
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Faceplates 2 and 4, Exhibits 707 to

Ĩ	
1	3576-В
2	DEFENDANT EXHIBITS
3	Exhibit No. Received
4	TG54
5	TG53
6	TG46
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	